WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:13" NOTE recognizability:0.859

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.064 So it's a couple minutes after the hour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.206$ so why don't we get started?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.706$ Welcome to grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:07.706 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.186$ We have two really interesting talks today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:00:12.186 --> 00:00:15.690 For those of you who don't know me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00{:}00{:}15.690 --> 00{:}00{:}17.580$ I'll just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.316$ Point out that my name is Ryan Crop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.577$ I'm very new to Yale as the new medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:23.577 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.208$ director for the Clinical Trials Office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.927$ And it's pleasure to start meeting everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00{:}00{:}30.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.460$ So we have two took off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.700$ As I mentioned the 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:00:35.700 --> 00:00:38.770 Benjamin Turk has been

 $00:00:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.170$ kind enough to join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00{:}00{:}40.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.055$ He's an associate professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:00:42.055 --> 00:00:43.563 pharmacology and director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:43.563 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.408$ Medical Studies and pharmacology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:00:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.644$ He's a member of the CSN program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:00:48.650 --> 00:00:51.668 His graduate work was in biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00{:}00{:}51.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.212$ chemistry at MIT and then a postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:00:55.212 --> 00:00:58.086 with Luke Cantley also in Boston,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00{:}00{:}58.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.370$ and he works understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:01:00.370 --> 00:01:02.080 molecular mechanisms underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00{:}01{:}02.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.856$ signaling pathways and how they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:01:04.856 --> 00:01:06.828 organized into large networks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:01:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.320$ and his lab has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00{:}01{:}08.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.572$ Setting protein modifying enzymes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:01:10.572 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.770$ and particularly kinase and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00{:}01{:}12.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.445$ proteases that are important in

 $00:01:15.445 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.322$ in signaling networks and today he

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

 $00:01:18.322 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.866$ will be talking about the aquaponic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:01:20.866 --> 00:01:23.418 not kinase signaling network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928436375

00:01:23.420 --> 00:01:24.428 Thank you Doctor Turk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933292344

 $00{:}01{:}25.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.848$ OK, thank you. I will share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4755459 00:01:32.410 --> 00:01:33.300 See.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:01:36.230 --> 00:01:39.398 OK can you see my screen in the pointer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:01:39.400 --> 00:01:41.314 Yeah perfect OK great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:01:41.314 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.443$ Well thank you for that introduction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}01{:}44.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.517$ also for the invitation to present our

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:01:47.517 --> 00:01:50.839 work on MAP kinase signaling networks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:01:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.220$ So as we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}01{:}52.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.230$ one of the hallmarks of cancer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}01{:}55.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.983$ uncontrolled cell proliferation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:01:56.983 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.160$ survival and cancer cells accomplish this,

 $00:02:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.878$ at least in part through Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:02.878 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.368$ opting signaling pathways that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:05.368 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.764$ normally activated downstream of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:07.764 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.160$ peptide growth factor receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.008$ I'm gonna be talking about one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}02{:}12.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.902$ the major arms of growth factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:02:13.902 --> 00:02:16.214 signaling the wrase, RAF, MEK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:16.214 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.110$ Erk, signaling cascade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.540$ So owing to high frequency mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:02:20.540 --> 00:02:23.469 in rosanky genes as well as mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.050$ amplification of growth factor receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.498$ this pathway is amongst the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.734$ highly hyper activated in or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:02:31.734 --> 00:02:33.890 frequent most frequently hyperactivated

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:33.973 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.230$ in human cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.398$ And though the pathway has been the

 $00:02:38.398 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.542$ subject of intense study for for decades now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.854$ there are still some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:41.854 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.086$ Open questions in the field that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:02:44.086 --> 00:02:46.018 lab and of course many others are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}02{:}46.020 {\: --> \:} 00{:}02{:}48.043$ are trying to understand and to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:48.043 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.510$ sum up some of these questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.430$ I'm really talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.294$ One question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:53.294 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.454$ what are the functionally important

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:55.454 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.498$ components of MAP kinase signaling networks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:02:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.690$ So obviously the kinases that form

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}03{:}00.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.360$ the core cascade are are have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}03{:}03.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.265$ well studied or well understood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}03{:}05.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.088$ but we have less understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:07.088 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.780$ other regulators of the pathway.

 $00:03:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.558$ So for example the protein phosphatases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.550$ That act on these kinases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.800$ and thus attenuate signaling through

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.728$ the pathway and it in addition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.424$ We don't have a complete catalogue

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:22.424 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.105$ of the substrates of Erk that act as

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:26.105 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.350$ the critical effectors in mediating

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.404$ the cancer cell phone. It type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:03:31.404 --> 00:03:32.970 So in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.262$ one question we're interested in is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}03{:}35.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.220$ how specific connections are made

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.404$ between the kinases and the regulators

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:39.404 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.339$ and substrates in this pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.836$ So there's been a lot of really beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:43.836 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.615$ structural work emerging recently on

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:45.615 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.805$ the upstream components of the pathway,

 $00:03:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.564$ in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:48.564 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.203$ how Rask connects to RAF and MEK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:03:51.210 --> 00:03:52.040 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.530$ our understanding of the more downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}03{:}54.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.042$ components where where we have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:57.042 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.057$ critical effector kinases and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:03:59.057 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.179$ substrates is is less well understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.311$ And then lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:02.311 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.950$ one thing we know is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}05.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.493$ persistent high level of activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:04:07.493 --> 00:04:11.231 of this pathway that one gets with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:11.231 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.221$ new genic activation really doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}14.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.382$ faithfully recapitulate the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:16.382 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.192$ of normal dynamics of activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:18.192 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.724$ when we'd see in response to a

 $00:04:20.724 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.740$ growth factor in a normal cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}22.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.792$ And this can lead to a phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}25.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.676$ that someone sometimes called

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:27.676 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.668$ network rewiring and how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}29.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.518$ New or which new connections are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:04:31.518 --> 00:04:33.532 made in these networks and which

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}33.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.498$ connections are broken is is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:35.498 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.682$ something that's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}36.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.075$ know in terms of having a complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:39.075 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.880$ understanding of tumor cell biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}40.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.100$ So I'm I'm gonna tell two stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:44.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.020$ briefly today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.335$ The first has to do with the oncogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00{:}04{:}48.335 \to 00{:}04{:}51.845$ map kinase signaling in in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127 00:04:51.850 --> 00:04:52.286 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:52.286 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.338$ so as many of you probably know,

00:04:55.340 --> 00:04:57.308 malignant melanomas are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

00:04:57.308 --> 00:04:59.276 driven by hyperactive Erk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:04:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.808$ MAP kinase signaling and so about half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92828127

 $00:05:02.808 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.817$ melanomas Harbor mutations in the BRAF gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.660$ Most frequently the V 600 year Leal that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.980$ leads to high level constitutive activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:11.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.256$ And the remaining tumors have mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:05:15.260 --> 00:05:17.258 Most of them have mutations either

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:05:17.258 --> 00:05:21.680 in the NRAS, GTP ace, the NF One Ras.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.794$ GTP is activating protein that negatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:05:24.794 --> 00:05:27.759 regulates the pathway or gain of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:05:27.759 --> 00:05:30.177 function mutations in in MEK MEK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}05{:}30.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.796$ one which is just around stream of UVB

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}05{:}33.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.330$ graph and of course the dependence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.585$ melanomas on this pathway has really driven

 $00:05:40.585 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.026$ the development and eventual FDA approval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.406$ Of kinase inhibitors that target both

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:05:46.406 --> 00:05:49.472 B RAF and MEK that are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:49.472 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.336$ used to treat Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:51.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.724$ and while there is a high response rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:05:54.724 --> 00:05:58.106 for tumors that harbor be RAF mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:05:58.110 --> 00:05:59.874 the the problem with these drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:05:59.874 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.739$ and really all targeted therapies is

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}06{:}01.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.629$ that the responses are not durable

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:03.629 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.059$ and patients will relapse within

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}06{:}05.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.800$ a few months to a couple of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.544$ And the most common way that one sees

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:11.544 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.814$ resistance to these inhibitors is through

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:06:13.814 --> 00:06:17.159 re activation of the Erk MAP kinase pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:06:17.160 --> 00:06:19.075 Despite the continued presence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}06{:}19.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.407$ inhibitor but one can also see

 $00:06:21.407 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.477$ activation of bypass pathways like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:06:23.477 --> 00:06:26.192 P I3 kinase mtor pathway leading to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:26.192 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.207$ resistance and obviously there's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:28.207 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.020$ a lot of interest in understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.815$ these mechanisms of of tumor cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:31.815 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.510$ resistance to these therapeutic agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:06:33.510 --> 00:06:35.421 With the idea that if you understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}06{:}35.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.402$ how cells become resistant you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:37.402 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.686$ be able to devise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857 00:06:38.690 --> 00:06:39.181 Addition, NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:39.181 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.145$ new therapeutic strategies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:41.145 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.109$ might be more durable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}06{:}43.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.798$ So we got into this area through a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}06{:}45.798 \to 00{:}06{:}48.258$ genetic loss of function screen and SH

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:06:48.258 --> 00:06:51.548 RNA screen that one of my graduate students,

00:06:51.550 --> 00:06:54.305 Eunice Cho conducted to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}06{:}54.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.060$ genes that modulate sensitivity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:06:57.147 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.105$ MEK inhibitors in Melanoma cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:00.105 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.989$ this work was published last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.440$ People are interested in getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}07{:}04.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.484$ more of the details before I talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:06.484 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.104$ about the specifics of this research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:07:08.110 --> 00:07:10.902 I have to briefly plug the Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:10.902 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.766$ Center Functional Genomics core that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}07{:}12.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.968$ I Co direct with David Calderwood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857 00:07:14.970 --> 00:07:15.576 And really, NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:15.576 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.697$ the the the mission of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}07{:}17.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.024$ core is to facilitate these loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:20.024 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.592$ of function genetic screens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}07{:}21.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.640$ CRISPR CAS 9 screens or SH RNA screens

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}07{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.875$ like I'm going to talk about and so

00:07:25.875 --> 00:07:27.716 hopefully this talk will give you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:27.716 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.216$ flavor of the kinds of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:29.216 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.848$ you can get out of these screens

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:31.848 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.085$ and inspire you to contact us and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:34.085 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.495$ and set up your own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.250$ So I don't have a lot of time to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.284$ about the details of how the screen works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.390$ Needless to say, we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.605$ In introduce Melanoma cell line

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:07:44.605 --> 00:07:47.590 with a pooled SH RNA library.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857 00:07:47.590 --> 00:07:48.439 In this one. NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}07{:}48.439 \to 00{:}07{:}49.288$ In this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.662$ one targeting kinases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}07{:}50.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.377$ phosphatases and then we propagate

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:52.377 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.470$ in either the presence or absence.

 $00:07:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.234$ One of two MEK inhibitors tromette

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:56.234 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.767$ never sell you met in IB and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:07:58.767 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.500$ look at which hairpins become enriched

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:08:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.072$ or depleted from the population at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:08:03.072 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.960$ end of the screen and and what this

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00{:}08{:}06.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.399$ will should tell us our our what jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:08:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.054$ Impact the sensitivity mekan hitters and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

00:08:13.054 --> 00:08:15.490 hopefully identify additional genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.811468077142857

 $00:08:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.456$ modifiers of of map kinase signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.140$ So I'm going to jump to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:08:20.140 --> 00:08:22.200 top hit that came out of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.800$ screen which was a phosphatase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.000$ Assyrian threonine phosphatase called

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.805$ PPP six seed, and what you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:28.805 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.498$ here is that amongst all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:30.498 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.178$ hair pins that were in our library,

 $00:08:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.610$ those that target PPP succeed were

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.624$ specifically enriched in the presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:36.624 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.200$ of either Solomon if or trim it nib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.530$ But under control conditions they

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.420$ were not enriched in the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.268$ And what that means is that when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:08:44.268 --> 00:08:45.798 treat cells with a MEK inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}08{:}45.800 \to 00{:}08{:}48.810$ they grow better if you knock down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:08:48.810 --> 00:08:50.868 PPP 6C OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:08:50.868 --> 00:08:54.396 So seeing PPP 6C as a hit in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:54.396 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.799$ screen really caught our eye and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:56.799 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.905$ reason for that is that something

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:08:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.488$ like 7 to 9% of melanomas have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}09{:}01.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03.136$ shown through genomic analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.070$ Whole exome sequencing to harbor

 $00:09:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.020$ what are thought to be loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:09:08.020 --> 00:09:09.814 of function mutations in PP6C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:09.814 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.284$ So we thought identifying this

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:12.284 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.610$ phosphatase in the screen for modulators

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.871$ of drug sensitivity in Melanoma cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:16.871 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.067$ lines was probably not a coincidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:19.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.780$ So first thing we did was to try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.928$ Verify this result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:22.928 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.160$ So we derived PDP60 knockout cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:09:26.160 --> 00:09:28.320 through CRISPR CAS 9 gene editing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.226$ and sure enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:09:29.226 --> 00:09:31.340 if we titrate in MEK inhibitor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:31.409 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.479$ this case a trim it and if you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:33.479 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.850$ see that knocking out PPP6C leads to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.600$ substantial resistance to the inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:09:37.600 --> 00:09:40.217 and the other thing that we're observing,

 $00:09:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.680$ which is kind of interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.196$ is that actually sells deleted for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.875$ PPP6C grow more poorly than wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.376$ cell line than the wild type cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.396$ But that growth is at growth effect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:54.396 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.328$ actually rescued by low concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:09:56.328 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.918$ of the MEK inhibitor and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}09{:}58.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.998$ reminiscent of a phenomenon that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}10{:}00.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04.280$ been seen in in preclinical models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}10{:}04.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.730$ that's called inhibitor addiction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.326$ basically what what this means is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}10{:}11.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.070$ it's it's typically characterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}10{:}13.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.245$ by cells having hyperactive map

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:15.245 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.906$ kinase signaling and hyperactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:16.906 \dashrightarrow 00:10:19.228$ map kinase signaling is toxic to

 $00:10:19.228 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.428$ cells and they can be brought back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.027$ Down into the range that's optimal for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}10{:}24.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.152$ cell growth with low concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:26.152 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.568$ of an inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.950$ and so that was it in a sort of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:10:30.026 --> 00:10:32.234 immediate clue that of what might

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:32.234 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.706$ be going on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:10:33.710 --> 00:10:36.265 That if loss of PPP6C caused hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:10:36.265 --> 00:10:38.629 activation of MAP kinase signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:10:38.630 --> 00:10:40.916 that would explain why you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}10{:}40.916 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}10{:}42.905$ resistance because it requires higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}10{:}42.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.269$ concentrations of drug to suppress the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:45.269 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.769$ pathway enough to inhibit cell growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.355$ And also explain this drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:50.355 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.389$ addiction phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.846$ And sure enough, that's what we see.

00:10:52.850 --> 00:10:53.782 So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:10:53.782 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.044$ if we look at a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:10:57.044 --> 00:11:00.206 distinct PPP 60 knockout clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:11:00.206 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.576$ we can see profound hyperphosphorylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:11:03.576 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.254$ hyperactivation of of MEK and of Erk

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:11:06.254 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.613$ and we can rescue that hyperactivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:11:08.613 --> 00:11:12.092 by re expressing a wild type allele

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}11{:}12.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.908$ of PPP 6C but not a phosphatase dead

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:11:15.908 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.110$ allele that's catalytically inactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:11:18.110 --> 00:11:18.502 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:11:18.502 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.462$ and we extended these observations

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:11:20.462 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.098$ to a whole panel of cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00{:}11{:}23.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.404$ I'm only showing a few of them here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579 00:11:25.410 --> 00:11:25.895 basically, NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:11:25.895 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.805$ regardless of lineages we lookin cell

00:11:28.805 --> 00:11:31.950 lines that either harbor BRAF mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

00:11:31.950 --> 00:11:34.300 or crass Oren RAST mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.904180252631579

 $00:11:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.650$ with a couple of exceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786400669166667

 $00:11:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.546$ We see that when we knock down PPP

NOTE Confidence: 0.786400669166667

 $00:11:39.546 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.736$ 60 by SH RNA, we get increased mech

NOTE Confidence: 0.786400669166667

 $00:11:42.736 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.906$ and or increased ORC phosphorylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786400669166667

00:11:44.910 --> 00:11:46.944 So we do think this is a general phenomenon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786400669166667

 $00:11:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.498$ at least in the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.786400669166667

00:11:48.498 --> 00:11:50.870 oncogenic map kinase. Signaling so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:11:53.210 --> 00:11:57.134 PPP succeed is a phosphatase and

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:11:57.134 --> 00:11:59.176 in experiments that I I I won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:11:59.176 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.660$ have time to tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.223$ We had ruled out activation of upstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:12:04.223 --> 00:12:07.082 components of the pathway and had

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:12:07.082 --> 00:12:09.826 a good handle on this PB6C acting

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}12{:}09.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.729$ at the level of MEK because it's a

 $00:12:12.729 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.341$ phosphatase may most straightforward

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:14.341 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.337$ explanation would be that it directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:12:16.337 --> 00:12:18.022 dephosphorylates Mac and we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:12:18.022 --> 00:12:19.920 do think that's what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}12{:}19.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.762$ So in in vitro phosphatase assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:21.762 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.990$ we could show that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.554$ Purified PP6P6C complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:12:26.554 --> 00:12:28.030 Candy phosphorylate MEK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.926$ but they don't be phosphorylate Erk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}12{:}29.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.149$ so there seems to be some substrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}12{:}32.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34.062$ specificity for the upstream component

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:34.062 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.664$ and probably more compelling we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}12{:}36.664 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}12{:}39.046$ detect at least an indirect physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:12:39.046 --> 00:12:41.302 interaction between Mac and PPP 6C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:41.302 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.732$ So PP6C is the catalytic

 $00:12:43.732 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.009$ subunit of holoenzyme that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.515$ heterotrimeric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:46.515 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.535$ that includes regulatory subunits

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:48.535 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.644$ that have ascribed roles and binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:51.644 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.716$ to substrates and recruiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:53.716 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.270$ them for dephosphorylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:12:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.707$ And we could see in komuna precipitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:12:58.707 --> 00:13:01.741 assays that pulling down any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:01.741 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.705$ the three regulatory subunits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.022$ I will bring down Mac but not so

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}13{:}06.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.189$ much with the catalytic subunit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.780$ sort of confirming a role for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.850$ regulatory subunits in in recruiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.190$ MEC two to the complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.528$ So I mentioned that PPP 6C is

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}13{:}18.528 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}13{:}19.929$ recurrently mutated in melanomas

 $00:13:19.929 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.553$ and so we wanted to look at whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:22.553 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.394$ these mutations affected signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:13:24.394 --> 00:13:26.804 through the MAP kinase pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.366$ And so we perform rescue experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:29.366 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.690$ where we re expressed series of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.390$ the most frequently observed mutants

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:13:35.390 --> 00:13:39.038 in our PP60 knockout cells and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}13{:}39.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.209$ observed is with a single exception

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.230$ that these mutants were either

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.646$ entirely or partially defective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:13:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.705$ In their ability to mediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:13:50.705 --> 00:13:51.927 mech dephosphorylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}13{:}51.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.528$ so we conclude that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}13{:}54.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.955$ likely partial loss of function

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}13{:}56.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.040$ mutations and it sort of makes

 $00:14:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.860$ sense that they're functioning to

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.465$ increase signaling through the core

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:14:05.465 --> 00:14:07.549 pathway that drives melanomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.427$ That is, the map kinase signaling pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:14:10.430 --> 00:14:11.396 So, unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:14:11.396 --> 00:14:14.777 PPP 60 mutations are are rare enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:14.777 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.600$ that we we really don't know the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.108$ Clinical relevance of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}14{:}20.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.616$ mutations to pathway activation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:14:22.620 --> 00:14:25.374 but we were able to mine some data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}14{:}25.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.383$ C bio portal and it did appear as if

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:28.383 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.532$ there was a significant correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}14{:}30.532 \rightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.352$ between the M RNA expression level

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:33.352 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.810$ of PPP6C and the level of either

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.248$ phospho Erk or Phospho MEK as seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:14:38.248 --> 00:14:39.993 in reverse phase protein arrays.

 $00:14:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.207$ So we do believe that PPP 6C is

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:43.207 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.781$ modulating flux through the pathway in

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:14:45.781 --> 00:14:49.025 tumors and and may be a factor that

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:49.025 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.418$ influences. Therapeutic response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:14:50.418 --> 00:14:50.917 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:14:50.917 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.410$ so in conclusion of this first part

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:14:54.499 --> 00:14:57.225 we've identified PPP 6C as a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}14{:}57.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.640$ player in restraining oncogenic map

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}14{:}58.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.170$ kinase signaling through dephosphorylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}15{:}00.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.930$ of MEK and that loss of function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:15:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.297$ Mutations of PPP 60 lead to hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:15:06.297 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.439$ activated Erk signaling some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}15{:}08.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.070$ open questions that we're trying to pursue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

00:15:10.070 --> 00:15:10.510 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:15:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.710$ how is PPP 6C regulated?

 $00:15:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.839$ So this phenomenon where PPP 6C is

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00{:}15{:}15.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.410$ required to restrain MEK activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:15:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.844$ has has something that we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:15:19.844 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.860$ only see in the setting of oncogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:15:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.200$ activation of the pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:15:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.804$ And that suggests to us that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.681916948571429

 $00:15:25.804 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.920$ there's a negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:15:26.994 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.374$ feedback loop where pathway activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:15:29.374 --> 00:15:31.693 leads to activation of PPP6C

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:15:31.693 --> 00:15:33.758 towards the phosphorylation of MEK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}15{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.160$ and we'd like to understand how that happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:15:36.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.368$ And of course, it may be that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:15:38.368 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.180$ are other signaling outputs substrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:15:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.700$ other than mech that are functionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:15:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.914$ important for tumors where you see lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}15{:}44.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.285$ of people pay 60 and we're interested

 $00:15:47.285 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.875$ in trying to identify those as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:15:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.390$ So for the remaining time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:15:51.390 --> 00:15:53.446 I'm going to switch gears a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:15:53.446 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.425$ and move downstream in the pathway to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:15:55.425 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.013$ do the the kinase in the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:15:58.013 --> 00:16:00.619 of the map kinase cascade IIRC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.469$ and here the we're going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}16{:}03.469 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}16{:}06.359$ talking a little bit more about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:06.359 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.204$ structural basis for how connections

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:08.204 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.319$ in the pathway is are made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.756$ and also some of these network rewiring

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:12.756 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.343$ phenomena they introduced at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}16{:}14.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.030$ beginning and so the work I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}16{:}16.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.505$ to talk about is the work of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:16:18.505 --> 00:16:19.874 talented graduate student who's.

 $00:16:19.874 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.478$ Currently in the lab Julissa Torres

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}16{:}22.478 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}16{:}25.627$ Robles and what she was interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:25.627 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.653$ in looking at our oncogenic mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:28.653 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.677$ in Erk 2 itself or encoded by the map K1G.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:31.677 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.966$ So as I said at the outset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:16:33.970 --> 00:16:36.178 you have high frequency mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:36.178 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.714$ multiple cancer types of Rasen draft but

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:16:38.714 --> 00:16:41.038 at lower frequency you do see mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:41.103 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.335$ in some of the downstream components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.266$ The Erk mutations in particular are

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}16{:}45.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.080$ sort of interesting because you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.753$ see them in the same tumor types

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:48.753 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.675$ that you do the Rasen draft mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.039$ So where, where as Rasen rap mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:16:53.039 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.000$ you you see in melanomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}16{:}55.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.052$ lung cancers, colorectal cancers,

00:16:57.052 --> 00:16:58.078 pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526 00:16:58.080 --> 00:16:58.840 the Erk. NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:16:58.840 --> 00:17:00.740 2 mutations are largely restricted

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.640$ to squamous cell carcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.965$ so about 8% of cervical squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:05.965 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.199$ carcinomas have recurrent or two

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:08.199 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.232$ mutations and about 2% of head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:11.232 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.197$ Squamous cell carcinomas have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}17{:}13.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.066$ mutations and they've attracted some

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:15.066 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.911$ attention in that setting because

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:17:16.911 --> 00:17:18.706 of potential association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:17:18.706 --> 00:17:20.976 the presence of those mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}17{:}20.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.135$ And clinical responses to EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:25.135 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.797$ receptor inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.732$ So one of the things that kind

 $00:17:28.732 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.820$ of attracted us to this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.320$ the nature of these mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.041$ They're sort of unusual when you compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:35.041 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.387$ them to other activating mutations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:37.387 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.679$ protein kinases that you see in cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:17:39.680 --> 00:17:41.039 So unlike say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:41.039 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.757$ BRAF mutations or EGF receptor mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.580$ these mutations don't intrinsically

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.310$ hyper activate the kinase and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:48.383 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.567$ all map at least in three dimensional

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}17{:}50.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.504$ space to a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:52.504 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.829$ region of the kinase catalytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.496$ So this is a region that falls

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:17:56.496 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.110$ outside of the catalytic cleft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:17:58.110 --> 00:18:00.567 That's known as the common docking group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:18:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.215$ and it's called that because

00:18:02.215 --> 00:18:04.850 it serves as a hub for protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:18:04.850 --> 00:18:07.150 protein interactions with ERP two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:18:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.024$ so this docking groove binds to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:18:10.024 --> 00:18:12.649 a number of substrates of Erk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:18:12.650 --> 00:18:15.009 but it also binds to irks regulators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:18:15.010 --> 00:18:18.574 so the Mach one and Mach 2 which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:18:18.574 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.334$ the positive regulars that phosphorylate

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}18{:}20.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.870$ and turn on or combined at this site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}18{:}22.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.032$ and the dual specificity phosphatase that

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00{:}18{:}26.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29.178$ dephosphory lates find it this site as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:18:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.752$ So this sort of presents a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

00:18:31.752 --> 00:18:33.736 bit of a conundrum because I just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526 00:18:33.736 --> 00:18:34.312 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.950039684210526

 $00:18:34.312 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.040$ told you that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:18:36.116 --> 00:18:37.424 really functionally important

00:18:37.424 --> 00:18:40.476 part of the of the molecule yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:18:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.034$ and so you might expect that mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:18:42.034 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.877$ at this site would be loss of function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:18:43.880 --> 00:18:46.046 But of course just logically it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:18:46.046 --> 00:18:48.201 would seem that mutations in, IIRC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:18:48.201 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.700$ that you find in cancer should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:18:50.767 --> 00:18:52.979 gain of function and and the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:18:52.979 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.832$ why this is that these mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}18{:}55.832 \to 00{:}18{:}58.122$ actually cause selective disruption of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:18:58.122 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.644$ these protein protein interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:00.644 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.708$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.756$ we know that these cancer associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}19{:}04.756 \longrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.718$ Earth mutants are still able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:19:06.718 --> 00:19:08.580 interact with MEK one and MEK two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.666$ and so they can be activated normally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:19:10.670 --> 00:19:12.878 but they no longer interact with

00:19:12.878 --> 00:19:14.350 the dual specificity phosphatase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.961$ So incels, this leads to an imbalance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:16.961 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.170$ between their activation and inactivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.355$ and you accumulate the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:21.355 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.110$ phosphorylated active form of the kinase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.297$ but that's not all there is to it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:19:26.297 --> 00:19:28.809 it turns out that at least one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:28.809 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.027$ major signaling outputs of Earth that is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.818$ Chinese risk is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:32.818 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.606$ broken by these mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:19:34.610 --> 00:19:36.188 so these mutants don't interact with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:19:36.188 --> 00:19:38.189 risk and they don't phosphorylate risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.422$ and so that makes you raised

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}19{:}40.422 \to 00{:}19{:}42.710$ a few questions in our mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:19:42.710 --> 00:19:44.566 So first of all,

 $00:19:44.566 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.350$ what is the scope of interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}19{:}47.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.363$ with Erk that are selectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:49.363 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.927$ disrupted by her mutations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.834$ We simply don't know this at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:52.834 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.222$ point and from a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:55.222 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.730$ structural or biochemical standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.760$ Why are some interactions broken

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:19:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.790$ and some spared something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:00.855 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.660$ we we also don't understand?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}20{:}02.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.540$ And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}20{:}03.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.180$ In order to address this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:20:06.180 --> 00:20:08.652 Jay Lisa conducted a proteome wide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:08.652 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.108$ screen to identify sequences that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:20:11.108 --> 00:20:13.316 interact with the Erk docking group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.090$ and again I don't have time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.790$ to explain this in detail.

00:20:16.790 --> 00:20:19.373 What we did was mine the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:19.373 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.509$ proteome for short amino acid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:21.509 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.879$ stretches of amino acid sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:20:23.880 --> 00:20:27.306 That sort of had sequence similarity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:27.306 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.410$ to known interacting sequences like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.600$ would find in Mach one and Mach 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.375$ And prepared a genetically encoded

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:35.375 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.204$ library of about 12,000 sequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:37.204 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.114$ So these are short sequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:20:39.120 --> 00:20:40.955 fragments of proteins that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}20{:}40.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.423$ 14 amino acids long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.719$ And then we use those in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:44.719 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.700$ pooled competitive yeast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}20{:}45.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.430$ Two hybrid screening format and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.000$ and the the bottom line is that you

 $00:20:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.587$ know similar to sort of an SH RNA or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}20{:}52.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.093$ crisper screen if we have a successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:55.093 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.660$ interaction between Erk and the interactor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:20:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.900$ this will become enriched

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:20:58.900 --> 00:21:00.140 in the population overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.380$ and we can detect this by next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.020$ generation sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.090$ So when we do this screen with wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}21{:}05.090 \to 00{:}21{:}08.730$ Work we can see that on gratifyingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.655$ all of the known interactors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}21{:}10.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.580$ interacting fragments that were in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:12.649 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.669$ the library actually scores hits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:21:14.670 --> 00:21:16.326 They become enriched,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:16.326 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.430$ and furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:21:17.430 --> 00:21:19.789 if we align all of these sequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.866$ we can see a sequence motif.

00:21:21.870 --> 00:21:23.420 A signature sequence that emerges

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.790$ that seems to be a common feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.070$ of sequences that interact with Erk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.170$ So a cluster of proline residues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.769$ a couple of leucine residues close by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00:21:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.681$ and this is interesting in its own

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

00:21:34.681 --> 00:21:36.899 right because it tells us something about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9346002125

 $00{:}21{:}36.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.698$ How Erk recruits it's interacting proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:21:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.918$ but what about the mutants? So J.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:21:42.918 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.710$ Lisa conducted this same screen with the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:21:45.785 --> 00:21:48.790 most recurrent cancer associated mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:21:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.875$ D321 and E322K and what we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:21:51.875 --> 00:21:54.280 was kind of what we expected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:21:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.814$ which is that most of the interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:21:56.814 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.237$ are preserved about 2/3 of the the

 $00:21:59.237 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.555$ interactors that scored his hits for wild

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}22{:}01.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.956$ type or also interact with the mutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}22{:}03.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.809$ but about a third of them interacted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.450$ Only with the wild type kinase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.288$ and furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:10.288 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.221$ when we look at the the sequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:22:13.221 --> 00:22:15.929 that interact only with wild type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.430$ we actually lose this sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.430$ motif that's characteristic of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.046$ of of Erk binders in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:22:23.050 --> 00:22:25.125 And actually there's very little

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:22:25.125 --> 00:22:26.370 distinguishing feature here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}22{:}26.370 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.995$ save for the significant selection of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:28.995 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.148$ single arching residue in the sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.482$ So we were a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:32.482 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.730$ flummoxed by this at first,

 $00:22:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.776$ but first we just wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:35.776 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.140$ do some basic validation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:22:37.140 --> 00:22:39.723 I I'm I'm starting to run short on time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:22:39.730 --> 00:22:41.314 so I'm going to go through this briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:22:41.320 --> 00:22:41.653 Basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:41.653 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.318$ we could confirm that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:43.318 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.320$ sensually all of the sequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.288$ but if we if we made synthetic peptides

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}22{:}48.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.833$ corresponding to these sequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:22:49.833 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.409$ that scored as hits in the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}22{:}52.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.594$ we could see that where we expected we

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}22{:}55.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58.030$ saw differential binding in vitro to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}22{:}58.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.740$ wild type versus mutant alleles of Erk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.702$ one of them in particular peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:23:02.702 --> 00:23:05.030 coming from the protein ISG 20 had

00:23:05.030 --> 00:23:06.956 particularly high affinity for Erk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}23{:}06.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.229$ showed the biggest differential binding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.768$ Between wild type and mutant forms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:23:11.770 --> 00:23:13.674 So we decided to take a structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:23:13.674 --> 00:23:15.043 biology approach to understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:15.043 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.019$ was going on here in terms of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}23{:}17.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.734$ this interacted with her and with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:18.734 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.870$ lot of help from Titus Boggins lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:23:20.870 --> 00:23:22.645 here in the pharmacology department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:23:22.650 --> 00:23:25.298 Jay Lisa was able to solve the X-ray

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:25.298 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.327$ cocrystal structure of wild type work too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.829$ In complex with this fragment of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:29.829 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.370$ ISG 20 protein and I'm just going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.156$ zoom in on the key feature at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:35.156 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.460$ region of ISG 20 that binds to IRK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.161$ That is close to the hot spot for

 $00{:}23{:}41.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43.267$ these mutations we see that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}23{:}43.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.640$ peptide forms a single turn of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.668$ alpha Helix and that is enforced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:47.668 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.958$ That motive interaction is enforced

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:49.958 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.299$ by a sequence motif that involves a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:53.299 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.215$ hydrophobic isoleucine residue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:23:55.215 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.815$ then two arginine residues position

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:23:57.815 --> 00:24:00.965 close by that actually make direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:00.965 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.060$ polar contacts to the acidic residues

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.928$ that are mutated in in cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:24:06.930 --> 00:24:09.658 And sure enough, if we then go back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.780$ And look at our sequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}24{:}11.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.835$ That bound most preferentially to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:13.835 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.335$ wild type the top 9 sequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:16.335 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.535$ in the original used to hybrid

 $00:24:18.535 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.211$ screening data all have this sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}24{:}21.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.800$ motif and we could further confirm

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.786$ that this motif was important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:24:26.786 --> 00:24:28.480 binding to wild type IIRC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.790$ but not to mutant forms of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.727$ through in vitro binding assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:32.727 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.355$ that we did with synthetic peptides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.996$ So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:24:35.996 --> 00:24:37.904 if we if we mutate any

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:37.904 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.670$ of these three residues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00{:}24{:}39.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.930$ We greatly reduce the binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:24:41.930 --> 00:24:44.190 affinity with wild type IIRC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

 $00:24:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.255$ but we have no effect on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:24:46.255 --> 00:24:47.536 already weak binding affinity

NOTE Confidence: 0.889380216

00:24:47.536 --> 00:24:49.546 with the mutant forms of FERC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:24:49.550 --> 00:24:51.400 presumably because the damage had

 $00:24:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.810$ already been done by those mutants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:24:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.950$ So we think we have a good handle on why

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:24:56.012 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.384$ some sequences interact specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:24:57.384 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.880$ with wild type work and are broken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:24:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.134$ The interactions are broken with the mutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:25:02.140 --> 00:25:04.282 but we're now trying to do is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:04.282 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.035$ understand a little bit more about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:06.035 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.709$ this relates to tumor cell biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.698$ and this is my last data slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.492$ And So what we've been doing is looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:25:11.492 --> 00:25:13.543 at some of the full length proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:13.543 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.093$ that corresponds to its corresponding

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:25:15.147 --> 00:25:16.870 hits from the screen, and one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.310$ in particular that caught our eye,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:25:18.310 --> 00:25:21.705 is the row GTPS exchange factor def.

00:25:21.710 --> 00:25:25.422 H1, which has been implicated in a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:25:25.422 --> 00:25:28.876 feedback loop for the Erk signaling pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.344$ It's a known substrate of of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.210$ and we can confirm that indietro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.066$ but also also confirm that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:36.066 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.146$ cancer mutated forms of Erk are

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:39.146 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.778$ unable to phosphorylate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:25:40.780 --> 00:25:42.280 FH1, at least in vitro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.740$ and we're now following up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.100$ On these studies in head and neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.062$ squamous cell carcinoma cell lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:50.062 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.150$ to see if we can verify this result

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:52.214 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.919$ and understand what this means

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.420$ for for tumor cell biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:25:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.540$ So to sum up this part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.210$ we've identified that cancer associated

 $00:26:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.871$ mutations that map to these common docking

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00{:}26{:}07.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.606$ groove of Earth 2 disrupt a subset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:11.606 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.619$ interactions and specifically those

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:26:13.619 --> 00:26:16.419 involving a particular sequence motif.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:26:16.420 --> 00:26:18.124 And what we're trying to figure out now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091 00:26:18.130 --> 00:26:18.842 of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:26:18.842 --> 00:26:20.978 is if selective engagement of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00{:}26{:}20.978 \to 00{:}26{:}23.382$ substrates is important for the phenotypic

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:23.382 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.422$ consequences of work to mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.513$ So with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00{:}26{:}26.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.040$ I will stop and thank the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:26:29.128 --> 00:26:31.408 who did the work I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091 00:26:31.410 --> 00:26:32.306 Eunice Cho,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00{:}26{:}32.306 \mathrel{\text{--}}{>} 00{:}26{:}34.994$ who recently left the lab graduated

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:34.994 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.174$ last year who had done all the work on

 $00:26:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.460$ PPP 6C and the work on Earth mutants

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.419$ was conducted by Julissa Torres Robles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:26:44.420 --> 00:26:46.260 I also like to point out my collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:26:46.260 --> 00:26:47.172 David Calderwood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:47.172 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.908$ who's my partner in all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:49.908 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.320$ functional genomics stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.574$ Tice Boggins lab who helped us with

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:26:53.574 --> 00:26:55.155 the crystallography and Mark Gerstein

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:26:55.155 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.108$ lab that helped us with the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

00:26:57.110 --> 00:27:01.020 Library design and computational analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00{:}27{:}01.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02.770$ And with that I'm happy to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.935739000909091

 $00:27:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.248$ any questions if we have time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00{:}27{:}05.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.969$ Thank you that that was great

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00:27:07.969 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.529$ and really nice work and and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00:27:10.529 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.272$ good advertisement for the functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00{:}27{:}12.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.788$ genomics core 'cause it looks like some

 $00:27:14.788 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.696$ really impressive data we have maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00:27:16.696 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.009$ two or three minutes for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00:27:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.466$ If you wouldn't mind just putting him in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00:27:21.466 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.570$ the chat while people are doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00{:}27{:}23.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.898$ can I just ask you a quick question

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00:27:26.898 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.190$ about the PP6C study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

00:27:31.190 --> 00:27:33.689 Is it worth you think going back

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00{:}27{:}33.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.008$ and trying to redo your your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

00:27:36.010 --> 00:27:39.652 Knock down screen in a background

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00{:}27{:}39.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.536$ of the the PPP mutant contacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00:27:43.536 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.366$ to see if there's other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00:27:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.802$ Targets that could restore

NOTE Confidence: 0.93107848

 $00{:}27{:}48.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.280$ sensitivity to the inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

00:27:50.630 --> 00:27:52.430 Yeah, I I do believe so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00:27:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.670$ And actually one of the things that

 $00:27:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.920$ we have planned is is such a screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00{:}27{:}56.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.949$ So the screen that we did before

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

00:27:58.949 --> 00:28:01.405 was a focus SH RNA library and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

00:28:01.405 --> 00:28:03.892 we're gearing up to do is a genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00{:}28{:}03.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.997$ wide CRISPR screen where we compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

00:28:05.997 --> 00:28:08.391 wildtype cells with the PPP 60

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00{:}28{:}08.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.497$ knock out cells in the presence or

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

00:28:10.497 --> 00:28:13.210 absence of the of the MEK inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9004935666666667

 $00:28:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.002$ and so we're hoping to get out

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00:28:15.002 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.440$ of that are basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00{:}28{:}16.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.300$ We should get genetic modifiers

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00:28:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.137$ that affect the growth of the PPP 60

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00:28:21.137 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.244$ knockout cells and one of the hopes

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00:28:23.309 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.524$ is that we'll identify potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

00:28:25.524 --> 00:28:27.574 other signaling outputs of PP6C

NOTE Confidence: 0.900493566666667

 $00:28:27.574 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.218$ that are important for growth and

00:28:29.218 --> 00:28:30.450 maybe drug sensitivity as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776560158

 $00:28:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.600$ You know, it seems like it makes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.776560158

 $00:28:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.990$ make sense in just one other question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776560158

 $00:28:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.362$ I got a little,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776560158

 $00:28:39.362 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.770$ maybe I misunderstood in terms of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776560158

 $00:28:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.070$ The prevalence of these mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.776560158

 $00:28:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.029$ in the in the in that phosphatase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776560158

 $00{:}28{:}48.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.270$ and they I I thought you had said

NOTE Confidence: 0.776560158

 $00:28:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.400$ that they were relatively common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00:28:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.834$ It's it's 7 to 9% depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00:28:56.834 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.244$ the study, so they're they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00:28:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.636$ They're not as common it it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00{:}29{:}00.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.108$ It's actually interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00{:}29{:}01.108 --> 00{:}29{:}02.044$ if you look at the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00:29:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.514$ they're sort of the I guess

 $00:29:03.514 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.490$ the fifth most common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00{:}29{:}04.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07.186$ you know after the big guys and Ranson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00:29:07.186 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.097$ If one and I think P 53

NOTE Confidence: 0.624400154

 $00:29:09.097 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.680$ they they're their next

NOTE Confidence: 0.901823112

 $00:29:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.384$ and do they get enriched? Have you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901823112

 $00{:}29{:}13.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.890$ Are there any databases of MEK resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.901823112

 $00{:}29{:}15.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.046$ MEK inhibitor resistant samples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901823112

 $00:29:18.046 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.887$ you can look to see whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.901823112

 $00{:}29{:}19.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.460$ enrichment for that mutation? Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

00:29:21.470 --> 00:29:23.326 that hasn't really come out of those studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

 $00:29:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.500$ A lot of those studies have been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

00:29:25.500 --> 00:29:29.130 Looking at sort of individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

00:29:29.130 --> 00:29:30.888 patients and you know people

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

 $00:29:30.888 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.916$ have made patients right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

 $00:29:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.044$ Zena graphs and things

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

 $00:29:33.044 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.449$ like that and done.

 $00:29:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.732$ You know whole exome saying there's no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

 $00:29:37.732 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.902$ I mean because they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

00:29:39.902 --> 00:29:41.512 particularly common that it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

 $00:29:41.512 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.174$ has not come up as a bonafide

NOTE Confidence: 0.923248665

 $00{:}29{:}44.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.485$ clinical resistance mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00{:}29{:}47.040 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.230$ OK, alright thank. Thank you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:29:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.464$ Really nice work so why don't we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:29:53.464 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.434$ move on to our next presenter?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

00:29:56.434 --> 00:29:58.890 Is Doctor Grace Kang,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00{:}29{:}58.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.205$ who's an assistant professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00{:}30{:}01.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.520$ Department of Psychiatry and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:30:03.595 \dashrightarrow 00:30:06.125$ member of our cancer Prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

00:30:06.125 --> 00:30:08.149 and Control research program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:30:08.150 \dashrightarrow 00:30:11.209$ She did her graduate work in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:30:11.209 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.281$ psychology at Saint Johns and in postdoc

 $00:30:14.281 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.580$ in adolescent addictions in the in the Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

00:30:17.580 --> 00:30:19.448 A school of Medicine's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

00:30:19.448 --> 00:30:21.316 division of substance abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

00:30:21.320 --> 00:30:23.224 Her current research interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

00:30:23.224 --> 00:30:25.120 include understanding, substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

00:30:25.120 --> 00:30:27.000 health disparities among youth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00{:}30{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.023$ and the use of social media for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

00:30:29.023 --> 00:30:30.639 tobacco marketing and and novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32{:}354$ to bacco use behaviors among youth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:30:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.976$ and I think she'll be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:30:34.976 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.284$ about that today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:30:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.972$ Her title is leveraging social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:30:38.972 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.620$ analysis to inform to bacco prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7960527

 $00:30:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.148$ Dr Kang thank you for for joining us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694307386666667

00:30:52.250 --> 00:30:53.606 And I think you're on mute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00:30:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.566$ OK, can you hear me now?

00:30:58.570 --> 00:31:01.870 Yep perfect OK great thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00:31:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.752$ And you could hear you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00:31:02.752 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.890$ see my slice here, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

00:31:03.890 --> 00:31:06.260 Yeah, OK, awesome, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00:31:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.310$ Well, thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00:31:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.280$ for having me here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

00:31:10.280 --> 00:31:12.175 We're gonna really switch gears

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00:31:12.175 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.070$ and talk about social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00{:}31{:}14.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.007$ and youth to bacco prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00:31:16.010 \dashrightarrow 00:31:19.610$ so I will give a brief outline of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.942003116666667

 $00:31:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.749$ we'll what I will talk about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00{:}31{:}24.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.452$ So I'll first given out overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00{:}31{:}26.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.500$ of why we should care about East

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00{:}31{:}28.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.075$ figure prevention in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:30.075 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.068$ of tobacco prevention and and,

 $00:31:32.068 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.372$ and then the importance of leveraging

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00{:}31{:}34.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.528$ social media to understand Easter

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:36.528 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.109$ youth behaviors and promotion and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:39.109 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.383$ then talk about limitations on current

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:41.383 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.670$ methods to analyze social media and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.362$ then introduce how advances in new

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:46.362 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.566$ computational methods could be used to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.808$ To overcome some of these limitations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.952$ and then I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

00:31:53.952 --> 00:31:55.941 two specific studies in our group

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:55.941 \dashrightarrow 00:31:58.083$ using YouTube data to understand E,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791336816190476

 $00:31:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.740$ cigarette content and social media.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

00:32:02.790 --> 00:32:04.908 So cigarette smoking is a leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

00:32:04.908 --> 00:32:06.910 cause of preventable cause of death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.465$ disease, disability and death in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

00:32:08.465 --> 00:32:10.717 the United States and we also know

00:32:10.717 --> 00:32:12.434 that smoking causes cancer's of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00{:}32{:}12.434 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.108$ a variety of charts in the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

00:32:15.110 --> 00:32:17.060 However, cigarette is just one type

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.050$ of tobacco product in the market.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.874$ There are other types of tobacco

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

00:32:20.874 --> 00:32:22.090 products such as cigars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

00:32:22.090 --> 00:32:23.370 smokeless tobacco, E cigarettes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.450$ just to name a few, that Berry in harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.506$ And here what you see is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00{:}32{:}29.506 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.638$ a graph from CDC and this shows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.775$ Different tobacco products and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:33.775 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.696$ rates across the decade and what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00{:}32{:}36.696 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}32{:}39.202$ see is overall this decrease in to bacco

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:39.202 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.899$ use right and but this dotted green

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

00:32:41.899 --> 00:32:44.092 line here is increasing E cigarette

 $00:32:44.092 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.200$ use over the years since 2014,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:46.200 \dashrightarrow 00:32:48.860$ E cigarettes have been the most commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.376$ used to bacco product use among youth

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.450$ and in 2020 more than 4.5 million of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.600$ the US youth are are using E cigarettes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.370$ And so when you take E

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00:32:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.255$ cigarettes into consideration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

 $00{:}33{:}00.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.740$ the overall to bacco use rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851875136666667

00:33:01.740 --> 00:33:04.550 Is increasing among US youth?

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.773$ So for those who are not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00{:}33{:}08.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.020$ familiar with E cigarette,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.905$ I'll just provide an overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:11.905 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.090$ of what a E cigarette is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.185$ There are many different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:15.185 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.610$ of E cigarettes on the market.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.740$ These devices are not regulated,

 $00:33:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.596$ so there is a rapid innovation such

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:33:21.596 --> 00:33:23.664 different product characteristics and E

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00{:}33{:}23.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.609$ cigarette devices have evolved overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:33:25.610 --> 00:33:27.937 It first started out with Cigalikes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:27.937 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.279$ which is a which resembles cigarettes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.050$ And then evolve into second

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.180$ generation on devices like vape pens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00{:}33{:}35.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.420$ which resembles like a pen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.726$ Third generations are these mods which

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:40.726 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.870$ vary in how they're it could be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:33:43.870 --> 00:33:46.120 customized in very different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:33:46.120 --> 00:33:49.480 and it could also excel large

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00{:}33{:}49.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.720$ amounts of excelled aerosol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:33:51.720 --> 00:33:54.488 and then there is this pod mods here

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:54.488 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.298$ that sort of varies and how it looks.

00:33:57.300 --> 00:33:58.755 The most notable device you

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:33:58.755 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.640$ may have heard of is Jewel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.500$ They recently got popular because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.936$ They use nicotine salt instead of freebase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.595$ So Freebase nicotine is manipulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:06.595 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.958$ so that it has more of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00{:}34{:}08.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.300$ harshness or kick the smokers likes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.226$ The nicotine salt is manipulated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:14.226 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.688$ lower the pH level so that it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:34:16.688 --> 00:34:18.736 as harsh and allows for higher levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:18.736 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.899$ of nicotine and so the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:20.899 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.720$ with using nicotine salt is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:34:23.720 --> 00:34:27.020 because it's easier to to debate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:34:27.020 --> 00:34:29.617 you know higher levels of nicotine could

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:29.617 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.688$ be included in this products and therefore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:34:32.690 --> 00:34:34.622 You know the initiation among youth

 $00:34:34.622 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.641$ could could be a risk because

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:36.641 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.639$ of his high level of nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:34:38.640 --> 00:34:40.968 So once Jewel started really hitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:34:40.968 --> 00:34:43.580 the market and getting really popular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.560$ this fifth generation of devices

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00{:}34{:}45.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47.540$ started entering the market and

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:47.606 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.646$ these are disposable pod devices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.456$ They're meant to be single use

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:51.456 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.660$ sometimes with multiple packs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:34:52.660 --> 00:34:54.080 They're small, they're discrete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:34:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.120$ they look like jewel they contain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

00:34:56.120 --> 00:34:57.980 They also contain they contain salt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00{:}34{:}57.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.828$ so which has high levels of nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00{:}34{:}59.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01.760$ and it comes in multiple flavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:35:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.376$ And there's a widely and importantly,

 $00:35:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.930$ they're cheap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:35:04.930 \dashrightarrow 00:35:07.910$ so you might see a lot of these products on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:35:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.115$ Come in in your gas stations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.751896954545455

 $00:35:10.115 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.510$ other store convenience stores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.050$ So how do you cigarettes work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.935$ You know, even though these

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:35:16.935 --> 00:35:19.240 cigarettes vary in how they look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.229$ so the anatomy is is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.122$ So it has a component that

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:25.122 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.050$ holds that you liquid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.920$ It has a heating element.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.312$ Any of the power power source in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:31.312 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.783$ form of batteries and is a mouth piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:33.783 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.598$ in which the user could use to inhale

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:36.598 \dashrightarrow 00:35:38.789$ the aerosol from from the of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.576$ vape and in some in some devices,

 $00:35:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.490$ just inhaling could activate the device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.566$ So what's in E liquid is made

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:47.566 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.178$ up of nicotine flavorings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:35:49.180 --> 00:35:51.092 The base is made up of proper link

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:35:51.092 --> 00:35:52.880 like coal and vegetable glycerin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.440$ as well as other additives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.980$ So in terms of nicotine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.890$ that's that's the main drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:57.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.422$ So it stimulates the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:35:59.422 \dashrightarrow 00:36:01.337$ stimulates the central nervous system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:36:01.340 --> 00:36:02.700 It raises blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.345$ respiration, heart heart rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}36{:}04.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.955$ and releases a feeling of pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}36{:}06.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.276$ And the the E cigarette that

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}36{:}09.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11.754$ comes in Freebase comes in zero

 $00:36:11.754 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.879$ to 36 milligrams per milliliter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.905$ The nicotine salt on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:36:15.905 --> 00:36:17.120 marketed as percentage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.872$ So so for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:18.872 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.500$ Jewel come as come as 5%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.515$ which is equivalent to about

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:36:23.515 --> 00:36:25.127 59 milligrams per milliliter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.160$ And you know the the issue with

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}36{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.999$ labeling is also very important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.496$ because you know 5% of anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:31.496 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.160$ just sounds little right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.162$ But if you actually look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}36{:}35.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.659$ milligram per milliliter is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:36.659 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.119$ very high level of nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.554$ And this is what makes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:39.554 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.050$ nicotine is what makes addictive.

 $00:36:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.200$ There are zero level of eliquids

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.220$ and E cigarettes available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667 00:36:46.220 --> 00:36:46.660 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.860$ I should say that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.159$ not that's not very common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:36:51.160 --> 00:36:52.440 These E cigarettes come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.208$ many different flavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.650$ There's more than 7000 flavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}36{:}55.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57.561$ You know it comes in the typical

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:36:57.561 --> 00:36:58.800 like menthol tobacco flavor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:36:58.800 \dashrightarrow 00:37:00.956$ but what's really popular or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.840$ fruit candy store that desert

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}37{:}02.840 --> 00{:}37{:}03.968$ kind of flavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.962$ And also there's also a lot of names

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:06.962 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.500$ that does not allude to actual,

00:37:09.500 --> 00:37:10.751 you know food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:37:10.751 --> 00:37:12.836 but like obscure names like

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:37:12.836 --> 00:37:14.820 you know Unicorn milk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.660$ or you know vampire blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:16.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.132$ or things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.080$ That gets people's attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.786$ It is made up of chemicals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.990$ And the people in glycol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}37{:}24.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.630$ vegetable glycerin and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.090$ combination of the two is used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}37{:}29.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.786$ The ratio of the two is to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:31.786 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.185$ either more aerosol or less aerosol

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}37{:}34.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.132$ is used to intensify flavors or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:37.132 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.925$ a lower the intensity of flavors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:39.925 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.650$ nicotine or other chemicals added

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.310$ such as other water and other chemicals.

 $00:37:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.590$ So in addition to you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:37:46.590 --> 00:37:47.730 nicotine flavor flavorings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:37:47.730 --> 00:37:48.105 PG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:37:48.105 --> 00:37:48.480 VG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.730$ and other chemicals E cigarette aerosol

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.515$ have known or are shown to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:37:53.515 --> 00:37:55.485 heavy metals volatile organic compounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}37{:}55.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57.080$ and fine and ultrafine particles

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}37{:}57.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.186$ that can be inhaled deeply into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:37:59.186 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.650$ lungs by both by users as well as bystanders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:38:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.680$ The long term effects of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

00:38:04.680 --> 00:38:06.700 vaping is currently unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667 00:38:06.700 --> 00:38:07.640 So why? NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:38:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.325$ Why should we care right about E cigarettes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:38:11.325 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.995$ So nicotine use among youth increases

 $00:38:13.995 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.077$ the risk of lifelong to bacco addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00{:}38{:}17.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19.698$ And it could also increase the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:38:19.698 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.427$ for future addiction to other drugs as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806130926666667

 $00:38:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.850$ This is this E sticker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

 $00:38:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.398$ Use is considered an epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

00:38:26.398 --> 00:38:28.430 in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

 $00:38:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.770$ so it's NIH, including NCIS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

00:38:30.770 --> 00:38:32.760 Research priority priority is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

00:38:32.760 --> 00:38:35.070 prevent you thicker E cigarette use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

00:38:35.070 --> 00:38:38.196 In fact SCI has RFA specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

00:38:38.196 --> 00:38:41.587 focus on preventing E cigarette use

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

 $00{:}38{:}41.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.205$ among youth and has a collaborative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

 $00:38:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.815$ A grant that's interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

00:38:47.815 --> 00:38:49.860 in particularly interested in E

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

00:38:49.860 --> 00:38:51.760 cigarette preventing E cigarette use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.493515865

 $00:38:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.742$ and then lastly they also have

 $00:38:54.742 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.730$ invested considerable resources into

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:38:59.000 --> 00:38:59.382 developingsmokefree.gov,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:38:59.382 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.292$ which has resources to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:01.292 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.670$ youth to quit E cigarette use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.240$ So we're thinking of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.810$ to prevent E cigarette use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:39:06.810 --> 00:39:08.772 We've got to consider a lot of factors right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.700$ so there are social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:39:10.700 --> 00:39:11.582 environmental, cognitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:11.582 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.592$ and genetic influences that plays

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:39:13.592 --> 00:39:16.540 a role in in youth to bacco use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.076$ But we also know is that tobacco promotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.724$ marketing, advertising is causally

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:20.724 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.190$ related to youth to bacco use and

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:23.259 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.979$ this has been well established

 $00:39:24.979 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.100$ and has been talked about in

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}39{:}27.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.790$ in in surgeon general reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:28.790 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.526$ So I'm going to focus on social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:39:31.526 --> 00:39:34.026 because now with the advent of social media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.952$ tobacco promotion really faces a unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:36.952 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.529$ challenge because social media is fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.186$ it's cheap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:39:40.186 --> 00:39:43.588 you could reach a lot of people at a quick

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:43.588 \dashrightarrow 00:39:46.150$ speed and it doesn't have sufficient to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:46.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.060$ To to control its content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.097$ So it might not be that surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:52.097 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.585$ to you to hear that you know social

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:39:54.585 --> 00:39:56.160 media is popular among youth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}39{:}56.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.855$ 90% of youth have used social media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:39:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.464$ 75% have at least one active social

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:01.464 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.954$ media profile and 93% report visiting

 $00:40:03.954 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.160$ on social media site at least daily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}40{:}07.160 \to 00{:}40{:}09.645$ When it comes to understanding how E

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}40{:}09.645 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.763$ cigarettes are promoted to youth is

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:40:11.763 --> 00:40:13.749 so important to understand how it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}40{:}13.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.737$ promoted so pro E cigarette content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:40:15.740 --> 00:40:19.100 Is on social media through paid ads

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:40:19.100 --> 00:40:21.372 through influencers promoting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:40:21.372 --> 00:40:23.728 products and on post from a share

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:23.728 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.899$ by their peers and other people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.294$ And recent studies have or are finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}40{:}28.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.032$ that use of social media among youth

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:31.032 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.492$ is associated with E cigarette use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}40{:}33.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.378$ So while there are many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:35.378 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.207$ types of social media platforms in

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:40:37.207 --> 00:40:39.255 our in our group or I'm going to

 $00:40:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.712$ present research findings specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}40{:}40{:}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.107$ to YouTube and I'm and I'm sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:40:43.107 --> 00:40:45.256 all of you have used YouTube so

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:45.256 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.409$ you're familiar with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.920$ YouTube is free online streaming service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.320$ Is used by 1.9 billion users,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:40:52.320 --> 00:40:54.496 which is a third of all Internet users

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:54.496 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.034$ and people spend about a billion hours a

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:40:57.034 --> 00:40:59.219 day watching watching online YouTube videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:40:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.999$ So the the data on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:41:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.952$ The graph here shows this is data from 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:41:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.380$ so it's a bit old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:41:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.068$ but it shows that among teens YouTube

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}41{:}09.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11.131$ is still popular and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:41:11.131 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.639$ there's a recent data that's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}41{:}13.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.128$ I think this year last year that showed

00:41:16.128 --> 00:41:18.987 that You Tube is still popular among

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00{:}41{:}18.987 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}41{:}21.157$ youth despite newer platforms entering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

00:41:21.160 --> 00:41:22.416 That's popular among youth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:41:22.416 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.031$ We could also see that among those people

NOTE Confidence: 0.785546605

 $00:41:25.031 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.383$ who use they they're using YouTube often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.640$ So E cigarettes have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.446$ identified on YouTube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.826$ And people have examined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:36.826 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.546$ Researchers have examined E cigarette

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:38.546 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.496$ content on YouTube to inform prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.588$ They have identified certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:41.588 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.220$ themes that appear in this video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

00:41:43.220 --> 00:41:47.210 such as bait tricks that appeal to you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.720$ as well as unorthodox or modify users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

00:41:49.720 --> 00:41:51.974 So how people might hack these devices

00:41:51.974 --> 00:41:54.300 and use for unintended purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00{:}41{:}54.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56.575$ people are examine Instagram videos

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

00:41:56.575 --> 00:41:58.573 to understand whether there's health

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:41:58.573 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.378$ warning labels associated with them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.126$ as well as how do these videos explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

00:42:04.126 --> 00:42:06.106 health effects of E cigarettes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.930$ And nicotine use as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.340$ the marketing content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00{:}42{:}10.340 \to 00{:}42{:}12.657$ These are just some examples of what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:12.657 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.620$ been examined on YouTube videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.444$ However, there is a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

00:42:16.444 --> 00:42:17.660 limitation in current methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.400$ so all of these prior studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.560$ have used human coding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.018$ which means that you know we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00{:}42{:}22.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.833$ have humans going in and and

00:42:23.833 --> 00:42:25.411 watching a video to identify these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:25.411 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.991$ themes and really limit the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:26.991 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.620$ of videos that could be examined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.780$ So in these studies they examine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

00:42:30.780 --> 00:42:32.470 about 50 to 350 videos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.300$ but in our previous study we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

00:42:34.300 --> 00:42:36.298 examined big trip videos on YouTube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.722$ We found that there is like 156,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00{:}42{:}38.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.340$ videos just on vape tricks along and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.168$ other studies have found that 2200

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

00:42:43.168 --> 00:42:44.536 new E cigarette videos are being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.748$ Upload every month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125 00:42:46.750 --> 00:42:47.124 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:47.124 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.620$ advances in computational methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.927$ can enhance the methods used to

00:42:50.927 --> 00:42:52.797 analyze social media data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7472244125

 $00:42:52.797 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.293$ inform to bacco regulatory science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:42:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.206$ So the other issue with social

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

00:42:59.206 --> 00:43:01.838 media is that social media custom

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:01.838 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.198$ tailors the content to users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.790$ So we know that there is a lot of E

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:06.869 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.401$ cigarette content and this I should

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

00:43:09.401 --> 00:43:12.590 say this algorithm of how social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.064$ content tailors the users is proprietary

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:15.064 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.774$ and we really don't know what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00{:}43{:}17.774 \longrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.008$ of content user being exposed to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

00:43:20.008 --> 00:43:22.300 so understanding the types of content

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:22.364 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.060$ that you would mute or exposed to is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.138$ really important to inform regulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.192$ as well as how to create prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:30.192 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.039$ strategies such as counter marketing.

 $00:43:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.970$ And no study has yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00{:}43{:}34.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.638$ Try to mimic youth conducting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:36.638 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.488$ search and then apply machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.912790375294118

 $00:43:38.488 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.534$ to understand all the data retrieved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}43{:}43.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.248$ So. So advanced computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:43:47.248 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.684$ methods can be applied to overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:43:49.684 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.688$ these limitations and and gaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}43{:}51.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.856$ or another limitation is getting more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:43:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.660$ How do we get these data or videos

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:43:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.136$ rapidly so some platforms provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}44{:}01.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.012$ access via application programming

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}44{:}03.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05.199$ interfaces APIs while other platforms

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}44{:}05.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07.174$ require more involved coding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}44{:}07.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.779$ build data scrapers and API's could

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:09.779 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.531$ potentially deliver thousands or

00:44:11.531 --> 00:44:14.358 even millions of posts per day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:14.358 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.306$ And additionally computational methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}44{:}16.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.614$ Can be used to understand topics

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:18.614 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.150$ related to to bacco prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:20.213 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.318$ using large social media datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.371$ So now I will sort of switch gear to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:44:25.371 --> 00:44:27.534 talk about two studies that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:27.534 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.189$ used to analyze YouTube content

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}44{:}29.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31.590$ on E cigarettes and these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:44:31.590 --> 00:44:33.194 use unsupervised machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:44:33.194 --> 00:44:34.880 rule based classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.565$ network analysis as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:37.565 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.176$ supervised machine learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.630$ The study one we wanted to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.201$ whether E cigarette content

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:44:43.201 --> 00:44:45.943 on YouTube differs by U2 youth

 $00:44:45.943 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.857$ demographic characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}44{:}46.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.828$ To understand whether you think content

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:44:48.828 --> 00:44:50.959 is being tailored to certain views.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:44:50.960 --> 00:44:52.196 To do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:52.196 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.668$ we create a 16 fictitious viewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:54.668 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.556$ profiles and these viewer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:56.556 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.886$ profiles were separated by age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:44:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.590$ So 16 year olds and 24 year olds by

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}45{:}01.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}03.817$ gender as well as race ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.000$ We may profile for white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:05.000 --> 00:45:05.391 black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:05.391 --> 00:45:07.737 Hispanic youth and we used factory

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}45{:}07.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.750$ reset Android phone with Orbot

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.675$ app to delete all personalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:11.675 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.410$ based on search results.

 $00:45:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.066$ And these are the search results

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:15.066 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.844$ are words that we use related

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:16.844 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.698$ to E cigarettes and we conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:18.698 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.979$ this search inmate 720.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:19.980 --> 00:45:22.440 And we obtain 140 videos which

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.700$ is equivalent to about 7 pages

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.366$ of 20 videos per page for each

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:27.366 --> 00:45:29.652 search word and fix your profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:29.652 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.564$ And so after we remove all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:32.564 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.200$ duplicates we had 4201 non duplicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.000$ videos in our search result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:38.000 --> 00:45:40.106 The first we wanted to understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.522$ you know we had to develop a cool bug

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:42.522 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.010$ to understand what we're examining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:45.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.660$ So what we're interested in examining

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:46.660 --> 00:45:48.686 was like what are the videos being

00:45:48.686 --> 00:45:50.166 related to E cigarettes, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:50.166 --> 00:45:51.696 So were they product reviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:51.700 --> 00:45:53.700 vape tricks, health information?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:53.700 --> 00:45:54.700 You know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.628$ What were these videos talking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:45:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.151$ And then we want to know who are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:45:59.151 --> 00:46:00.587 people who are uploading these videos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:00.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.022$ where they private users,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:03.022 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.130$ retailers and we want to know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}46{:}05.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.938$ types of E cigarette products are

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}46{:}06.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08.710$ being featured or the eliquids

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.750$ box mod pods and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.318$ We also want to see if there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:13.318 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.930$ actually selling these products

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.117$ to youth and so we buy we look to

 $00:46:17.117 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.235$ see whether this external links

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}46{:}19.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21.495$ for purchasing and discount codes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.830$ So once we quoted this book, I'll catbug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.690$ We're two independent reviewers

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:46:25.690 --> 00:46:28.060 randomly review the finalizer themes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:28.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.410$ and then we establish integrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.080$ reliability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.426$ And then after that one quarter

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:34.426 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.599$ labeled 1000 videos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.366$ which was used to train supervised

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}46{:}38.366 \to 00{:}46{:}40.569$ machine learning algorithms for study one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:40.569 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.480$ I'm going to focus on video themes

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:42.544 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.488$ because our goal was to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:44.488 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.220$ whether the video theme content

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.740$ was different among users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

00:46:47.740 --> 00:46:48.167 However,

 $00:46:48.167 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.583$ the methods are the same for both studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00{:}46{:}51.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53.708$ So using network analysis we plotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:53.708 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.018$ exposure similarities as a network of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:56.018 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.698$ demographic attributes and videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97449684

 $00:46:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.150$ So what you see here is a graph of male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.390$ female and by different age groups and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

00:47:02.390 --> 00:47:04.829 the thickness of this purple line indicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00{:}47{:}04.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.959$ the normal number of common videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.281$ So what we see that both 24 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00{:}47{:}10.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.748$ profiles have the most wideos

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:12.748 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.575$ in common and then it's 24 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:15.575 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.130$ old male and 16 year old female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.069$ And we also use K means clustering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.644$ which is a powerful unsupervised machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

00:47:22.644 --> 00:47:24.834 learning algorithm that finds similarity

 $00:47:24.834 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.294$ between items and grouped them into

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00{:}47{:}27.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.330$ clusters without the human input.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.638$ And then we used human data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:32.638 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.630$ A human labeled data as an input to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:35.713 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.678$ graph convolutional network for machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:38.678 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.074$ based classification of the 4201 videos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:42.074 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.610$ titles and descriptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

00:47:43.610 --> 00:47:46.658 And we found that just north of high

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:46.658 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.450$ accuracy and using GCN we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.566$ identify what the video themes were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

00:47:54.566 --> 00:47:58.845 So 49% of the videos were product reviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:47:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.588$ 26.9 videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00{:}47{:}59.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.433$ Or informational or or modifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:48:01.433 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.602$ so these are videos that teaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00:48:03.602 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.261$ people how to use an E cigarette or

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

00:48:06.261 --> 00:48:08.469 how to modify or hack in E cigarette

 $00:48:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.366$ 15% or health information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00{:}48{:}10.366 \to 00{:}48{:}13.555$ Videos about E cigarettes and 9% were

NOTE Confidence: 0.864424234

 $00{:}48{:}13.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.625$ just like other types of videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:48:18.890 --> 00:48:21.355 And so after performing clustering

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:21.355 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.011$ classification, we calculate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:48:23.011 --> 00:48:25.333 percentage of each video type in

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:48:25.333 --> 00:48:28.044 each category by demographic groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:28.044 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.950$ So what we find here is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00{:}48{:}31.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.798$ The green color is the product of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00{:}48{:}33.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.728$ so these are videos that talk about you

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:35.728 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.535$ know like give product reviews on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:37.535 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.437$ product and we find that the product

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00{:}48{:}39.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.147$ reviews represented by the green color

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:48:41.147 --> 00:48:44.540 is more common among 24 year old profiles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.975$ Health health is represented by

 $00:48:46.975 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.388$ Orange is similar or cross a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:50.388 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.608$ bit more common among males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:48:52.610 --> 00:48:54.300 And what you what's interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.430$ here is that the lighter bluish

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.330$ purplish color here is informational

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:48:58.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.858$ videos where how to use an Instagram

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:00.858 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.748$ or how to modify an Instagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.486$ And that's a lot more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:05.486 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.310$ among underage female group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.886$ And other other videos are more common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00{:}49{:}09.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.660$ represented by the darker purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.830$ here for male 16 year olds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.700$ which is concerning because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:49:15.700 --> 00:49:17.989 videos had content like you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:49:17.989 --> 00:49:19.739 related to cannabis vaping and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:19.739 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.569$ other vape tricks and so on.

 $00:49:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.780$ So there is concerning content

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.990$ that shows that more tailored

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00{:}49{:}26.068 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}49{:}28.280$ towards younger younger youth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.892$ So our results show that demographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:30.892 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.260$ attributes does factor into

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:49:33.260 --> 00:49:35.036 YouTube algorithmic systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.920$ In the context of esseker

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:49:36.920 --> 00:49:38.424 related queries on YouTube,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.590$ we found that the similarities between

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00{:}49{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43.270$ exposure for male and female 24 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.504$ olds and actually higher than than

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:45.504 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.039$ the connection between other pairs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00{:}49{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.670$ We also found that underage

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00{:}49{:}48.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.872$ users work more exposed to more

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:50.872 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.918$ instructional videos on E cigarettes,

 $00:49:52.918 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.994$ while all the age groups were

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:54.994 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.240$ most exposed to product reviews.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:49:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.467$ So all of this is concerning because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:50:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.690$ We because this shows that underage profiles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:50:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.390$ right so 16 year olds are able to or

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:50:06.390 --> 00:50:09.029 are exposed to E cigarette content

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:50:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.758$ despite YouTube having policies

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:50:11.758 --> 00:50:14.486 about prohibiting Easter great

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

00:50:14.486 --> 00:50:16.362 content to their underage viewers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947678246666667

 $00:50:16.362 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.554$ such as product reviews.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:50:19.650 --> 00:50:23.578 So now I'll talk about our second study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.561$ So we identify we have four areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:50:25.561 --> 00:50:27.138 of interest, which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:27.138 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.398$ what are the video themes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.696$ Who are the people uploading these videos?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00{:}50{:}30.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.464$ You know what types of E cigarette

 $00:50:32.464 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.133$ products are being featured and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:34.133 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.849$ their presence of sales and discounts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.936$ So what we want to do is we you know we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:50:37.936 --> 00:50:39.784 could use human coders to identify them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:39.790 \dashrightarrow 00:50:42.782$ but we wanted to know can we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:50:42.782 --> 00:50:44.428 supervised machine learning to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:44.428 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.894$ identify these key areas that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:50:46.894 --> 00:50:49.329 inform E cigarette prevention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.500$ So what is machine learning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.180$ Machine learning is powerful and it could

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.096$ be used to examine a large data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:54.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.340$ So in this case large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00{:}50{:}55.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.812$ many videos machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:50:56.812 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.020$ has been used to examine social

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:50:59.084 --> 00:51:01.109 media content around tobacco use.

00:51:01.110 --> 00:51:01.616 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00{:}51{:}01.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.194$ no studies have examined YouTube

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:04.194 --> 00:51:06.410 videos using machine learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.643$ So this is a quick overview of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:08.643 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.670$ what a machine learning does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:10.670 --> 00:51:14.586 so using an algorithm to it uses

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:14.586 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.126$ an algorithm to predict something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.138$ So in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:17.138 --> 00:51:19.170 if we're interested in it saying you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:19.170 --> 00:51:21.434 can we use machine learning to to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:21.434 --> 00:51:23.514 identify if a video featuring an E

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:23.514 --> 00:51:25.662 cigarette first we need to teach the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:25.662 --> 00:51:27.920 algorithm what an E cigarette is, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.465$ So we we teach it, if it's jewel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:30.465 --> 00:51:32.244 if it's east, sick, if it's vape,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00{:}51{:}32.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.720$ then it's considered an E cigarette

00:51:33.766 --> 00:51:34.928 and this is A and this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.610$ this data set is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:36.610 --> 00:51:39.712 Used to train the machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:39.712 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.762$ algorithm and the algorithm learns

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:41.762 --> 00:51:44.519 from this example data set and later

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:44.519 --> 00:51:46.962 uses a different data set to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:46.962 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.590$ whether they could identify an E cigarette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:49.590 --> 00:51:51.190 So if it correctly identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.790$ that there is an issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.130$ regret that he's a successful model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.116$ If it fails to identify where

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:51:57.116 --> 00:51:59.010 if an E cigarette exists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:51:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.009$ when it doesn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

 $00:52:00.009 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.007$ then we reach train this machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87126529125

00:52:02.007 --> 00:52:04.101 article rhythm until we could

 $00:52:04.101 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.797$ achieve a successful classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8682599155

 $00:52:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.838$ So in our study, this is a model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8682599155

00:52:10.838 --> 00:52:12.516 performance of our machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8682599155

00:52:12.516 --> 00:52:14.987 models for each of the four categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8682599155

 $00:52:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.790$ F1 score is a measure of test accuracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8682599155

 $00:52:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.114$ It's calculated from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8682599155

 $00:52:19.114 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.100$ precision and recall of a test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827493696842105

 $00:52:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.280$ And this is a like a pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.827493696842105

00:52:25.280 --> 00:52:26.793 score considering the complexity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827493696842105

00:52:26.793 --> 00:52:28.665 the themes that we were identifying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00:52:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.430$ So what do we find?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00:52:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.747$ So this is a little more detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00{:}52{:}34.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37.107$ look into video themes that we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

00:52:37.107 --> 00:52:39.530 in this case study versus our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

00:52:39.530 --> 00:52:41.746 One that's what we have more themes here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00:52:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.544$ and we also similarly identify the

 $00:52:43.544 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.429$ product views were the most common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00{:}52{:}45.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47.366$ And if you see a picture image here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00:52:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.833$ this is an example of what a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

00:52:48.833 --> 00:52:50.133 product review look like, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

00:52:50.133 --> 00:52:52.148 This is Jewel starter Kit

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00:52:52.148 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.357$ unboxing and review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00:52:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.918$ And we also found that 72nd highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00{:}52{:}55.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.552$ video theme was modified video that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00{:}52{:}58.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.954$ teaches people how to modify and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00:53:00.954 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.126$ informational videos on how to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00:53:03.205 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.860$ health information was 11% other

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00{:}53{:}05.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.660$ themes that were still ysaguirre.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00{:}53{:}08.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.060$ 9% of marijuana related things

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

 $00{:}53{:}11.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.616$ was 6% and other irrelevant theme

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

00:53:13.616 --> 00:53:16.010 which is like non E cigarette

 $00:53:16.089 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.306$ theme for five percent 5.6% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92218714

00:53:18.306 --> 00:53:20.244 vape chicks was one point 1%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.460$ So product type, so this is so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.945$ is all the different types of products

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:26.945 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.875$ that we identified through machine

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:53:28.934 --> 00:53:30.842 learning and and what this actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:30.842 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.555$ shows is that there are a variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:53:33.555 --> 00:53:35.771 different types of E cigarette products

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00{:}53{:}35.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38.494$ that are being featured on on YouTube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.906$ So who are the people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:40.906 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.510$ are uploading these videos?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.030$ 54% were weighed enthusiasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.930$ so who are big enthusiasts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:53:45.930 --> 00:53:48.750 These are independent users who post

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.630$ almost exclusively about bathing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.560$ So when you go to the channel page to see

00:53:52.608 --> 00:53:54.348 what kind of videos they've uploaded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.066$ it was mostly related to vaping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.080$ but they were not directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:53:58.080 --> 00:53:59.688 connected to vaping company,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:53:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.265$ so we cannot verify that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:01.265 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.525$ their influences or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.379$ So these are some examples of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:05.379 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.906$ account of people who've a person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:07.906 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.796$ Vape enthusiasts of channel page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:54:09.800 --> 00:54:11.052 As you could see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00{:}54{:}11.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12.930$ all the contents related to vaping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.965$ This is problematic because when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:54:14.965 --> 00:54:17.000 it comes to regulating content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:54:17.000 --> 00:54:20.616 you cannot regulate private users, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:20.616 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.796$ You can't tell the regular

 $00:54:21.796 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.020$ person to say you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.820$ Don't post things about vaping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:54:24.820 --> 00:54:25.181 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:54:25.181 --> 00:54:26.625 you could regulate influencers

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:26.625 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.167$ who get paid by the industry to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

00:54:29.167 --> 00:54:31.183 post their products and the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.595$ The difficulty with vape enthusiasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:32.595 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.561$ is that there's no way to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:34.561 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.577$ who are vape enthusiast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.668$ who are influencers and her regular users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.044 21\%$ are stores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:41.044 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.014$ 12% is other sources and six point 4% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86880266

 $00:54:45.014 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.838$ medical community and 6% of private users.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00.54.51.550 \longrightarrow 00.54.54.430$ So 59% of video did not have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00{:}54{:}54.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.598$ discount or links 34% of the videos

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00{:}54{:}57.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.033$ had external links for purchasing

 $00{:}55{:}00.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02.539$ and 5% or have other discount methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:02.539 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.490$ and one point 7% had discount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.520$ So this is a screen shot of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

00:55:07.520 --> 00:55:09.620 instructional videos like beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.539$ beginners vaping tip that also had

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:12.539 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.747$ a link that you could purchase

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00.55:14.747 \longrightarrow 00.55:16.899$ as well as a coupon code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

00:55:16.900 --> 00:55:17.930 For purchasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.050$ So what do we find in this study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00{:}55{:}22.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.008$ We found that I complicated things

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:25.008 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.802$ relevant to E cigarettes could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:27.802 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.857$ identified using machine learning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:29.857 \dashrightarrow 00:55:32.330$ fictitious youth viewer profiles on YouTube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.830$ We identified videos that violated

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

00:55:34.830 --> 00:55:36.830 YouTube tobacco policy restricting

 $00:55:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.068$ promotional content to underage minors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.744$ such as product reviews and purchasing links.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.460$ Again, there was a high level

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.960$ of industry presence and such

NOTE Confidence: 0.918577972727273

 $00:55:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.495$ as faith enthusiast at stores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

00:55:49.040 --> 00:55:51.420 So overall conclusions, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:55:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.575$ Mixed methods such as qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:55:53.575 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.730$ analysis using human labellers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:55:55.801 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.896$ computational methods can really reveal

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

00:55:57.896 --> 00:56:00.879 E cigarette use content to inform youth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.868$ to bacco prevention and social media has

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

00:56:03.868 --> 00:56:09.488 really a really rich data and has a good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.890$ You know you could have a really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00{:}56{:}11.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.140$ understanding of youth behaviors as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.399$ promotion and sales that youth can access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.170$ And again, this is our current

00:56:18.170 --> 00:56:20.438 occurring a lot on YouTube as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00{:}56{:}20.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22.484$ as on other social media platforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

00:56:22.490 --> 00:56:25.129 And to prevent youth E cigarette uptake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.990$ regulation of social media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.315$ a promotion that occurs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:29.315 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.620$ social media is really needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

00:56:31.620 --> 00:56:33.732 So you know this is one example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:33.732 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.928$ how social media could be leveraged

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00{:}56{:}35.928 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}56{:}37.528$ using qualitative and computation

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00{:}56{:}37.528 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}56{:}39.280$ method to understand certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.505$ behaviors that could prevent KENS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.920$ Has cancer prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.800$ implications like to bacco use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.624$ But certainly this this type of methods could

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:47.624 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.794$ be used to understand other behaviors that

 $00:56:50.794 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.730$ has direct implications to preventing cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.942$ such as, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

00:56:54.942 --> 00:56:55.548 physical activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816114232

 $00:56:55.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.758$ diet, obesity as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820818150526316

 $00:56:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.614$ So I'd like to acknowledge our funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.820818150526316

 $00:57:01.614 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.233$ stores as well as Yale Tobacco Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.820818150526316

00:57:04.233 --> 00:57:06.635 of the Study on Tobacco Regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820818150526316

00:57:06.635 --> 00:57:10.723 tobacco product of Youth in addiction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820818150526316

00:57:10.723 --> 00:57:13.962 also our team in University, Texas Austin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820818150526316

 $00:57:13.962 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.878$ who is leading the computational methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89424739

 $00{:}57{:}18.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.468$ So thank you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897111616666667

 $00:57:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.152$ Thanks Doctor Kang that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897111616666667

 $00:57:24.152 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.242$ that was that was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897111616666667

00:57:26.250 --> 00:57:28.740 And it's open for questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897111616666667

 $00:57:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.880$ please put him in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897111616666667

 $00:57:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.328$ I know we only have a few minutes,

 $00:57:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.985$ but maybe we could stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.897111616666667

 $00:57:32.985 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.020$ over for a minute or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897111616666667

 $00:57:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.160$ If people have questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

00:57:38.670 --> 00:57:44.755 Have you? Reached out to YouTube and showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

00:57:44.755 --> 00:57:47.380 them your data and asked whether they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

 $00:57:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.515$ I mean it does sound like there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

 $00:57:49.515 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.788$ clear you have clear evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

 $00{:}57{:}51.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}53.933$ their policies are being violated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

 $00:57:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.165$ Presumably they have the computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

 $00:57:56.165 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.760$ firepower to be able to do similar things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

00:57:59.760 --> 00:58:01.530 Is it something that they may

NOTE Confidence: 0.73515178

 $00:58:01.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.070$ be convinced to look into?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00{:}58{:}03.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.390$ Yeah, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:05.390 --> 00:58:07.448 You know, I have a paper cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:07.448 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.690$ currently under review that's

 $00:58:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.804$ looking at all of the self imposed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.830$ Social media policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.673$ Across all the all the social media platforms

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:14.673 --> 00:58:17.067 on tobacco and and not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.268$ you know all of the social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00{:}58{:}19.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}21.528$ platforms that do have these policies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:21.530 --> 00:58:22.850 They're not being enforced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.266$ so so hopefully you know this will

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00{:}58{:}25.266 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}58{:}27.146$ bring some more greater attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:27.150 --> 00:58:28.342 Aside from You Tube.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:28.342 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.895$ But just looking at all the social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:30.895 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.352$ platforms and what more could be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:33.360 --> 00:58:34.788 Yeah, and I think could get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.422$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:35.422 --> 00:58:36.992 I think 1 translational component

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:36.992 --> 00:58:39.169 is that we publish in peer review

 $00{:}58{:}39.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40.887$ journals and a lot of this information

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00:58:40.887 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.506$ don't get out into the bigger world

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:42.506 --> 00:58:44.053 and I think just doing some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:44.060 --> 00:58:45.728 that legwork might be important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

 $00{:}58{:}45.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47.238$ getting some of these attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.71717041

00:58:47.238 --> 00:58:48.938 for two social media platforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

 $00.58:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.668$ It's important work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

 $00:58:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.128$ So it's it's a few minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

 $00:58:55.128 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.771$ after the hour doesn't look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

00:58:56.771 --> 00:58:57.995 there's any more questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

00:58:58.000 --> 00:59:00.244 So again, thank you to both

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

 $00:59:00.244 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.421$ the the presenters for very

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

 $00{:}59{:}02.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04.218$ interesting discussion and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

 $00:59:04.220 \dashrightarrow 00:59:06.388$ We'll see you at the next grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87706414

 $00:59:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.260$ Thank thank you.

00:59:08.510 --> 00:59:09.170 Thank you.