WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:58:47" NOTE recognizability:0.803

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.440 Good afternoon everyone. Welcome to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.500$ the Yale Cancer Center grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.391$ Today we have two fantastic speakers both

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:08.391 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.440$ who are in the developmental therapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:11.440 --> 00:00:14.884 program of the Yale Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:14.890 --> 00:00:16.348 And why don't we get started?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.086$ I'm delighted to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:18.086 --> 00:00:19.388 Doctor James Farrell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}19.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.630$ who is a professor of medicine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}21.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.970$ the director of the Yale Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}23.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.278$ for Pancreatic Diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:25.280 --> 00:00:27.168 He's an internationally recognized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:27.168 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.056$ expert and pancreatic disease

 $00:00:29.056 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.308$ treatment and research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:30.308 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.031$ and is known for his development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:33.031 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.904$ personalized therapy approaches for

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:34.904 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.259$ pancreatic cancer and early detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:37.259 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.400$ biomarkers for pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.200$ He received his medical medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.640$ degree from University College

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:42.640 --> 00:00:44.187 Dublin and completed internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}44.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.077$ medicine training at Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.120$ And a gastroenterology fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.186$ at MGH and Harvard Medical School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:51.186 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.692$ He then completed advanced Therapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:53.692 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.684$ Endoscopy fellowship training at

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:55.684 --> 00:00:58.220 mass General and Brigham and Women's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.795$ in addition to his clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}59.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.055$ practice and pancreatic disease

 $00:01:01.055 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.679$ and interventional endoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}01{:}02.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.194$ His clinical research has focused on

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:01:05.194 --> 00:01:07.380 early detection of pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:01:07.380 --> 00:01:09.030 including studying pancreatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:01:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.330$ high risk individuals and pancreatic cysts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:01:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.520$ His translational research includes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:01:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.272$ development of personalized therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}01{:}16.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.056$ Approaches for pancreatic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:01:18.056 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.276$ and the evaluation of biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:01:20.276 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.009$ and pancreatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:01:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.110$ Delighted to turn it over to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}01{:}24.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.664$ Doctor Farrell and look forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}01{:}25.664 \to 00{:}01{:}27.722$ to hearing what you have to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818 00:01:27.730 --> 00:01:28.710 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.650$ Thanks everyone and good afternoon.

 $00:01:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.530$ Thanks for the invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}01{:}33.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.630$ So we're going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.620$ about pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.710$ early detection prevention this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.702$ It really is going to be an overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:40.702 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.517$ in the time allotted and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:42.517 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.395$ of emphasizing some of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:44.458 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.198$ that we've been involved with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.270$ Disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.674$ So when you think about pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:01:49.674 --> 00:01:51.449 cancer in terms of overall incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:01:51.450 --> 00:01:53.200 it's actually low down in the list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.132$ and maybe sometimes doesn't get as

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}01{:}55.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.762$ much prominence as other cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:01:56.762 --> 00:01:58.764 such as prostate or lung or colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:01:58.770 --> 00:02:00.786 But when you look at cancer related deaths,

 $00:02:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.810$ it jumps up the list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.805$ and it's probably around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:03.805 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.800$ third or fourth most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:05.872 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.007$ cause of cancer related death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.306$ So in 2022,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:09.306 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.466$ it's estimated there'll be about

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.750$ 62,000 cases and just under 50,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}02{:}13.750 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.787$ deaths related to it and has long been

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:16.787 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.570$ predicted and certainly on its way by 2030,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}02{:}19.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.034$ it's expected to be the second most

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:22.034 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.469$ common cause for cancer related death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}02{:}25.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.036$ It also needs to be emphasized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.180$ The fact that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}02{:}28.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.320$ there have been improvements

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.154$ in the management and treatment

 $00:02:31.154 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.366$ of pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.842$ but really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:32.842 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.786$ they have fallen short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.186$ although progress still continues

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:35.186 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.630$ to be made and just emphasizing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}02{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.660$ need for a better and improved early

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}02{:}39.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.589$ detection for this particular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.020$ If we're hoping to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.478$ the overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63881097600:02:45.480 --> 00:02:45.794 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}02{:}45.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.678$ there has been tremendous progress in

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:02:47.678 --> 00:02:49.880 the world of understanding cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.524$ biology of pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:02:51.524 --> 00:02:52.757 Along the bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:02:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.004$ here is a normal progression through

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}02{:}55.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.338$ low grade and high grade dysplasia

 $00:02:57.338 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.005$ or so called pen and lesions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:00.005 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.708$ invasive pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:03:02.710 --> 00:03:05.010 It always typically starts with

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.310$ the activation of an oncogene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.220$ and then it's been several

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.748$ well known characterized tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:03:10.748 --> 00:03:12.039 suppressor gene mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.670$ including P53 and SMAD 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:15.670 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.740$ It's also worth noticing that related

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.469$ to this is another entity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:20.469 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.599$ pancreas called Ipmn or introductive

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:22.599 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.070$ papillary mucinous neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:03:24.070 --> 00:03:26.555 It also goes through a similar sequence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.040$ resulting in an invasive neoplasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.410$ with similar mutations, such as in K.

00:03:31.410 --> 00:03:32.920 Ras, but also some unique ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.935$ Which is Gina Genas uncle

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:03:35.935 --> 00:03:37.744 Gene observation activation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.507$ but it's very hard to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:03:42.840 --> 00:03:44.688 Carcinoma without without talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:44.688 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.710$ about the other disease of ipmn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.095$ It's also worth making the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:50.095 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.203$ point that this progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.106$ we think from the initial K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.318$ Ras mutation to the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}03{:}55.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.790$ let's say metastatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.855$ is probably of the region

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:58.855 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.549$ of of about 11 years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.470$ And so this number is debatable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.454$ But basically it's a long time for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}04{:}05.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.496$ to try and intervene and understand

 $00:04:07.496 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.728$ what's going on during this sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:04:09.730 --> 00:04:11.945 However, it's also worth noting

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}04{:}11.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.682$ that in its early stages and pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:04:14.682 --> 00:04:16.210 invasive stages with pannin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.690$ it typically can't be seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:04:17.690 --> 00:04:18.160 Radiologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:04:18.160 --> 00:04:21.450 when an early stage cancer does arise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.558$ this can be seen by radiologic imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.919$ but in the time required to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}04{:}26.919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.029$ from an early stage, resectable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:04:29.029 --> 00:04:31.265 surgically manageable pancreatic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}04{:}31.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.386$ to an advanced metastatic stage can

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:34.386 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.330$ be as short as one to $1\ 1/2$ years, and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:04:37.330 --> 00:04:38.290 At that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.040$ the clock does start ticking.

00:04:40.040 --> 00:04:42.839 And it brings up the issue of missed cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}04{:}42.840 --> 00{:}04{:}43.764 \ \mathrm{interval} \ \mathrm{cancers},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:43.764 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.998$ cancers that may be seen on imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:46.998 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.175$ with more advanced uses of of imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.628$ Now there's no shortage of biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:52.628 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.740$ signatures for early pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.620$ This is just a selection of of some of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.124$ and they all have very promising

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:00.124 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.500$ operating characteristics or AUC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.612$ So in the top left is a salivary

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}05{:}05{:}05{:}05{:}08{:}309$ based 4 gene panel of RNA that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}08.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.172$ worked with again comparing non

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:10.172 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.152$ cancers to patients with cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:12.152 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.818$ with a very respectable AUC of .97.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:05:16.820 --> 00:05:19.557 Below is a work of Anoop Sharma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.930$ He said who Jim looking at?

 $00:05:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.055$ DNA methylation markers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}23.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.680$ And this is a particular 4 gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:05:25.753 --> 00:05:27.898 cell free DNA methylation panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.687$ again with very respectable AU C curves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.466$ We've kind of come to the age in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.186$ 2022 where we now have the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:36.186 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.860$ of commercially available panels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.686$ not just for cancer in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:05:40.690 --> 00:05:42.139 so you have heard of GRAIL and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:05:42.139 --> 00:05:43.349 you've heard of cancer seek,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}43.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.310$ but now Innovia has an imray pan candy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.765$ which is an antibody panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}48.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.729$ specifically for pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.376$ and in the studies to date at

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:53.376 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.721$ least shows very promising AUC's

 $00:05:55.721 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.245$ for the differentiation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}57.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.150$ early stage cancer and control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:05:59.150 --> 00:05:59.957 And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:05:59.957 --> 00:06:01.302 this is being currently tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:01.302 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.459$ in a high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:02.460 --> 00:06:04.450 Population the Pantheon One study

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.440$ in which we're involved with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}06{:}06{:}06{:}06{:}08{:}09{:}$ results of which will be out soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.240$ But the problem is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.760$ there are all these great

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:11.760 --> 00:06:12.368 biomarker signatures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.218$ but they're not being applied or can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:14.218 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.229$ be applied to the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:16.230 --> 00:06:18.921 And this has to do with an issue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:18.921 --> 00:06:20.660 mathematics and the 1.6 lifetime risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.590$ and the low,

 $00:06:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.153$ relatively low prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:23.153 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.716$ of pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.936$ What that means is that even with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:26.936 --> 00:06:29.280 very good sensitivity and specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.266$ whereas you have a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:31.266 --> 00:06:32.259 negative predictive value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.285$ you have a very low

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}06{:}34.285 \to 00{:}06{:}35.500$ positive predictive value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.720$ And even as you increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.669$ sensitivity and specificity of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:39.669 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.659$ tests in the general population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:41.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.164$ the positive predictive value

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:43.164 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.760$ only gets as good as about 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.792$ And what that means is that most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:47.792 --> 00:06:49.541 the positive tests that you're going

 $00:06:49.541 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.317$ to see in the general population

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:51.377 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.545$ will turn out to be false positive tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.258$ and this is one of the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:55.258 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.510$ reasons why we haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.796$ Unraveled general population

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:58.796 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.320$ screening currently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.280$ So as a result of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.120$ we tend to focus on high risk groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.147$ and the three big high risk groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:05.147 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.662$ which are these enriched groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00{:}07{:}06.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.846$ that increase the prevalence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:08.846 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.266$ chances of developing pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00{:}07{:}10.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.960$ cancer include new onset diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

00:07:12.960 --> 00:07:14.381 And I'm not going to go spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:14.381 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.699$ too much time on this today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714 00:07:15.700 --> 00:07:16.150 Shiraz Shari,

 $00:07:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.365$ who's kind of a leader in this area, spoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

00:07:18.365 --> 00:07:20.440 Very passionate about this subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.318$ Here at Yale several weeks back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.770$ but diabetes interplays with everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.100$ that we talk about with pancreatic system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.470$ family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.360$ The other two high risk groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824197183333333

 $00:07:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.888$ So what about

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:07:30.900 --> 00:07:31.692 pancreatic cysts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:31.692 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.544$ So pancreatic cysts, and specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}07{:}33.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.868$ a type of cyst called an ipmn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.118$ are incredibly common findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}07{:}38.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.237$ incidentally, found typically on

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:07:40.237 --> 00:07:43.870 CT scans or Mris of the abdomen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.798$ We use a variety of imaging

00:07:46.798 --> 00:07:49.370 features of these incidental cysts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}07{:}49.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.860$ such as high risk stigmata,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.009$ are they associated with jaundice or amass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.586$ Is the pancreatic duct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:54.586 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.768$ for example dilated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:07:55.770 --> 00:07:56.790 are worrisome features?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.150$ Is there a nodule?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.760$ Is there an intermediate ductal

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}07{:}59.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.063$ dilation of the main pancreatic duct is

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:02.063 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.668$ assessed greater than 3 centimeters?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.290$ Is there a change in caliber?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}05.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.970$ Is a cyst getting rapidly bigger over time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.658$ So we use these imaging features to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:08:11.658 --> 00:08:14.416 and better understand what's the risk of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:08:14.420 --> 00:08:18.170 This particular IP man having cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.456$ and so we think that overall

00:08:20.456 --> 00:08:23.160 there's a 1% chance per year of

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.110$ these IP amends developing cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.510$ and when we look at the imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.512$ one of the first questions that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}28.512 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.151$ end up trying to sort out is should

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}31.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.016$ these patients undergo surgery and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:33.016 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.717$ we use a combination of the imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.628$ But even with our best imaging in 2022,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:37.628 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.776$ it's likely that anywhere in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:39.776 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.666$ region of about 60% of patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:42.666 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.028$ undergoing unnecessary surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.450$ meaning that we are resecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}46.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.922$ low grade dysplastic lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:47.922 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.762$ not the high grade dysplastic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.720$ not the invasive cancer patients

 $00:08:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.070$ that we're really trying to find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.294$ And so this kind of begs for an

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:56.294 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.924$ improvement in this particular area

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:57.924 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.290$ and one particular area has been in

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:00.355 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.406$ looking at the role of cyst fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.909$ and so we have the ability with

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}04.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.683$ endoscopic ultrasound to pass a

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}06.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.591$ camera down into the stomach and

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}08.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.640$ sample the fluid in these cysts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.453$ And this can be very helpful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:12.453 \dashrightarrow 00:09:13.868$ making the diagnosis of the cyst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.166$ Like is it an ipmn or some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:16.166 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.750$ type of precancerous?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.150$ But it also has the ability for

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.812$ us to look at, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:20.812 --> 00:09:21.674 methylation markers,

00:09:21.674 --> 00:09:24.440 and this is very promising 2 panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}24.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.575$ marker that has a very good high

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:26.575 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.250$ operating characteristics for separating

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.420$ out low grade dysplastic system

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:30.420 \dashrightarrow 00:09:32.999$ which we don't want to operate on

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:32.999 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.172$ and high grade dysplastic and cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:35.172 --> 00:09:37.260 So expect to hear more in time about

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}37.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.037$ these particular markers and SIS fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:39.037 --> 00:09:41.259 as well as other even protein based

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}41.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.954$ markers in this particular field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:09:45.170 --> 00:09:47.210 The other issue with pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:09:47.210 --> 00:09:48.842 cysts relates to surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}09{:}48.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.901$ and so as we get better at deciding which

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:09:51.901 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.068$ patients shouldn't undergo surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:09:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.471$ it'll become obvious to people that the

 $00:09:56.471 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.096$ vast majority of these patients with I PMN

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:09:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.290$ nothing really happens them dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:01.290 --> 00:10:02.955 Over time, they might get

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:02.955 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.287$ a little bit bigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:04.290 --> 00:10:06.226 They're duct might get a little bit bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.924$ but their chances of developing cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:08.924 --> 00:10:11.050 requiring surgery is incredibly low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:11.050 --> 00:10:12.988 but we're obliged to follow them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:12.990 --> 00:10:13.896 especially younger patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:13.896 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.708$ because we're telling them your cyst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}15.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.470$ As a risk of developing cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.479$ And in that, the field of surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:20.479 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.469$ has really become a very complex issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.095$ primarily because there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:24.095 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.070$ of cysts involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:25.070 --> 00:10:26.841 so it's estimated at about 6 million

00:10:26.841 --> 00:10:28.369 people in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:28.370 --> 00:10:30.866 At least have some form of pancreatic cyst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.352$ and it's brought up questions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:32.352 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.762$ when should we stop surveying

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}33.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.587$ patients that have pancreatic cysts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.846$ Is there an age?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:36.846 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.730$ Are there Co morbidities that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:38.803 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.309$ should say outweigh the risk of cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.913$ Is there any way of tailoring or

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}42.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.743$ having kind of a frank discussion with

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:44.743 --> 00:10:46.723 patients to kind of educate them on

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:46.723 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.475$ who needs surveillance and who doesn't?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}48.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.454$ And is there any progress we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:50.454 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.677$ make in the realm of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:52.677 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.429$ the biology of progression?

 $00:10:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.067$ So we know for a fact that says do

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}57.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.455$ change at some says do change over

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}59.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.778$ time and some cysts will change

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:01.778 --> 00:11:04.028 even after periods of stability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.006$ On the flip side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:05.006 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.226$ we also know that stability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.690$ especially for small cyst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.566$ is a good hallmark, or it's not great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:10.566 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.336$ but it's a good hallmark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222 00:11:12.340 --> 00:11:12.604 Nonetheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}12.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14.452$ in a variety of guidelines that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:14.452 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.206$ out there to help us understand who

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:16.206 --> 00:11:18.029 we should be following who we should be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.870$ stopping surveillance on the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.574$ Gastroenterology Association has

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:22.574 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.214$ made the recommendation that after

00:11:25.214 --> 00:11:27.908 five years of CIS stability that

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:27.908 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.448$ you should stop surveillance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:29.448 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.459$ this actually has been quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:31.459 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.160$ controversial recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.428$ I could choda one of the physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:36.428 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.401$ in our research group had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:38.401 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.578$ ability to look at about 18 studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:40.648 --> 00:11:42.778 with over 10,000 patients followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:42.778 --> 00:11:44.908 for almost 60,000 patient years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}44.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.998$ And I could look at in these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:46.998 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.455$ that have now long term follow up for

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}49.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.449$ patients with otherwise low risk IP men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}51.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.472$ So patients that we would typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:53.472 --> 00:11:55.129 just be following and he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:55.129 --> 00:11:56.194 able to notice that yeah,

 $00:11:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.570$ after five years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.822$ the risks of them developing progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:01.822 \dashrightarrow 00:12:05.462$ is probably around 3% per year and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}12{:}05.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.457$ risk of them developing a cancer or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:12:08.457 --> 00:12:10.864 advanced lesion is about 1% per year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:10.864 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.766$ And when he looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:12.766 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.080$ subgroup of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.536$ so patients who were stable for five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.324$ so this would be the group that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:12:18.324 --> 00:12:19.718 would typically talk about stopping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}12{:}19.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.674$ There's still a .2% risk per year

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:12:22.674 --> 00:12:25.120 of developing cancer long-term out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.360$ so we don't think that there's enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.579$ evidence right now to really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:29.579 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.179$ talking about stopping surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.100$ What we do know is that there are

00:12:33.100 --> 00:12:34.886 subgroups of patients who we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:34.886 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.764$ be probably having a more intelligent

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:12:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.760$ conversation with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:12:37.760 --> 00:12:40.380 and particularly patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:12:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.345$ have significant comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:12:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.830$ We had the ability to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:12:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.695$ 440 patients that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}12{:}48.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.910$ actively surveying at Yale over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:12:50.910 --> 00:12:52.856 period of about 56 months or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:12:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.860$ and in that group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:12:55.860 --> 00:12:57.087 44 patients died,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:12:57.087 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.541$ but the vast majority of deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}12{:}59.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.793$ on follow-up were not related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}02.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.737$ pancreatic disease, and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:04.737 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.175$ when we took a cut off of a

00:13:07.175 --> 00:13:08.819 comorbidity index of four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:08.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.106$ So an index that takes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:11.106 --> 00:13:13.174 cardiac renal pulmonary issues to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:13.174 --> 00:13:15.078 determine long term comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.508$ a cutoff of four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:16.508 --> 00:13:18.293 It's very good at predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:18.293 --> 00:13:20.059 long term comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:20.060 --> 00:13:22.270 not related to pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.580$ and so now we're beginning to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.040$ these discussions with patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}26.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.070$ based on their overall prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.435$ not just focusing specifically on

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}30.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.590$ the issue related to their pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.264$ This very useful tool that's now available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:36.270 --> 00:13:38.763 We actually have it on our high risk website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}38.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.568$ The PCD website is a validated

 $00:13:42.568 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.586$ tool that was initiated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:45.586 --> 00:13:47.818 validated in both Dutch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:47.820 --> 00:13:49.734 Italian and several US sites for

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:49.734 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.939$ looking at both the five year and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:51.939 --> 00:13:53.955 the three year and five year risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:54.023 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.047$ of developing worrisome features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:56.050 --> 00:13:57.646 A high risk of matter if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:57.646 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.380$ have a a low risk branch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}59.380 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}14{:}01.270$ Strict IP men so you you as a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.030$ are able to go on to the website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.270$ You're able to put in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.440$ The size of your cyst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88478232045454600:14:05.440 --> 00:14:06.086 for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:06.086 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.701$ There's some other parameters like

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:07.701 --> 00:14:09.499 smoking is your SIS multifocal,

00:14:09.500 --> 00:14:11.756 and it's able to give you a pictorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:11.756 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.607$ representation of your risk of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:13.607 --> 00:14:14.839 cyst developing into worrisome

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:14.839 --> 00:14:16.430 features and high risk matters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.584$ so this is very helpful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:18.584 --> 00:14:20.020 discussions with patients overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}20.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.021$ and ultimately we would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}22.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.382$ get greater numbers to to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:24.382 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.057$ to calculate individual risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:26.057 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.570$ developing an advanced neoplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}29.570 --> 00{:}14{:}31.570$ But I think a key issue is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:31.570 --> 00:14:33.591 trying to understand at a molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:33.591 --> 00:14:35.416 level what makes some sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:35.416 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.070$ stable for periods of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:37.070 --> 00:14:38.730 What makes certain IP amends

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.792$ decide that they want to take

 $00{:}14{:}40.792 \longrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.820$ off after five years and develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.590$ into an invasive malignancy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.407$ And to this end there was a need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:47.407 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.637$ understand IP mensys progression more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546 00:14:49.640 --> 00:14:50.212 To date, NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:50.212 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.928$ there have been some limited data

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:51.928 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.141$ and the use of organoids derive

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}54.141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.721$ some surgical resection specimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:55.721 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.737$ so patients who have gone to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:57.737 --> 00:14:59.197 the operating room and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:59.197 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.680$ have been able to develop ipmn

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.432$ organoids for additional study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}03.440 \to 00{:}15{:}05.210$ We've taken a slightly different approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}05.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.536$ We were actually interested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:15:06.536 --> 00:15:08.040 groups of patients that were surveying,

00:15:08.040 --> 00:15:10.576 so patients who do not go for surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}10.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.800$ and using again endoscopic ultrasound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:15:12.800 --> 00:15:14.640 We've been sampling the fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:15:14.640 --> 00:15:16.480 from these pancreatic cysts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:16.547 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.419$ generating organoid structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.380$ This is very preliminary data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.312$ and these are some of the images

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:22.312 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.966$ that we are identifying similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:23.966 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.605$ to what we would see in organoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:15:26.610 --> 00:15:29.508 To date we have studied 9

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}29.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.440$ patients with presumed ipms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:15:31.440 --> 00:15:32.766 They're all growing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:15:32.766 --> 00:15:34.976 they're all growing exceptionally slow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.925$ but we've been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:35.925 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.120$ passage some of them as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}37.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38.992$ so this is a an interesting

 $00:15:38.992 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.860$ development to allow us to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}15{:}40.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.164$ I PM and progression to may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:15:43.164 --> 00:15:44.700 tailor approaches for individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:15:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.070$ and maybe offer interventions such

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}15{:}47.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.699$ as chemoprevention, so stay tuned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:15:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.864$ Now the other large area within the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:15:54.864 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.698$ or the high risk of pancreatic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:15:56.698 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.678$ is your hereditary susceptibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:15:58.680 --> 00:16:00.924 or your inherited risk of developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:00.924 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.041$ cancer pancreatic cancer raw,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:02.041 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.195$ but we think that maybe about 10% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}04.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.170$ all pancreatic cancers are at risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.598$ developing cancer based on a family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.637$ and there's a variety of guidelines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:11.637 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.170$ we've been involved with specifically

00:16:13.170 --> 00:16:15.000 the CAPS guidelines to help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.769$ understand who we should be surveying

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:16.769 --> 00:16:18.425 and who we shouldn't be surveying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:18.430 --> 00:16:19.834 And very Simply put,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:19.834 --> 00:16:21.940 there's a high risk group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}22.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.747$ carries a very high lifetime risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:24.747 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.567$ of developing pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:26.570 --> 00:16:28.734 It includes individuals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:28.734 --> 00:16:31.439 mutations and P-16 puts YAGERS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:31.440 --> 00:16:32.925 but also individuals who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}32.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.787$ a family history with three or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:34.787 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.079$ four first degree relatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.528$ So these people are at a higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:38.528 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.949$ without a known genetic abnormality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.686$ There's also a low to moderate risk that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:42.686 --> 00:16:44.187 includes genes that you're familiar with,

 $00:16:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.550$ such as Braca one bracket,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.435$ two other DNA repair genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:47.435 --> 00:16:49.320 like Paul V2 and ATM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.792$ but also again in there is a family

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:51.792 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.334$ risk of two first degree relatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:54.334 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.649$ and that carries a significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.512$ albeit low risk than those that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}58.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.458$ three first three relatives with no

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:17:00.458 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.278$ abnormality identifiable in the germline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.690$ To take care of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.658$ individuals several years back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:17:06.660 --> 00:17:08.459 we set up a pancreatic cancer early

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

 $00:17:08.459 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.109$ detection clinic at Yale through smile

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:10.109 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.041$ through the general support of Smile and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:12.088 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.817$ Javier Loras group and in this group.

00:17:13.820 --> 00:17:16.340 Currently we're following about 185 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.716$ most of them in protocol who are at high

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:18.716 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.917$ risk of developing pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:17:20.920 --> 00:17:22.774 and again, you can see this from this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.384$ The the majority of patients are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:25.384 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.789$ individuals who have a strong family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:27.789 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.410$ but do not have any genetic abnormality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.586$ One of the original studies that was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:32.586 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.924$ in this area was that what's called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:34.924 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.070$ Caps three study and these patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

 $00:17:37.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.754$ typically imaged with a variety of CT scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:17:39.754 --> 00:17:41.770 Mris and endoscopic ultrasounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.668$ and a small percentage of them will have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

00:17:44.668 --> 00:17:46.990 abnormality that requires surgical resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.546$ So in Caps 3, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.398$ 225 patients were enrolled.

00:17:52.400 --> 00:17:54.140 Umm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.976$ A total of 216 were ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:17:55.976 --> 00:17:57.882 studied and the majority of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:57.882 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.760$ are the time were familial patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.840$ This is a multicenter study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.020$ For the majority of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:18:04.020 --> 00:18:05.408 no abnormality was identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:05.408 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.668$ but you can see that for some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:08.668 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.894$ patients had a dilated pancreatic duct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:18:10.900 --> 00:18:14.176 For example, a cyst, probably an ipmn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.670$ and a small percentage ended

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:15.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.160$ up having a solid lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555 00:18:17.160 --> 00:18:18.004 In total, NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

 $00:18:18.004 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.692$ five of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:19.692 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.958$ underwent surgical resection,

00:18:20.960 --> 00:18:23.158 2 of them had high grade dysplasia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:18:23.160 --> 00:18:25.044 and three of them had low

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:25.044 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.700$ grade dysplasia IP and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:26.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.611$ although all five of them ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:28.611 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.253$ with having either an intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:30.253 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.168$ or high grade dysplastic completion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.327$ In the form of a panel lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:18:35.330 --> 00:18:37.430 Very interesting data from these CAP studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

 $00{:}18{:}37.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.370$ In fact has been the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.488$ collect pancreatic juice and what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

 $00{:}18{:}42.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.633$ been noticed in individuals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:44.633 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.920$ subsequently developed established

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}45.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.789$ pancreatic Dr Latner carcinoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

 $00:18:47.789 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.931$ that investigators were able to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:18:49.931 --> 00:18:51.842 back and look at the pancreatic juice

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:51.842 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.400$ of these individuals at a time when

 $00:18:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.410$ they had no radiologic abnormality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.552$ And they were able to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:58.552 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.724$ either combinations of P53 mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:00.724 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.006$ or P60 mutations long before a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:04.006 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.409$ radiologic abnormality was identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.362$ So this is another direction to go in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:09.362 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.437$ in terms of surveying these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.080$ The initial results of the Caps five study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:15.080 --> 00:19:17.320 which is a much larger multicenter study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:17.320 --> 00:19:19.938 which Yale was part have just been

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:19.938 --> 00:19:22.760 accepted for publication in in JCO in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.780$ Caps 5 almost 1500 patients were studied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

 $00{:}19{:}27.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.588$ A total of nine screen detected

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:30.588 --> 00:19:32.460 pancreatic cancers were identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.450$ of which eight were resectable

 $00:19:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.760$ and seven at a stage 1A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.672$ and when you put that together with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:38.672 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.737$ of the high grade dysplastic lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.744$ well over 50% of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:42.744 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.971$ enrolled in these studies had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:44.971 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.036$ achievement of what's called a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:47.036 --> 00:19:48.275 successful goals surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.758$ So we identified either an early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}19{:}50.758 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}19{:}53.947$ cancer or a high grade dysplastic lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.912$ When all the patients from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:55.912 --> 00:19:57.796 caps one through five study

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:57.796 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.308$ were amalgamated together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:59.310 \dashrightarrow 00:20:01.739$ what we were able to demonstrate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.895210255555555

 $00:20:01.739 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.186$ that those patients who were diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:20:04.186 --> 00:20:06.416 during a surveillance protocol with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:20:06.416 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.718$ pancreatic cancer had a much higher

 $00:20:08.718 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.728$ median survival than those that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}10.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.150$ diagnosed outside of surveillance protocol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:13.150 --> 00:20:16.420 showing some form of survival benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.680$ within this within this initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.920$ Anca Chotto you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:20.920 --> 00:20:22.745 Again, being very productive went and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:22.745 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.689$ looked at some of these surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:24.689 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.514$ studies that were done more

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:26.514 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.600$ concerned about what was happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}28.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.280$ Not so much when patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.749$ initially enrolled, but as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:31.749 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.870$ were followed over a period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:33.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.622$ He took 13 of the most recent studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:36.622 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.361$ and what he noted was that in 53

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:39.361 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.716$ patients that were identified with

 $00{:}20{:}41.716 --> 00{:}20{:}43.129$ pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.308$ In these 13 odd studies or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:46.310 --> 00:20:50.094 Whereas 22 patients or 41% had goals of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:50.094 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.182$ surveillance achieved so in early cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:52.190 --> 00:20:53.810 a high grade dysplastic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:53.810 --> 00:20:55.025 a very worrisome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}55.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.286$ almost 60% of patients had an advanced Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:58.290 --> 00:21:00.925 A more advanced lesion including

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:00.925 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.979$ metastatic lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.676$ He tried to look at some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}03.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.168$ factors that might be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:05.168 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.858$ with these late stage presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.050$ It had nothing to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:09.050 --> 00:21:09.780 surveillance modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:09.780 --> 00:21:11.092 a baseline imaging abnormality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:11.092 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.060$ even the presence or absence of

00:21:13.117 --> 00:21:14.158 a germline mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}14.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.428$ A lot of the patients who presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:16.428 --> 00:21:17.400 were actually asymptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.136$ We were able to look at the timing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:20.136 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.088$ preceding imaging and most patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:22.088 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.063$ had a normal preceding image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.525$ There was some questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:25.525 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.578$ diagnostic errors in the in the limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:27.578 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.475$ data that was available and there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:29.475 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.409$ some issue of surveillance adherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.760$ In some of this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.524$ And what this leads into is kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:35.524 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.978$ of a growing conversation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:36.978 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.578$ how we can do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.344$ You're going to see more and more

 $00:21:40.344 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.863$ of these types of studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:41.863 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.515$ the next couple of years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.488$ This is the initial study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:45.488 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.472$ proved this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.224$ These are patients who had a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}49.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.800$ of pancreatic ductil adenocarcinoma made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.641$ and when we when they went back

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:53.641 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.859$ over a year or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}54.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.332$ it was said that the pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:57.332 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.980$ cancer could be identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.032$ So a variety of of methods were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:22:03.032 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.210$ looked at in these individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}22{:}05.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.842$ But issue is with to do with issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}22{:}07.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.724$ relating to abnormalities that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:22:09.724 --> 00:22:11.764 identified on imaging that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:22:11.764 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.527$ be seen and maybe radiomics or some

 $00:22:14.527 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.050$ other feature could help figure that out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:22:17.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.286$ I think it's important to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:22:18.290 --> 00:22:19.859 just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:22:19.860 --> 00:22:20.360 I'm sorry. NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:22:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.058$ That the stage of pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:22:32.058 --> 00:22:35.419 cancer at an early stage is improving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:22:35.420 --> 00:22:37.144 especially in younger populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:22:37.144 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.299$ and the overall survival related

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}22{:}39.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.835$ to early stage pancreatic cancer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:22:41.835 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.620$ increased from about 44% in 2004.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:22:44.620 --> 00:22:46.700 And now getting closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:22:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.330$ to about just over 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:22:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.025$ This is unclear why this is happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}22{:}52.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.530$ but may be related to increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:22:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.530$ use of abdominal imaging,

 $00:22:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.900$ and perhaps some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:22:55.900 --> 00:22:56.448 surveillance programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:22:56.450 --> 00:22:59.018 So we're beginning to gather some

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:22:59.018 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.565$ information about the benefit of both

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:01.565 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.857$ the surveillance programs as well as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461 00:23:03.860 --> 00:23:06.188 As well as. NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.565$ Are the outcomes related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:23:08.565 --> 00:23:09.990 those surveillance programs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}23{:}09.990 --> 00{:}23{:}11.196$ And I'll leave you with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:23:11.200 --> 00:23:12.680 Notice something to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:23:12.680 --> 00:23:14.160 look forward within the CAPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.810$ cohort of patients at Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.575$ Currently there is now open a mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:18.575 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.167$ Karas targeted vaccine for patients at

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:23:21.167 --> 00:23:23.387 risk of developing pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.099$ This is a phase one study that

 $00:23:26.099 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.260$ requires multiple intramuscular

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:27.327 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.385$ injections over a period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.440$ The initial outcome is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.670$ safety and tolerability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.622$ but they are going to look at changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:35.622 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.578$ K Ras specific CD8 and CD4T cells at 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:39.578 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.566$ And that four years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}23{:}41.570 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43.551$ I'm also interested to know that modern

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}23{:}43.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.929$ it does have an M RNA based KRAS target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.850$ These are typically being

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.250$ used in in oncology trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.092$ but may actually have a role

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}23{:}52.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.342$ in the high risk population to

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}23{:}54.342 \to 00{:}23{:}56.727$ ultimately prevent and the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:23:56.727 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.710$ development of pancreatic cancer.

00:23:58.710 --> 00:24:00.003 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}24{:}00.003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.589$ therefore just looking at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:02.590 --> 00:24:04.690 We currently are not performing

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.790$ screening in the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:06.790 --> 00:24:08.869 We are focusing on high risk groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.222$ such as pancreatic cysts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:10.222 --> 00:24:11.912 Although we're trying to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:11.912 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.528$ better at surgical selection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.582$ but also get better at tailoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:15.582 --> 00:24:17.320 individuals who should be surveyed

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.186$ and those that should not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.075$ with respect to the familial

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:21.075 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.583$ pancreatic cancer cohort patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:22.590 --> 00:24:25.047 we're getting better at documenting some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:25.047 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.158$ the outcomes associated with these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461 00:24:27.160 --> 00:24:27.612 Really,

 $00{:}24{:}27.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.872$ with the view to improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:29.872 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.680$ those outcomes long term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.928$ And I think you'll hear more about nuance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:34.660 --> 00:24:36.485 It certainly plays a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461 00:24:36.490 --> 00:24:37.375 And it it. NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:37.375 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.850$ It helps us stratify our

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}24{:}38.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.469$ patients with pancreatic cysts

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}24{:}40.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.397$ and familial pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.128$ And I would use this talk just to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:44.128 --> 00:24:45.941 that there are plenty of opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:45.941 --> 00:24:47.516 within our group for collaboration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}24{:}47.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.760$ for additional biomarker developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:49.760 --> 00:24:51.440 development and validation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.268$ but also in the realm of imaging.

 $00:24:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.677$ And just to say that really it

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:55.677 --> 00:24:57.259 takes a group of people to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:57.259 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.424$ and keep these studies going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.678$ This is a picture of Ankit who's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:25:00.678 --> 00:25:01.869 incredibly productive and helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:25:01.870 --> 00:25:03.814 Getting our group up and running by there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:25:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.755$ Several other individuals such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:25:05.755 --> 00:25:08.048 Scott and disease and Ling helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}25{:}08.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.368$ on the the steady side as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:25:10.368 --> 00:25:12.467 our really close and productive

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:25:12.470 --> 00:25:13.535 collaboration with Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:25:13.535 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.310$ Hutch, and Doctor Sharma's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}25{:}15.310 --> 00{:}25{:}15.780$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}17.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.071$ Wonderful doctor Farrell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:19.071 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.506$ Thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}21.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.720$ that really nice overview.

00:25:23.720 --> 00:25:25.936 I don't see any questions in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.347$ but if if there are those watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

00:25:27.347 --> 00:25:29.612 who have a question, please type it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:29.612 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.820$ in and I'll make sure to ask it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.080$ I have a question for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.907$ so it I'm thinking about the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:35.907 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.312$ of the root cause of the increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

00:25:38.312 --> 00:25:39.876 incidence in pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.865$ Because we we're seeing an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:41.865 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.056$ increase incidence nationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.957$ but also in the state of Connecticut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.430$ correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}45.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.720$ And so it's either I can't remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.155$ what you said the the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:52.155 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.942$ pancreatic says of the population

 $00:25:53.942 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.038$ that have pancreatic cysts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.446$ It was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:55.446 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.664$ It seemed like a quite large

NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075

00:25:56.680 --> 00:25:57.730 number, typically 6,000,000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075

 $00:25:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.480$ is a number that's quoted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075

00:25:59.480 --> 00:26:01.256 Yeah, if you would do extrapolation

NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075

 $00:26:01.256 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.090$ from imaging studies and extrapolate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075

 $00:26:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.538$ Up to to the general population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579

00:26:05.740 --> 00:26:08.988 so are we seeing an increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579

 $00{:}26{:}08.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.886$ instance of cysts or is it diabetes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579

00:26:12.886 --> 00:26:15.143 therefore with diabetes? Is it obesity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579

 $00{:}26{:}15.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.843$ So what do you think is the root cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579

00:26:17.843 --> 00:26:19.599 driving this increased incidence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

 $00:26:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.270$ There's different explanations given for

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

 $00:26:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.110$ the different increases specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

 $00:26:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.508$ for pancreatic ductil adenocarcinoma.

 $00:26:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.120$ It's felt that it's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

 $00:26:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.730$ related to an aging population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

 $00:26:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.410$ so as the population ages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

 $00:26:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.240$ that's driving up the overall incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

00:26:34.240 --> 00:26:36.106 of it, so that's one explanation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

 $00:26:36.106 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.338$ but for sure there are other issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615

 $00:26:38.338 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.333$ with it and so issues related to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:26:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.310$ Like you know, metabolic explanations

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00{:}26{:}44{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.090$ and obesity would be one one explanation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:26:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.434$ Trying to link that then to pancreatic cysts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00{:}26{:}49.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.536$ The reason for the large volume of cysts

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:26:51.536 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.059$ are perception that there are more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

00:26:53.060 --> 00:26:54.254 This is really to just do

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:26:54.254 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.490$ with the use of imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00{:}26{:}55.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.898$ So the prevalent use of MRI scans

00:26:57.898 --> 00:27:00.240 CT scans has really been driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.295$ why we see more assists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

00:27:02.300 --> 00:27:03.515 Also, imaging scans are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:03.515 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.389$ better so we can see 3 millimeter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.155$ says 2 millimeter cysts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:07.155 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.567$ MRI scans done routinely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.302$ Now the question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:10.302 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.900$ Can finding those cysts be converted

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00{:}27{:}12.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.353$ to a better early detection strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

00:27:15.353 --> 00:27:18.690 for ipms the OR for pancreatic cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.078$ The issue is that maybe those cysts

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:22.078 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.230$ account for 1015% of the total volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.974$ of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

 $00:27:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.138$ OK, thank you David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

 $00:27:30.138 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.766$ RIM had a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

 $00:27:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.430$ Stage ones went from 40,

 $00:27:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.150$ some to 80 some percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

 $00{:}27{:}35.150 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.586$ Survival is that due to greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

 $00{:}27{:}37.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.291$ diagnosis in stage one and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

00:27:40.291 --> 00:27:42.295 high stage diagnosis decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:27:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.714$ So this this phenomenon is from is from

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:27:46.714 --> 00:27:49.618 SEER data and all the positive glowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:27:49.618 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.689$ phenomena has been related to stage one a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00{:}27{:}52.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.426$ So it has been the increase in incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:27:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.415$ the increase in survival associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:27:57.415 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.834$ with stage one as the decrease in age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:00.834 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.649$ diagnosis and it's not seen in the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00{:}28{:}03.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.974$ The other stages it's not even seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00{:}28{:}05.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.570$ in stage two s and for sure it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00{:}28{:}08.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.150$ being seen and advanced stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.800$ So there's something going on

 $00:28:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.819$ and so it's pure speculation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:13.820 --> 00:28:15.852 Whether it's related to the fact that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:15.852 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.900$ are more worried about pancreatic cysts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:17.900 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.245$ you know our surveillance programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:19.245 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.590$ in high risk individuals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:20.638 --> 00:28:21.858 count for certain population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.477$ but I'm not sure they account for

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:23.477 --> 00:28:24.788 all that population to switch it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00{:}28{:}24.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.010$ so there's something going

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.925$ on that's changing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00{:}28{:}26.930 \to 00{:}28{:}29.954$ but it's it's a unique facet of stage one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.135$ as rather than the global

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:32.135 --> 00:28:34.310 numbers in in pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333

 $00:28:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.956$ and then with that year period of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333

 $00:28:37.956 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.300$ stage one progressing to metastatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333

 $00:28:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.269$ that's probably explaining in 2030 being the.

00:28:44.270 --> 00:28:46.486 Second, cancer mortality related

NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333

00:28:46.486 --> 00:28:48.780 to pancreatic cancer, correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

00:28:49.010 --> 00:28:49.950 I think that's true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

 $00:28:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.360$ and I think also because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

00:28:51.414 --> 00:28:52.730 have been some significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

00:28:52.730 --> 00:28:54.311 improvements in other cancers, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

00:28:54.311 --> 00:28:55.877 So you're seeing you know they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

 $00:28:55.877 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.252$ being slow improvements and having

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

 $00:28:57.252 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.617$ some improvements in the therapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

 $00{:}28{:}58.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.770$ side for pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877657457777778

 $00:28:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.541$ But you know, we really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

 $00:29:01.541 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.677$ make a real dent for the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

 $00{:}29{:}03.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.865$ detection to really kind of begin

NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778

 $00:29:04.865 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.179$ to move that needle a bit better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211

 $00:29:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.712$ And then the last question from

 $00:29:08.712 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.185$ Rosa is there also an increase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211

00:29:11.185 --> 00:29:13.465 incidence in patients with young onset?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211

00:29:13.470 --> 00:29:14.580 And I believe you just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211

00:29:14.580 --> 00:29:16.536 They said that in answering David's

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.329$ question, the age of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

00:29:20.329 --> 00:29:23.160 diagnosis for the stage 1A's is going down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.924$ not for the other councils but for

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:24.924 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.920$ the other stages, but the stage one

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}26.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.780$ age of diagnosis has gone down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.080$ There are data about the overall decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}32.080 \to 00{:}29{:}34.250$ the age of diagnosis of pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.993$ and it may in fact be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

00:29:35.993 --> 00:29:37.315 with slightly different types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:37.315 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.625$ genotypes and molecular profiling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

00:29:38.625 --> 00:29:40.510 some of that data has been kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

00:29:40.510 --> 00:29:42.284 presented here at Yale in the past,

 $00:29:42.284 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.732$ so certainly focusing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:43.732 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.180$ on the younger population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

00:29:45.180 --> 00:29:46.830 hearing about a younger population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

00:29:46.830 --> 00:29:47.844 With pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:47.844 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.534$ which is certainly very concerning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:29:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.030$ Well, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:29:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.186$ It'd be great to to continue our

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:29:54.186 --> 00:29:56.208 our work here with the focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:29:56.208 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.260$ on Connecticut and how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:29:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.277$ really improve upon the lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:00.277 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.287$ the incidence and or mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.150$ So thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}04.150 --> 00{:}30{:}05.548$ Thanks very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.035$ OK, if you can stop sharing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:08.035 \dashrightarrow 00:30:11.072$ I'm now I'm delighted to introduce

 $00{:}30{:}11.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.050$ Doctor Bacillus Vessella who

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}13.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15.210$ is chair of our Department of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.638$ Environmental Health Sciences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:16.638 --> 00:30:18.570 the Yale School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}18.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.880$ He's also the Susan Dwight Bliss

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:20.880 --> 00:30:22.420 professor of epidemiology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:22.489 --> 00:30:24.117 of ophthalmology and visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:24.117 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.152$ science and of the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:26.160 --> 00:30:28.458 He received his bachelors in Chemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:28.458 --> 00:30:30.450 and PhD in biochemical pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.425$ from the University of Ioannina

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:32.425 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.456$ in Greece and then he trained in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:35.456 --> 00:30:36.836 gene environment interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}36.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.920$ molecular toxicology and pharmacogenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:38.920 --> 00:30:41.520 at the Department of Environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.025$ Health in the College of Medicine

00:30:44.025 --> 00:30:45.557 at University of Cincinnati.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.956$ He's established an internationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}47.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.753$ recognized research program

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:49.753 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.271$ that's been continuously funded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:52.271 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.708$ NIH since 1997 and his research

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:54.708 --> 00:30:56.496 interests include the etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.300$ The molecular mechanisms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.650$ environmentally induced human

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.930$ disease such as liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:31:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.625$ obesity and diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:31:03.625 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.320$ cancer and neurogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}31{:}05.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.450$ neurodegenerative diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}31{:}06.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.502$ His laboratory uses state of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:31:08.502 --> 00:31:09.870 art integrated approaches that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:31:09.929 --> 00:31:11.666 include metabolomics, lipidomics,

00:31:11.666 --> 00:31:14.050 expoza, omics tissue imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:31:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.668$ mass spec,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:31:14.668 --> 00:31:16.522 deep learning as well as human

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:31:16.522 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.286$ cohorts and genetically engineered

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:31:18.286 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.344$ mouse models and we are delighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:31:21.344 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.006$ to have him here today to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}31{:}24.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.666$ specifically about alcohol and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:31:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.840$ Thank you Vasilis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:31:28.070 --> 00:31:29.990 Thank you many days my microphone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:31:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.730$ Yes, my microphone is on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:31:31.730 --> 00:31:33.588 Thank you very much for your nice work

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}31{:}33.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.788$ and thanks also James for pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:31:36.788 --> 00:31:40.940 out our T 32 who has helped on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:31:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.530$ studies before before I start mine I

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:31:43.606 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.502$ also want to address a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}31{:}46.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49.293$ the question of Melinda your question

 $00:31:49.293 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.773$ regarding the increasing density of

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:31:51.773 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.274$ cancer lately one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}31{:}54.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57.249$ I would like to point out is we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:31:57.250 --> 00:32:00.250 Some increases in environmental exposures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:32:00.250 --> 00:32:03.410 especially for the perfluorinated compounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:32:03.410 --> 00:32:05.815 namely PFAS, which may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:05.815 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.190$ contributed in all all kind of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:09.190 \dashrightarrow 00:32:11.719$ I wish we should have a round table another

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:11.719 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.106$ time to discuss about all these factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.332$ So today what I'm going to talk to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:17.332 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.272$ about it is molecular mechanisms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:20.272 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.882$ alcohol and cancer, and so we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:23.882 \dashrightarrow 00:32:27.294$ We have edited 3 books on alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}27.294 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.968$ You cancer and one special issue on

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:29.968 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.750$ chemical biological interactions which

 $00:32:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.180$ was based on my third international

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}37.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.940$ conference on alcohol and cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}38.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42.377$ which we held it here in Newport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.915$ A couple of just before the COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.120$ As a matter of fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.228$ we just received a note from

NOTE Confidence: 0.830666932

 $00:32:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.827$ from Springer that our books doing so

NOTE Confidence: 0.830666932

00:32:53.827 --> 00:32:56.887 good and alcohol and cancer and they want

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:32:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.776$ us to write another one very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:32:59.776 --> 00:33:04.595 But we will see. So I have all there

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}04.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.224$ is this association between alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:33:07.224 --> 00:33:10.606 and cancer and it's actually, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:10.606 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.760$ there is epidemiological evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}12.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.990$ is kind or is convincing that alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.878$ Is associated with several

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:17.878 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.355$ cancers listed in here.

 $00:33:19.355 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.712$ Mouth fighting, slurring, esophagus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:33:21.712 --> 00:33:26.430 colon and breast for women and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}26.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.842$ know there is some more breast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:28.842 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.610$ liver based on IRC and there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:31.692 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.634$ probable liver and colorectal for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:34.634 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.470$ One of the things that I have not

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.295$ included in this presentation though

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:39.295 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.867$ and I have to say that as well they

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}41.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.086$ have been epidemiological studies which

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:44.086 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.776$ indicates that alcohol in sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:33:46.780 --> 00:33:51.223 Is a positive factor in preventing alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:51.223 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.640$ so I I don't have time to go with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}55.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58.097$ I'm gonna stick with the mechanisms today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:33:58.100 --> 00:34:00.464 Molecular mechanisms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:00.464 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.237$ alcohol induced cancer.

 $00:34:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.904$ So let me before we go to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}34{:}04.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07.556$ And since we're talking about epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:07.556 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.655$ is I want to tell you the categories of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:11.655 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.990$ association between alcohol consumption and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:14.059 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.120$ cancer and there is first case is sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:34:17.120 --> 00:34:19.430 Evidence of a causal association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.160$ which is the case for every every study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.975$ There is sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:24.975 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.395$ evidence of an association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.405$ There is limited and suggestive

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:30.405 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.208$ evidence of unappreciation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.195$ Inadequate or insufficient to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}34{:}35.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.583$ whether an association exists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.513$ Limited and suggestive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:39.513 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.718$ Evidence to of no association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.336$ This has been described very well in a

00:34:46.336 --> 00:34:51.170 paper by our junior faculty just walach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.850$ It was in in 2020 in the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:34:54.850 --> 00:34:57.090 Journal of Epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.370$ and this is worth reading it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}34{:}59.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.136$ One of the problems with alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438 00:35:02.140 --> 00:35:04.040 Based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.158$ Epidemiological studies is most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:08.158 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.192$ studies are based on a questionnaire

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:10.192 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.473$ and rather than having clinical data

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}12.473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14.771$ in terms of the alcohol consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.508$ and we know there are certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:16.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.890$ biases that could really interfere

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}18.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.092$ with the outcome of the studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:21.092 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.940$ and this is most of the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.080$ people really are.

 $00:35:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.880$ You know, don't tell the truth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}25.880 \to 00{:}35{:}27.296$ So answering the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:35:27.296 --> 00:35:30.050 how many drinks you have there per week?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.022$ Well maybe 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:31.022 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.999$ maybe you know and could be just a bottle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.748$ Of of of Hard Liquor per week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.426$ So this may create some problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.766$ and this is what I'm trying to introduce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.290$ A new term which called the ALCOM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.058$ which is a panel of markets that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}48.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.640$ indicate what is your alcohol consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}50.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}53.210$ throughout the years of your life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}53.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59.155$ So in December 2020 I I was involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:59.155 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.990$ in an NCI workshop that we presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.610$ You know the existing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:04.610 \dashrightarrow 00:36:06.095$ Knowledge and everything.

 $00:36:06.095 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.065$ Evidence and gaps across the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}36{:}09.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11.936$ continuum regarding the alcohol and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:36:11.940 --> 00:36:16.268 So we have looked at from epidemiology to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.499$ and biology of the alcohol and cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.748$ What needs to be addressed and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:22.748 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.827$ we can really work in preventing

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:36:25.827 --> 00:36:28.772 you know this alcohol consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:28.772 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.448$ that could lead to cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.793$ Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:31.793 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.570$ this is a readily available to everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:36:35.570 --> 00:36:37.815 And I'm going to go directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:37.815 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.790$ to the mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}36{:}38.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.870$ so I've been working with alcohol metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:36:41.870 --> 00:36:44.958 since day one of my graduate studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943816495714286

00:36:44.970 --> 00:36:48.470 and that was the metabolism of alcohol,

 $00:36:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.996$ which actually is involved is been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:36:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.090$ Consider it as the mechanism of inducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

00:36:57.090 --> 00:36:59.748 cancer so your alcohol, your ethanol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}36{:}59.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02.293$ is metabolized by alcohol dehydrogenases

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:02.293 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.370$ to an aldehyde which is a powerful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.675$ You know it's an aldehydes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:06.675 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.458$ and an electrophile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.142$ which is capable of interacting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:10.142 \dashrightarrow 00:37:13.710$ DNA and protein and forming adducts and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.710$ and this is a very important molecule in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}17.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.880$ here, so ethanol can also be metabolized

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.550$ by catalase and also by cytochrome P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

00:37:23.550 --> 00:37:26.082 The 50s, mostly cytochrome P452 you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:26.082 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.725$ want and to a lesser extent by 182.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:29.725 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.635$ What happens during the ethanol metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}32.640 --> 00{:}37{:}33.496$ P4, fifties.

 $00:37:33.496 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.636$ You have generation of reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:35.636 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.492$ oxygen species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.685$ You have glutathione depletion which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:38.685 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.840$ leads to oxidative stress and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.681$ you will see later on we have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:43.681 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.811$ formation of further aldehydes namely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

00:37:45.811 --> 00:37:48.098 4 hydroxy nonenal and malondialdehyde

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}48.098 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}37{:}50.433$ which are further capable of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:50.433 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.470$ causing DNA and protein adducts so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.206$ Ald H2 is the major enzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}57.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.574$ which metabolizes a cetaldehyde.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.770$ The major product of ethanol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}38{:}00.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03.650$ and it is converted to a cetate and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:38:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.010$ from the acetate can go to kettle coenzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:38:07.010 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.614$ which can be used as a building

 $00:38:09.614 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.340$ biomolecule for a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.650$ Cells, including the cancer cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.472$ that's another story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:38:16.472 --> 00:38:19.330 We don't have the time to go over that today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.055$ so as you can see, the other heads and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:22.055 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.210$ reactive oxygen species are very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:24.210 \dashrightarrow 00:38:26.586$ My lab is focusing in all aldehyde metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}26.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.730$ and I'll show you a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}28.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.152$ But we also looking at the LDH

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}31.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34.826$ 2 and a LDH 1V1 and a LDH 1A1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}34.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36.941$ This is aldehyde dehydrogenase enzymes

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:36.941 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.577$ which they take us at aldehyde and

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:39.577 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.976$ convert it to acetate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}40.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43.960$ So again you can see for the risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:38:43.960 --> 00:38:46.990 Factors in terms of alcohol drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}46.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.588$ as mentioned earlier there is aids,

00:38:49.590 --> 00:38:51.198 the personal history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:38:51.198 --> 00:38:54.422 family history, race and also diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:38:54.422 --> 00:38:55.930 physical activity, obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:55.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.650$ smoking and alcohol use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.989$ As Melinda and James were mentioning above.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.546$ So what Mike what we're doing in my lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:03.546 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.330$ is and I have a center funded by the NAA

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.178$ as we have all you can see here is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.024$ The ethanol metabolism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}39{:}11.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.407$ also glutathione metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:12.410 \dashrightarrow 00:39:15.248$ They the synthesis of your major.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:15.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.740$ Antioxidant of your system here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39{:}17.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.159$ what you can see on those red letters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.710$ Are the enzymes involved in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:39:23.710 --> 00:39:25.915 pathways and we have single and

 $00:39:25.915 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.240$ double knockouts of all these enzymes

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.662$ which are involved in the eye in

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:30.662 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.469$ the ethanol metabolism and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:32.469 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.229$ interplay and essentially trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:34.229 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.970$ clear up the reactive oxygen species

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:36.970 \dashrightarrow 00:39:39.126$ generated and protecting yourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:39.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.674$ So we just published a review in cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:39:42.674 --> 00:39:45.488 on molecular mechanisms of alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.430$ And yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.212$ Colorectal cancer so this is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}39{:}49.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.606$ of the area that my lab has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:51.606 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.316$ focusing for a number of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.742$ We had EU one which I'm planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:55.742 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.753$ to go for the renewal as well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:58.753 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.591$ essentially again you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}01.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03.871$ ethanol metabolism is involved in

 $00:40:03.871 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.580$ here and we have what I described

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}06.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.018$ you before the pathways here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.009$ But one of the things I want to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:11.009 --> 00:40:14.788 you is the ethanol per se is not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:14.788 --> 00:40:17.574 metabolized by cytochrome P452 one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214 00:40:17.574 --> 00:40:18.241 but. NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:18.241 --> 00:40:20.909 Constantly induces increases the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:20.909 --> 00:40:23.670 levels of cytochrome P452E1 why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.810$ This is important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}24.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.363$ Because 2 E one it can metabolize

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}28.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.828$ the processing loggens into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:30.828 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.705$ carcinogens and that is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:33.705 --> 00:40:36.610 and other area of the cytochrome P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.053$ For the two one it can increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:39.053 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.860$ the cell proliferation and also

 $00:40:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.918$ as we discussed it can create

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:42.918 --> 00:40:44.450 reactive boxes and species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.415$ The other is the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:46.415 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.987$ roles of both sides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.489$ From P452 you are and also you

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:50.489 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.296$ know the aldehyde dehydrogenases

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:52.296 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.916$ in retinoic acid homeostasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.020$ which they been also involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.120$ in the the whole process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.495$ Another important issue here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}41{:}01.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.479$ the ratio between NADH and NAD

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:41:04.479 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.099$ which is completely changed based

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:41:07.099 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.195$ on the ethanol metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:41:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.480$ Another area which ethanol could

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:41:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.300$ affect is the one carbon metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:41:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.244$ and that has effects on the DNA

00:41:17.244 --> 00:41:18.808 methylation which can really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:41:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.170$ Umm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:41:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.418$ Give a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

00:41:23.510 --> 00:41:25.795 Changes in DNA methylation associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:25.795 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.750$ with cancer, as I told you before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.403$ I get there may can be used as macromolecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

00:41:32.403 --> 00:41:34.236 biosynthesis including including

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:34.236 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.484$ in the cancer cells we have a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.785$ I don't have the time to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.518$ I just want to give you a brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

00:41:40.518 --> 00:41:41.809 overview of what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.346$ So one of the major thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.086$ So here if we can focus is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:46.086 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.710$ have the the adduct formation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.520$ the DNA and the proteins.

00:41:50.520 --> 00:41:51.714 I'm just going to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

00:41:51.714 --> 00:41:52.730 some examples of the DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.115$ And we're gonna talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:55.115 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.500$ some the inflammation as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.080$ and we're gonna go from there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:42:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.890$ So what happened with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}42{:}01.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03.700$ acetal dehyde and also the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:42:03.764 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.049$ aldehyde generating the DNA attacks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:42:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.696$ So these aldehydes are both in electrophiles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:42:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.848$ they can interact with

NOTE Confidence: 0.732048920444444

 $00:42:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.415$ bases of the DNA, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.732048920444444

 $00:42:11.415 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.830$ they can form others. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.168$ these adducts then they they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:42:15.168 --> 00:42:17.150 responsible for causing mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:42:17.150 --> 00:42:20.320 into your into your transcripts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.760$ And I said aldehyde by.

 $00:42:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.810$ Also interact with various amounts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.785$ Can give a crotonaldehyde and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:27.785 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.165$ this also conform further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.820$ Adducts in here at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.110$ time as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.936$ you also have an increased lipid

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:42:36.936 --> 00:42:38.349 peroxidation from acetaldehyde,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.199$ which is due to the glutathione depletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:41.199 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.650$ which generates further aldehydes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.464$ hydroxyzine on and all, and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:46.464 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.270$ So you have another type of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:48.332 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.867$ ethanol the the shock should

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:42:49.867 --> 00:42:51.730 go on Guana sitting here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:42:51.730 --> 00:42:52.970 Another type of attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:42:52.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.924$ So it is very well documented that

 $00:42:55.924 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.670$ both acetaldehyde per se or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00{:}42{:}58.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.520$ by product atheists that can interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:43:00.520 --> 00:43:02.960 with DNA that can cause addicts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.682$ and these others can lead to mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:04.682 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.299$ and they can cause the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.620$ This is a causative effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:07.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.361$ and this is what helped to establish and put.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:13.361 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.134$ That the goodbyes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:15.134 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.680$ as ethanol as a cancer agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:43:18.680 --> 00:43:21.370 Another area I am not going to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00{:}43{:}21.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.806$ on this aspects in here what I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:23.806 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.934$ to tell you is that ethanol also

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:26.006 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.321$ can induce inflammation and changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00{:}43{:}28.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30.636$ in the cytokines and chemokines

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.803$ and then they can have a various

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00{:}43{:}32.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}34.970$ effects into all the signaling which

 $00:43:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.316$ essentially they can affect the DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:37.316 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.479$ repair mechanisms into the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.820$ So the importance this is less

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.478$ studied but this is an area that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:43:44.480 --> 00:43:47.900 We should emphasize and as a matter of fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.760$ you know, this is what I'm going to tell you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:43:49.760 --> 00:43:51.356 What we're planning to do in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:43:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.260$ but you know, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:43:53.260 --> 00:43:56.797 it is a pathway that ethanol could

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:43:56.797 --> 00:43:58.900 affect all these pathways in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:43:58.900 --> 00:44:00.540 including the DNA damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

00:44:00.540 --> 00:44:01.770 and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00{:}44{:}01.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.022$ and essentially having the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.819997891111111

 $00:44:04.022 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.180$ further mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150081

 $00:44:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.178$ So one of the

 $00:44:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.717$ areas that I am planning to expand

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:09.717 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.878$ is the immuno metabolism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:11.878 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.884$ this is the interplay between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:14.884 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.425$ monological and metabolic processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:16.425 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.307$ And as a matter of fact we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:19.307 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.701$ published several papers on the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:21.701 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.092$ of glutathione in the control of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:44:24.092 --> 00:44:26.342 the T cell and macrophage fashions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.871$ But essentially again you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:29.871 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.315$ mitochondrial metabolism here and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}32.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.455$ the ethanol metabolism can interfere

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:34.455 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.317$ with that in terms of the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:44:37.320 --> 00:44:41.000 Incidents. As I told you before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.380$ the ethanol can also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.120$ I mean, alcohol can also affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.170$ one carbon metabolism and ethanol

 $00:44:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.256$ can block the absorption of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}49.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.409$ folic acid and can interfere with

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:51.409 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.623$ all the the folate and cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.930$ and the methionine cycle which

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:55.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.282$ eventually and as you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:59.282 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.186$ in very stages through the either

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:02.186 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.537$ interacting with proteins and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:04.537 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.806$ know forming adducts or interacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:45:06.806 --> 00:45:09.560 with pathways or absorption or stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:45:09.560 --> 00:45:09.977 Essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:45:09.977 --> 00:45:12.479 we have a DNA hypermethylation which

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}12.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.539$ can be associated with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}14.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.542$ And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:15.542 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.546$ you know that's the other pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:17.546 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.628$ that you know by interfering

00:45:19.628 --> 00:45:21.813 with the one carbon metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.878$ you can have decreased through the thione,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.391$ which really you know gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:27.391 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.819$ rise to oxidative stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.424$ which is a result of reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}31.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.744$ oxygen species generated by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:33.744 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.834$ cytochrome P-450 metabolism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:35.834 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.593$ the P42 and taking a break here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}39.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.637$ What I wanted to tell you is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.440$ in the slide before here

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.712$ is the broker synonyms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:45.712 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.620$ We have a lot of Procter

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:45:47.690 --> 00:45:49.680 synonyms exposed in our lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.065$ so if alcohol induces the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:52.065 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.893$ site from P452 you want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:53.893 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.348$ then we have increased levels

 $00:45:55.348 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.740$ of the two you want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.526$ So I've been exposed to dimethyl nitrosamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:59.526 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.417$ for example the nitrosamines or the P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:46:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.198$ Fashion everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:46:03.198 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.921$ Then you can have a further activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:46:05.921 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.360$ of this carcinogens and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:46:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.340$ have this generation of reactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:46:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.427$ Which is in species and further mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}46{:}13.430 --> 00{:}46{:}14.966$ I'm gonna end up very quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00{:}46{:}15.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.527$ I'm gonna talk. I'm not gonna spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00:46:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.175$ my time you all know the incidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00:46:20.175 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.790$ the colon cancer and what is going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00{:}46{:}22.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.048$ But my lab has been focusing on the colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00:46:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.022$ cancer and alcohol metabolism we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00:46:30.022 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.303$ We were the first to clone the

 $00:46:32.303 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.220$ secondary enzyme. If you remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.466815214111111

 $00:46:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.366$ said aldehyde is metabolized

NOTE Confidence: 0.466815214111111

 $00:46:36.366 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.036$ to acetate by the aldehyde.

NOTE Confidence: 0.466815214111111

 $00:46:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.048$ Progenesis Ald. Stew is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865842128333333

 $00:46:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.388$ the major enzyme, but we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:46:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.454$ found we cloned this enzyme and

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:46:46.454 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.935$ mitochondrial enzyme which is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}46{:}48.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.580$ similar to a LH2 and we cloned it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:46:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.695$ We expressed it throughout the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:46:53.695 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.802$ years we made beautiful antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}46{:}55.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.316$ We made the cover pages of several

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:46:59.316 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.523$ journals and we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:01.523 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.428$ first of all that metabolizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

00:47:03.428 --> 00:47:05.438 acetaldehyde with very high affinity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.212$ which is makes it as equal as a LD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.710$ It's too in terms of clearing.

 $00:47:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.706$ The acetaldehyde but also what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:13.706 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.014$ out is this enzyme is also involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

00:47:17.014 --> 00:47:19.434 into the retinoic acid metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:19.434 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.370$ which is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.000$ So one of the things in early

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.470$ we found out that aldh 1B1 is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

00:47:28.470 --> 00:47:30.470 potential biomarker for colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.138$ so we have screened several

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:32.138 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.730$ several panels of humors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.765$ and we found that especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:36.765 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.986$ for colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.840$ every single column cancer sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.020$ But we have tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

00:47:43.020 --> 00:47:48.028 It really expresses AL H1B1

NOTE Confidence: 0.903634888

 $00:47:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.020$ at the very high level, very

 $00:47:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.350$ high level and which we didn't see it

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.806$ in long breast on ovary or anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.048$ And it was especially this it

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:55.048 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.660$ was not a LH1A1, it was a L

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.860$ H1B1 and this has to do also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.540$ You know with the colony

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.884$ formation and everything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.410$ So we also in addition to colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.626$ We have also found out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:48:09.630 --> 00:48:11.328 ADH 1B1 plays a really big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}48{:}11.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.694$ Call in pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:12.694 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.399$ Trying to make a connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.160$ with James talk earlier on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}48{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.084$ so this is the AL H1B1 and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:48:22.084 --> 00:48:24.329 series really high expression of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}48{:}24.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.354$ in the pancreatic Andrew carcinoma.

 $00:48:26.354 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.840$ So one of the things that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:29.926 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.880$ you can use that as a prognostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.863$ market is you can take the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:33.863 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.700$ and then you can really isolate aldh

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.700$ positive and aldh negative sales,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.596$ and then you can put them in culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.136$ As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:43.136 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.440$ if aldehyde hydrogenase is not present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}48{:}45.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46.965$ you have very small formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:46.965 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.880$ of the colonies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:48:47.880 --> 00:48:50.379 but if they LDH positive you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:50.379 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.960$ really have seen the tumor formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.520$ So for somehow this a LDH is involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.902$ in the tumor proliferation initiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:58.902 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.430$ I don't know we're still looking at the

 $00:49:02.507 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.426$ role of this throughout either through the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}49{:}05.430 --> 00{:}49{:}06.756$ DNA repair mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:06.756 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.524$ or through retinoic acid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.390$ It's an active area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.960$ so we have several papers on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:49:12.960 --> 00:49:15.210 how the Ald H1B1 could be that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.810$ and we found out that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.130$ modulating the wind better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}49{:}20.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.366$ Catherine Pathway and the the also

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:49:24.370 --> 00:49:26.950 P1K they get signaling pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.120$ but the most important thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.480$ and this is a work that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.647$ done and we have identified that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:33.647 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.647$ is immunohistochemical market for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333 00:49:35.650 --> 00:49:36.100 Further, NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:49:36.100 --> 00:49:38.800 for colorectal cancers and we look

 $00:49:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.812$ at that and several samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:49:41.812 --> 00:49:43.816 and including some comparisons

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:43.816 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.919$ that we have done here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:49:46.920 --> 00:49:50.035 So it's a very strong market for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:50.035 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.510$ And whereas as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.510$ we're still looking on what is going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.228$ So one of the ways that we have trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:57.228 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.397$ to address the role of this is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}50{:}00.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.410$ have generated specific knockouts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:50:02.410 \dashrightarrow 00:50:05.539$ so it's a tissue specific inducible knockout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:50:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.425$ The triple knockout mice show

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:50:07.425 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.933$ the APC mean mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}50{:}08.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.119$ We know that the they are forming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333 00:50:13.120 --> 00:50:13.490 they're NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00:50:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.703$ forming many cancers.

00:50:14.703 --> 00:50:17.510 So anyway to make time is running

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00{:}50{:}17.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.770$ we have generated a triple knock out

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00:50:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.618$ of this with a PC and everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00:50:22.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.027$ So this mice we have found out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00:50:26.027 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.306$ this is the ASPCA knockout and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00:50:28.306 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.610$ is the well typed knockout mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.640$ and this is the experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.098$ This is how we generate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.630$ It was a painful process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

00:50:35.630 --> 00:50:38.850 Triple knockout is not an easy way to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.439$ but the way that you're doing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:40.439 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.169$ is you have an industrial model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

00:50:42.170 --> 00:50:44.258 you you treat them with tamoxifen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.708$ you delete the gene and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

00:50:46.708 --> 00:50:48.767 further figure out of what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.770$ So we did not find anything

 $00:50:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.770$ any difference in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.650$ Vehicle treated mice and also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.710$ the tamoxifen treated groups at

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

00:50:58.789 --> 00:51:01.039 the numbers were not evident in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:51:01.039 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.430$ jejunum or ileum in these mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:51:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.490$ However, we did find the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

00:51:06.490 --> 00:51:08.326 carcinoma colorectal adenomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:51:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.432$ which in the knockout developed significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:51:11.432 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.149$ loan numbers of the macro and enormous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00{:}51{:}15.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.691$ And there was a significant reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:51:17.691 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.750$ the total macroadenomas in the knockout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:51:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.246$ So, when we knockout the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:51:22.246 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.910$ in a model that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

00:51:23.910 --> 00:51:25.150 Induces NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:51:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.134$ colorectal and the normas, uh it is the gene.

00:51:32.140 --> 00:51:34.065 If you knock out the aldehyde progenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:51:34.065 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.147$ you reduce you can see that you reduce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

00:51:36.150 --> 00:51:40.176 So this confirms our initial studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:51:40.176 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.426$ that the ALDH 1B1 it is involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:51:44.426 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.789$ development of under cellular carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

00:51:47.790 --> 00:51:50.814 And we have been trying to to dissect

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:51:50.814 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.410$ more more involvement of this gene and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

00:51:54.410 --> 00:51:57.602 didn't see any difference at the P53 level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:51:57.602 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.770$ But we did see a decreased expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

00:52:00.848 --> 00:52:05.738 the beta catenin in the knockout, a LH now

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:05.738 \dashrightarrow 00:52:10.750$ beta catenin knockout for beta catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.760$ Expression which you know that Becca

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.881$ took Catenin is plays an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.6776997244444444

 $00:52:14.881 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.309$ role in the development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.851$ All colon carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:18.851 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.005$ So the expression of of 191 could be used as

 $00:52:25.005 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.528$ a novel biomarker in identified colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:27.528 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.690$ cancers and also in pancreatic cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.020$ The Althe knocked down because

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.350$ the dramatic reduction of humor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

00:52:35.350 --> 00:52:38.115 growth, and loss of function of APC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.125$ and options of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:39.125 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.910$ Maybe it's trying to be 1 reduces the

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00{:}52{:}41.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44.220$ column adenoma and in turn delays

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.176$ that emerged in the progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

 $00:52:46.176 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.920$ of cancer in that to that effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6776997244444444

 $00:52:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.540$ I have collaborated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}52{:}52.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.640$ And cuts and we have developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}52{:}55.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58.234$ special specific inhibitors of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}52{:}58.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.362$ aldehyde dehydrogenases that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:00.362 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.538$ be used for delaying the expression,

 $00:53:03.538 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.158$ delaying the progression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:06.158 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.080$ tumor once it's been detected so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.458$ It looks like the Ald H1B1 is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:53:13.458 --> 00:53:16.020 key player in this carcinogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}53{:}16.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.154$ so if I can go back a little bit on

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:19.154 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.518$ the molecular mechanisms to conclude my

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:21.518 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.210$ studies in here and the directions

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.894$ is the alcohol metabolism is a key

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}53{:}26.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29.159$ player through the cytochrome P-450,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.044$ or through the aldehydes

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:31.044 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.399$ generated in here and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.193$ You have also the changes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:36.193 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.194$ NADH NADH ratio, which we can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:39.194 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.998$ also have epigenetic modifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.564$ Remember reactive oxygen species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:53:42.564 --> 00:53:44.519 They can cause the inflammation

 $00:53:44.519 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.028$ that can lead to cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.322$ In addition to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:47.322 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.744$ you also, as mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:48.744 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.679$ this is very important here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.335$ This is especially with increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:53.335 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.990$ exposure to environmental casino egens

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:56.072 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.672$ the high levels of the two one they

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}53{:}58.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.388$ can lead to DNA damage segmentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.170$ Then they can cause cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:03.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.802$ And of course you know the DNA repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:05.802 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.418$ can be also be affected by both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:08.418 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.018$ two U one and also the alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}11.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.188$ Double so a very important process in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}14.190 \to 00{:}54{:}16.054$ and this is where we're focusing as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.485$ Is how ethanol metabolism could the could.

 $00:54:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.548$ Have a defect in the day DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:23.548 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.807$ repair as well and as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.930$ also you know we have the one carbon

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.010$ metabolism and DNA methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.079$ I didn't have time to go on your micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}34.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36.935$ RNA in here but also I did mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:36.935 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.810$ the crosstalk between these pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}38.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40.874$ The alcohol and the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:40.874 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.394$ which eventually they can end up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:43.394 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.477$ causing epigenetic modifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:54:44.477 --> 00:54:46.630 that they can cause the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.961$ This is a slide that the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:48.961 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.300$ slide that I've seen in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:54:51.300 --> 00:54:55.186 Mark concluding all the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:55.186 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.040$ the alcohol in terms of the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.609$ and this was from the former director

00:54:59.609 --> 00:55:02.178 of the metabolism of the NAIA SAMSARIC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:55:02.180 --> 00:55:04.434 who is a very good friend and

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:04.434 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.400$ colleague of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:55:05.400 --> 00:55:08.740 and I want to tell you this slide is I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:55:08.740 --> 00:55:10.558 you know, a little bit sad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.985$ but my next fifth international

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:12.985 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.410$ conference on alcohol and cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:15.494 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.159$ was going to be in in Greece 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}55{:}18.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.324$ Unfortunately with the COVID we

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:20.324 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.398$ have to move it ahead and probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.870$ It's not gonna be 2022.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.818$ It's gonna be probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762074775

 $00{:}55{:}26.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31.118$ 2023 and I expect to see quite a few of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.755934514

00:55:31.270 --> 00:55:33.500 Yale colleagues here. Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755934514

 $00:55:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.000$ Melinda. I'm going to stop and

 $00:55:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.340$ get some time for questions. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.002$ you vicellous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:38.002 \dashrightarrow 00:55:40.610$ Count me in on a trip to Greece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

00:55:40.610 --> 00:55:42.695 I know you just returned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:42.695 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.363$ and I wasn't invited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.328$ Anyway to the topic at hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.213$ I was intrigued at the beginning of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:49.213 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.326$ your talk when you were talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00{:}55{:}51.326 \to 00{:}55{:}53.580$ measurement error and the fact that often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:53.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.750$ We measure alcohol intake through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.920$ questionnaire and we know there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:57.989 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.394$ a lot of misclassification with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

00:56:00.394 --> 00:56:02.222 that underreporting, perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:02.222 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.670$ and then that misclassification

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.064$ really attenuates the, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:08.064 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.056$ the hazard ratio to the null.

 $00:56:10.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.472$ So in reality the impact of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:12.472 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.687$ alcohol on cancer is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

00:56:14.687 --> 00:56:17.777 even higher than noted in studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.685$ but you then mentioned what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:19.685 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.038$ do you call an alcohol zone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:22.038 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.258$ or something of a channel of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

00:56:24.260 --> 00:56:26.395 Can you can you discuss that briefly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.200$ A little bit more So what

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00{:}56{:}28.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.395$ we you see. Also show me this term

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00{:}56{:}31.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33.358$ similar to the Exposome and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00{:}56{:}33.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35.518$ trying to pattern actually this word,

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:56:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.561$ so I'm trying to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00{:}56{:}37.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.966$ through animal studies and human

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:56:40.966 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.960$ studies how I can define a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:56:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.624$ Motif of changes that you can utilize

 $00:56:49.624 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.868$ by looking at the blood and finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:56:52.868 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.820$ modeling it to how much alcohol the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:56:56.926 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.416$ person has consumed during lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:57:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.220$ This is not an easy task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:57:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.188$ OK, so because one of The thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:57:05.188 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.905$ remember you can drink alcohol and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:07.905 --> 00:57:11.380 even if you measure a few of the enzymes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:11.380 --> 00:57:12.990 you're a pathic enzymes if you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:57:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.276$ If you have abstinence of alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:15.280 --> 00:57:17.982 Delivery cover so you don't know to

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00{:}57{:}17.982 \to 00{:}57{:}20.329$ how much alcohol you have drunk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00{:}57{:}20.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.618$ So what we're trying to do is we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:22.618 --> 00:57:25.779 trying to have a a more educated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:57:27.890 --> 00:57:29.926 Measurement of determining how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:57:29.926 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.980$ much alcohol a person have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:57:33.068 --> 00:57:35.533 throughout life and the same

 $00:57:35.533 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.998$ thing as the explosion concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:57:38.000 --> 00:57:39.476 As I said, it's not easy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:57:39.480 --> 00:57:40.929 but we're trying to do that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:57:40.929 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.341$ we're trying to do with animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00{:}57{:}42.341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43.835$ studies and also with human studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:57:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.954$ This is one of the of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:57:45.954 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.660$ ways that it can help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00{:}57{:}47.660 \to 00{:}57{:}50.705$ Really, the epidemiology to assess you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:57:50.705 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.120$ know the causal effects of alcohol because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:57:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.720$ they this is another area of big debate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:57:55.720 --> 00:57:57.058 Melinda, as you know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:57:57.060 --> 00:57:58.719 there was a couple of years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00{:}57{:}58.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00.601$ there was one paper from New Zealand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00{:}58{:}00.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02.275$ and it's saying all the women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:58:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.094$ even if they have one one drink.

00:58:05.100 --> 00:58:07.578 Per year they can develop colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00{:}58{:}07.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10.012$ I mean breast cancer and if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:58:10.012 --> 00:58:12.477 at really of these studies they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:58:12.480 --> 00:58:13.320 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:58:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.366$ based everything on on on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:58:15.366 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.938$ on just the questioners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:58:16.940 --> 00:58:18.172 And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:58:18.172 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.808$ this is not the accurate measure to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00{:}58{:}22.600 --> 00{:}58{:}24.016$ Yeah, yes, I agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00:58:24.016 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.518$ So much work to be done. Well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00{:}58{:}26.518 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}58{:}29.164$ Thank you so much to both of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00{:}58{:}29.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31.730$ our speakers today and if others

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00:58:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.880$ who tuned in have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

00:58:33.880 --> 00:58:36.536 I'm sure you can reach out to both

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

00:58:36.536 --> 00:58:39.100 our speakers via email and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00:58:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.380$ there will be some collaborations.

 $00{:}58{:}41.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43.858$ Initiated from today's talk. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00{:}58{:}43.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46.100$ Both have a great rest of the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00:58:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.000$ Thank you.