WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:58:03" NOTE recognizability:0.851

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.192$ People get started now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}00{:}02.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.018$ Thanks for joining us for Smile

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:05.018 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.694$ shares with primary care this month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:07.694 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.054$ We're focused on breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:10.054 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.430$ because it's certainly breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.094$ awareness month and the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:00:15.100 --> 00:00:16.450 Oh, my name is Anne Chang.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}00{:}16.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.240$ I'm the deputy CMO and Chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.100$ integration officer for SMILO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}00{:}21.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.290$ I'm a long medical oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.480$ and I developed this this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.546$ this series with Karen Brown and EMG

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:30.546 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.460$ and Smiler working together to really.

 $00:00:33.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.484$ Focus on the primary care perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:36.484 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.493$ on cancer and hematology and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:39.493 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.768$ audience for primary care clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:00:41.768 --> 00:00:44.856 and we have our primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:44.856 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.040$ panelist and smilo physicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.580$ This is a monthly series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.820$ So if you like us then come back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:00:51.820 --> 00:00:54.120 It's always the first Tuesday

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:00:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.316$ 5:00 to 6:00 PM virtually and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:00:56.316 --> 00:00:58.579 at some point perhaps in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}00{:}58.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.292$ We started last month and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:01:01.292 --> 00:01:03.430 this is an opportunity to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:01:03.430 --> 00:01:06.010 To focus on questions that primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:01:06.010 --> 00:01:08.352 care may have about cancer topics

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:01:08.352 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.529$ and we really felt that it wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}01{:}10.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.140$ something that where we wanted the

00:01:12.140 --> 00:01:13.886 specialist to tell primary care what

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:01:13.886 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.067$ they wanted to know but really ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:01:16.067 --> 00:01:18.118 primary care what what the topics are

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:01:18.118 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.428$ that that you you have questions about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:01:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.985$ So we're going to go into introductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:01:22.985 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.631$ and then we'll go into a case

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:01:25.631 --> 00:01:27.486 presentation with our experts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:01:27.486 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.788$ really we'll let would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:01:29.788 --> 00:01:31.643 have about 10 minutes available

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00:01:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.430$ for questions answers that you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}01{:}33.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.246$ We put in the chat as we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}01{:}36.246 \to 00{:}01{:}40.200$ along or or ask at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}01{:}40.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.839$ So I'm going to introduce Karen Brown first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:01:43.839 --> 00:01:44.198 Karen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

00:01:44.198 --> 00:01:47.070 if you can say a few words and

 $00:01:47.159 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.627$ then and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832643635

 $00{:}01{:}48.630 \to 00{:}01{:}50.625$ Start with the interests of our faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:01:51.340 --> 00:01:52.887 Sure. No, I I just want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:01:52.887 --> 00:01:54.380 thank you and and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:01:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.204$ all of our smile folks for sharing with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:01:59.204 --> 00:02:02.108 You know, we are always stronger

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:02.108 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.443$ together and new cancer is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.970$ Can be a really tough time for our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00{:}02{:}06.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09.160$ and for us to support our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.960$ So I think the more that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00{:}02{:}10.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.926$ do to coordinate both officially

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:02:12.926 --> 00:02:15.336 and unofficially and formally and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:15.336 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.703$ informally between us that the better

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:02:17.703 --> 00:02:19.713 care that our patients will receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:02:19.720 --> 00:02:21.750 And I would also like to point

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.756$ out that this evenings panel is

 $00:02:23.756 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.248$ largely on the New Haven region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:02:26.250 --> 00:02:28.704 So we're hoping to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:02:28.704 --> 00:02:30.866 highlight on different regions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:02:30.866 --> 00:02:32.646 I'll introduce Joe Bennett,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.876$ Toski who is one of our star.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.125$ EMG primary care clinicians Jill

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:37.125 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.370$ attended medical school at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:39.449 \dashrightarrow 00:02:42.054$ University of Connecticut and completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00{:}02{:}42.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.659$ residency in primary care general

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:02:44.733 --> 00:02:47.158 internal medicine at Mass General.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.435$ She returned to Connecticut to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:49.435 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.255$ practice general internal medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00{:}02{:}51.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.620$ where I met her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:02:52.620 --> 00:02:54.320 She was an assistant clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.005$ professor and working closely to

 $00:02:56.005 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.931$ educate a lot of the residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:02:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.475$ who were training with us at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:03:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.010$ The ambulatory setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:03:03.010 --> 00:03:06.580 She and her practice joined a NE

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:03:06.672 --> 00:03:10.678 Medical Group in 2018 and are clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:03:10.678 --> 00:03:13.226 provide excellent patient care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:03:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.790$ We get constant demands to see how many

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:03:16.790 --> 00:03:19.321 more patients they can follow because

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:03:19.321 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.649$ people love them and they do such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:03:21.649 --> 00:03:23.708 good job taking care of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00{:}03{:}23.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.740$ She's additionally now the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

00:03:25.740 --> 00:03:28.210 director for University of New Haven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:03:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.458$ so engaging in some student health as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:03:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.315$ I'll pass it back to you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.791756917692308

 $00:03:34.315 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.110$ introduce our specialist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:03:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.994$ So Rachel Greenup is an associate professor.

00:03:40.000 --> 00:03:42.190 Surgery. She's a breast surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:03:42.190 --> 00:03:43.948 She's our chief of breast surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:03:43.950 --> 00:03:48.297 And she came from, came from Wisconsin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:03:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.470$ where she did her residency and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:03:50.470 --> 00:03:53.387 went on to do her fellowship at the MGH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:03:53.390 --> 00:03:56.684 Dana Farber and Brigham and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:03:56.684 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.879$ came before joining Yale from Duke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}03{:}59.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.646$ where she founded that Duke Breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:02.646 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.850$ Cancer Outcomes Research Group and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.155$ And she has been here how long?

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.260$ Now I think it's Rachel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.380$ Yes, and it has a real focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}04{:}15.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.539$ care of women with young women

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:17.539 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.867$ with breast cancer and early onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:19.942 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.257$ breast cancer and health equities.

00:04:22.260 --> 00:04:26.397 Sarah Shellhorn is a colleague of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}04{:}26.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.684$ associate professor of medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:04:28.684 --> 00:04:32.012 chief ambulatory officer for Smilo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:32.012 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.096$ and she came from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}04{:}34.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.417$ She did her residency at Beth Israel

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}04{:}36.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.403$ Deaconess in Boston and and came

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:38.403 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.257$ did her fellowship at MD Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.775$ And she is really interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:41.775 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.290$ in in lots of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:04:43.290 --> 00:04:45.114 Around patient care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:45.114 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.664$ using technology to help patients optimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:04:48.664 --> 00:04:52.572 adherence to oral therapies and, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:04:52.572 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.816$ studying patient reported outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:04:54.820 --> 00:04:58.131 And she's the physician leader of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:04:58.131 --> 00:05:00.984 faculty academic practice and also very

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}05{:}00.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.690$ interested in early onset breast cancer.

 $00:05:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.580$ Why do you stay here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.996$ And another colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:05.996 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.940$ associate Professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:05:06.940 --> 00:05:08.074 Professor of medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:08.074 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.720$ he is the medical director for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:05:10.791 --> 00:05:13.377 Smilow Cancer Care Center in Guildford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.544$ And he trained it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:15.544 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.249$ Yellow affiliated hospital for residency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.756$ He was chief resident and then did

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

00:05:20.756 --> 00:05:23.209 his fellowship at Cornell University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.486$ met while Medical College,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}05{:}24.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.878$ and is a Fellow of the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}05{:}26.878 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}05{:}28.928$ College of Physicians and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00{:}05{:}28.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.400$ very interested in long term care

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.305$ of patients with breast cancer.

 $00:05:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.130$ So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.054$ but with our Distinguished faculty panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696368982857143

 $00:05:37.054 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.166$ I'll hand it over to Jill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:05:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.888$ Good evening, everyone and thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:05:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.970$ Thank you for the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

00:05:42.970 --> 00:05:47.578 Dan and Karen, we and NMG who are seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00{:}05{:}47.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.686$ patients see and have conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:05:49.686 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.681$ with over 100,000 women regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00{:}05{:}51.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.382$ breast cancer screening annually

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:05:53.382 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.848$ and we order hundreds of mammograms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00{:}05{:}55.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.730$ So this topic is very important to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:05:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.296$ We utilize the health maintenance tab

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:00.296 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.353$ in EPIC as an opportunity to remind

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:02.353 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.261$ ourselves and our patients of when

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:04.261 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.198$ their mammograms are due and to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:06.198 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.159$ sure they're done in a timely fashion.

 $00{:}06{:}08.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.280$ And one of the focuses we wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:10.342 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.128$ to make sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

00:06:11.130 --> 00:06:12.882 We took on tonight was recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:12.882 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.091$ those who are at increased risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:15.091 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.047$ breast cancer and might need earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:17.047 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.159$ or more advanced level screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.976$ So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:19.976 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.702$ I'll start our case with a 35 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:22.702 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.355$ nulliparous female with a history of obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00{:}06{:}25.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.425$ PCOS and Raynauds who presents

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:27.425 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.490$ for advice regarding breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:29.559 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.037$ screening and prevention as her mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.260$ maternal aunt and premenopausal older

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.760$ sister all have a history of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.058$ So questions regarding this case are

 $00:06:40.058 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.994$ what screening imaging is recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:41.994 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.666$ in light of her family history and at

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:44.666 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.480$ what intervals is genetic testing indicated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.510$ What tests and how is it best

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.380$ to arrange that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

00:06:50.380 --> 00:06:52.148 And what, if any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:52.148 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.916$ preventative strategies are recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

00:06:53.916 --> 00:06:55.480 regarding prophylaxis or surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00{:}06{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.172$ And so Doctor Greenup is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:57.172 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.300$ to take this on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00{:}06{:}58.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.880$ Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92744742

 $00:06:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.170$ Rachel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.840$ Thank you for having me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.521$ So breast cancer screening has been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:04.521 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.737$ topic of great controversy for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.735$ And it flares up in the lay

 $00:07:09.735 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.538$ press every three to five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:07:11.540 --> 00:07:13.445 Every different society

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:13.445 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.350$ has specific guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.562$ but the next slide will show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}17.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.251$ that the US Preventive Task Force

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:20.251 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.905$ guideline demonstrates that women

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:21.905 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.095$ under 50 screening should be made

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:07:24.095 --> 00:07:26.486 on an individual basis and take

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}26.486 \to 00{:}07{:}28.054$ patient context into account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}28.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.106$ So certainly a strong family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}30.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.790$ We recommend women begin screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}32.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.470$ with annual mammogram and or

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}35.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.370$ ultrasound based on the youngest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.940$ Age of the individual and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.196$ their family at diagnosis.

 $00:07:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.019$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:42.019 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.930$ in this 35 year old woman who

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:07:43.998 --> 00:07:45.576 had a history of a mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.620$ maternal aunt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.700$ and premenopausal older sister,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}48.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51.507$ we would think about the ages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}51.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.251$ their diagnosis and recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:07:53.251 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.023$ screening for her about 10 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}56.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.960$ younger than that earliest diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}07{:}58.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.150$ the US Preventive Task Force

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.902$ guidelines were most controversial

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:02.902 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.942$ because they did recommend that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}08{:}04.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.857$ screening could be considered in

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:06.857 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.905$ every other year in women 50 to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.208$ 74 and they actually said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:08:11.208 --> 00:08:13.289 there was potentially no benefit

 $00:08:13.289 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.669$ to clinical breast exam patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:15.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.608$ Asked us about this a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:08:17.610 --> 00:08:19.644 I still encourage women who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:19.644 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.000$ comfortable doing a monthly

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:21.062 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.267$ breast exam to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:08:22.270 --> 00:08:23.986 Regardless of what the data shows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.252$ we still meet many women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:26.252 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.370$ find their own breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:08:28.370 --> 00:08:30.806 The next slide shows the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:08:30.806 --> 00:08:32.024 Cancer Society guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:08:32.030 --> 00:08:34.466 and again, this is what most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:34.466 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.028$ us in academic programs adhere to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.992$ which includes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:37.992 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.359$ Annual screening for women 40 to 44,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.910$ again lifetime risk should be

 $00:08:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.665$ considered and then switching

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}08{:}45.665 {\: -->\:} 00{:}08{:}47.740$ to mammograms every two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.878$ as women are 55 and older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.504$ again depending on risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:51.504 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.940$ The next slide shows the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:54.019 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.544$ Society of Breast surgeons position

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:56.544 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.069$ statement on screening mammogram and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:08:59.141 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.221$ this came out in 2019 in response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:02.221 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.870$ differing opinions around frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}09{:}03.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.480$ and type of imaging for average

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}09{:}06.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.978$ risk women and these guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.504$ Really thoughtful in considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:09:10.504 --> 00:09:12.790 not only family history but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:12.851 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.791$ breast density and the value

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:14.791 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.955$ of supplemental imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.425$ And I recommend if anyone's

00:09:17.425 --> 00:09:19.499 interested you can go on the SBS

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}09{:}19.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.424$ website and look at these in detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.616$ But the next slide will outline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:09:24.620 --> 00:09:27.574 When women, certainly who have breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198 00:09:27.580 --> 00:09:27.993 was, NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:27.993 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.884$ we see a finding on mammogram followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:09:30.884 --> 00:09:33.090 by ultrasound plus minus biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.088$ Next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:09:34.088 --> 00:09:37.082 If there's any concern about density

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:37.082 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.987$ or family history being exacerbated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:09:39.987 --> 00:09:44.169 inclusion of MRI, 3D mammography screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.202$ ultrasound or supplemental imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}09{:}46.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.742$ such as contrast enhanced mammography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.186$ which is a less common currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:51.186 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.404$ across the country,

 $00:09:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.028$ but we're hoping to launch that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}09{:}55.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.567$ our smilow network in the near future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:09:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.266$ There's opportunities to do so without

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}10{:}01.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03.730$ pushback from insurance coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:10:03.730 --> 00:10:06.598 In terms of screening or testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}10{:}06.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.370$ for a hereditary Cancer syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:10:09.370 --> 00:10:10.338 next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:10:10.338 --> 00:10:13.242 we typically depended on the NCCN

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:10:13.242 --> 00:10:16.386 guidelines and these as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:10:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.064$ we're really based on both a personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00{:}10{:}19.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.455$ history of breast cancer and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:10:21.455 --> 00:10:23.410 family history of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:10:23.410 --> 00:10:25.375 It looked at potential for

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

 $00:10:25.375 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.876$ genetic testing for BRCA one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911390198

00:10:27.876 --> 00:10:29.268 two mutation carriers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:10:29.270 --> 00:10:31.860 any woman 45 or younger women younger

 $00:10:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.891$ than 50 with first, second or third.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:10:34.891 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.693$ 3 relatives women with family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:10:37.693 --> 00:10:40.540 of both breast and GYN cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:10:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.468$ including ovarian or fallopian

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:10:42.468 --> 00:10:44.396 tube or primary peritoneal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:10:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.068$ it did account for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:10:47.068 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.069$ Bilateral breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00{:}10{:}49.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.465$ triple negative phenotype under age

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:10:51.465 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.423$ 60 and individuals with strong family

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00{:}10{:}54.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.345$ history of Melanoma and pancreas cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364 00:10:57.350 --> 00:10:59.260 Next slide. NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:10:59.260 --> 00:11:01.705 Again, the American Society of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:11:01.705 --> 00:11:04.150 Breast Surgeons did update our

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:11:04.238 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.488$ genetic testing for hereditary breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:11:06.488 --> 00:11:09.020 cancer guidelines to say that any

 $00:11:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.262$ woman with a known breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:11:11.262 --> 00:11:13.737 should have access to a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:11:13.737 --> 00:11:15.657 counseling and potential testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:11:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.414$ knowing that a broad genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:11:18.414 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.785$ panels can include variants of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

00:11:20.785 --> 00:11:23.060 unknown significance that can cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:11:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.845$ difficulty in discussions and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00{:}11{:}25.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.073$ often not clinically actionable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:11:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.026$ And I think that brings us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890873813636364

 $00:11:30.026 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.340$ our screening key points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00{:}11{:}34.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.742$ And the final is that average

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

00:11:36.742 --> 00:11:39.829 risk women who need screening can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:11:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.550$ Be considered for every other

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:11:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.270$ year starting at age 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:11:43.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.694$ but all current guidelines recommend we

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

00:11:45.694 --> 00:11:48.350 account for patient family history and

00:11:48.350 --> 00:11:50.306 personal history including biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

00:11:50.310 --> 00:11:52.374 We should consider screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:11:52.374 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.050$ every year in women 40 or over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

00:11:56.050 --> 00:11:58.297 It's important to screen women 25 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

00:11:58.297 --> 00:12:00.680 over for higher risk of breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:12:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.714$ and include that on their imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:12:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.702$ That will help our radiology colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00{:}12{:}05.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.690$ think about supplemental ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00{:}12{:}07.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.214$ and or MRI related to breast density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:12:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.988$ And again, we do have a robust program

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:12:12.988 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.920$ at the breast center that includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:12:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.085$ breast surgery EP's who can help absorb

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00{:}12{:}19.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.678$ these patients if they need a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.853569865833333

 $00:12:21.678 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.706$ home for their Breast Cancer Care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.096$ Thank you. So now we're we're

00:12:30.096 --> 00:12:32.402 taking this same patient and moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:32.402 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.337$ her through the process here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.764$ Now she's presenting at age 49

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:36.764 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.840$ with a palpable breast mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.104$ And so when we come to this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:41.104 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.111$ the questions that come up are

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

00:12:43.111 --> 00:12:44.831 what are the appropriate imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:44.831 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.090$ orders and what is the appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.935$ method for referring for biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

00:12:48.940 --> 00:12:51.040 Should the patient go straight to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.258$ surgery should be a radiological biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:53.258 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.266$ and if the if the biopsy is positive?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

00:12:56.270 --> 00:12:58.280 What's the order of referral and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:12:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.630$ when should she see oncology in

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

00:13:00.630 --> 00:13:02.980 relationship to her definitive surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890291975294118

 $00:13:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.077$ I believe Rachel is taking this one as well.

 $00:13:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.628$ Yeah. So it's certainly a 49 year old woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:09.628 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.800$ with a palpable breast mass initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:13:11.869 --> 00:13:14.237 should undergo diagnostic mammogram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:13:14.240 --> 00:13:16.670 a diagnostic ultrasound and certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:13:16.670 --> 00:13:19.100 consideration of MRI based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:19.173 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.638$ breast density is very reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.590$ I typically have this discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.760$ with our radiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.755$ Sometimes the reports will say

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:13:26.755 --> 00:13:28.750 things such as extremely dense

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:28.818 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.518$ breast MRI is recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}13{:}30.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.332$ Other times it's valuable to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}13{:}32.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.822$ about the pros and cons of the MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:34.822 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.342$ in partnership with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:13:36.350 --> 00:13:40.144 Ourselves at Yale are we do refer

 $00:13:40.144 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.881$ these women for biopsy and or second

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}13{:}42.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.317$ opinion if the imaging is done

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}13{:}45.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.726$ outside so that women can get both

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:47.726 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.453$ a face to face consultation with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:50.453 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.339$ provider in our breast center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:53.339 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.691$ also have a formal review of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:13:56.691 --> 00:13:59.219 screening imaging that led to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:13:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.568$ The work up or the the area of concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}14{:}02.568 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}14{:}05.072$ screening imaging being their annual

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:05.072 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.632$ imaging that caught the abnormality

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:07.632 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.329$ and diagnostic being the additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:10.329 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.959$ workup that led to diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.162$ When we think about breast surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}14{:}15.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.119$ and typically our breast surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:17.119 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.204$ are the first frontline providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:19.204 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.872$ that see these patients,

 $00:14:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.296$ there are many options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:22.296 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.913$ So women who are eligible with small

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:24.913 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.043$ breast cancers can undergo lumpectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:27.043 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.928$ or mastectomy if they are found to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:29.928 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.154$ not have a hereditary cancer syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.911$ And we know their risk of local

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:34.911 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.380$ recurrence remains very low

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.036$ lumpectomies are shorter surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:38.036 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.520$ We can do that in conjunction

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:40.589 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.374$ with Aqua plastic surgery either

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:42.374 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.159$ reduction or a lift we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.080$ Typically recommend that women

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}14{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.466$ less than 70 years old with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:14:49.466 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.426$ triple negative or her two positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:14:52.426 --> 00:14:54.378 breast cancers have lumpectomy,

 $00:14:54.378 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.590$ followed by radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}14{:}55.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.702$ There are some exceptions and older

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}14{:}57.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.555$ women with favorable hormone receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}14{:}59.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.115$ positive breast cancers where

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:01.115 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.065$ radiation can be safely omitted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.710$ The recovery time is shorter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}15{:}04.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.492$ I always tell patients they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:06.492 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.670$ back to their lives a little sooner

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}15{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.524$ and the complication rate is low

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:10.524 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.409$ when we think about mastectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.370$ It's a bigger surgery when we add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.802$ Reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:14.802 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.394$ That's a second really important layer

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}15{:}17.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.460$ from a psychosocial perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.720$ but does not contribute to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.076$ improve cancer outcomes.

00:15:23.080 --> 00:15:26.500 Many women with small tumors after

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.780$ mastectomy won't need radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.940$ They can be exposed to several

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.281$ surgeries and or revisions and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}15{:}33.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.366$ a higher rates of complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:35.366 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.566$ especially if patients are smokers

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:37.566 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.776$ have diabetes or other comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}15{:}39.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.500$ Next slide and these are just some pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}15{:}42.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.680$ that everyone on the call is aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.296$ Lumpectomy means we're removing

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}15{:}46.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.316$ the tumor with negative margins

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:48.316 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.059$ typically following by radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.116$ Next slide we used to be very reliant

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:53.116 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.654$ on radiology putting a wire in next

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:15:55.654 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.575$ slide and now we have the improved.

00:15:58.575 --> 00:16:00.240 Sophisticated technology like

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}16{:}00.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.015$ radioactive seeds or tag localizers

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}16{:}03.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.553$ that women can have placed up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:16:05.553 --> 00:16:08.010 five days prior to their lumpectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:16:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.168$ without needing to have the wire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:16:12.170 --> 00:16:13.864 Out of their breasted day of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:16:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.990$ we also have good data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:16:14.990 --> 00:16:16.355 It's more comfortable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}16{:}16.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.175$ patients have better satisfaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

00:16:18.175 --> 00:16:20.995 and their margin rates are improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00{:}16{:}20.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.847$ with smaller resection specimens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:16:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.650$ Next slide when we think about mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:16:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.769$ obviously that's removing all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837231176

 $00:16:27.769 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.771$ breast tissue that can happen with or

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:16:29.837 --> 00:16:31.209 without reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}16{:}31.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.388$ We have a great group of reconstructive

 $00:16:34.388 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.694$ surgeons across the region that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}16{:}36.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.118$ do both implant based and micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}16{:}39.118 \rightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.775$ vascular reconstruction and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:16:40.775 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.670$ doing an increasing number of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:16:42.670 --> 00:16:44.059 Media implant reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:16:44.059 --> 00:16:45.911 which does consolidate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:16:45.911 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.180$ recovery time for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:16:48.180 --> 00:16:50.700 Next, I think one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}16{:}50.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.080$ comes up a lot when I meet women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:16:53.080 --> 00:16:54.466 especially our younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:16:54.466 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.314$ patients like this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:16:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.306$ As the discussion about whether there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:16:58.306 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.100$ benefit of removing their healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}17{:}00.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.752$ opposite breast through prophylactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:01.752 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.817$ mastectomy on the contralateral side

 $00:17:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.620$ and the rates of this has actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:17:05.620 --> 00:17:08.227 tripled in the last few decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}17{:}08.227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.322$ probably related to cultural and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:11.322 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.448$ kind of pop culture conversations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.487$ We know that after one breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.314$ a woman's risk of a contralateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:19.314 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.226$ cancer is low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.520$ It's between .1 and .5% per year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.530$ and that removing a healthy breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.514$ outside of a hereditary cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}17{:}27.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.604$ syndrome does not improve survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:17:29.610 --> 00:17:31.990 And there is also an associated higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:31.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.829$ risk when we do more surgery inherent

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:17:34.829 --> 00:17:37.014 to things like bleeding infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:17:37.020 --> 00:17:39.525 But ultimately our patients do

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:39.525 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.529$ report that sometimes cosmetic

00:17:41.529 --> 00:17:44.163 outcomes and a Peace of Mind are

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:17:44.163 --> 00:17:45.830 reasons that prompt them to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}17{:}45.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.590$ Pursue a double mast ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818 $00:17:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.970$ Next slide. NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.854$ When women need radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:51.854 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.209$ this is external beam radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86693674318181800:17:54.210 --> 00:17:54.890 It's painless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:17:54.890 --> 00:17:56.590 They usually get five days

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:17:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.730$ a week for one to 10 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}17{:}58.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.800$ It's cumulative, so side effects tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:18:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.270$ to come later or towards the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:18:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.370$ This is things like sunburning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}18{:}05.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.550$ fatigue, low risk of secondary cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818 00:18:08.550 --> 00:18:10.540 Next slide. NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:18:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.920$ And there can be swelling,

00:18:11.920 --> 00:18:12.744 redness, cough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:18:12.744 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.980$ shortness of breath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:18:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.052$ Some of this related to the site

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:18:16.052 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.600$ that receives the radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00{:}18{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.845$ But our radiation colleagues have

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:18:19.845 --> 00:18:21.641 improved techniques to avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:18:21.641 --> 00:18:23.380 Android to heart and lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

00:18:23.380 --> 00:18:25.914 and they continue to work towards shorter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866936743181818

 $00:18:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.750$ abbreviated courses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

00:18:33.600 --> 00:18:35.168 Rachel, if we could just go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00{:}18{:}35.168 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}18{:}36.457$ to the beginning of the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00:18:36.457 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.773$ with the you don't have to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00:18:37.819 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.240$ all the way back in the slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

00:18:39.240 --> 00:18:42.236 but just about how you would advise

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

00:18:42.236 --> 00:18:44.999 this woman with regard to options

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00{:}18{:}44.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.771$ prior to her developing her cancer

00:18:47.771 --> 00:18:50.970 in terms of surgical prophylactic

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00{:}18{:}50.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.618$ surgery or medical the rapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00:18:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.870$ prophylactic medicine medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00:18:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.771$ What is the, how do you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

00:18:58.771 --> 00:19:00.073 how do you phrase that conversation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00:19:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.879$ With her given her risk and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00:19:01.879 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.925$ or not if she hasn't known mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

 $00:19:03.925 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.743$ or does not have a mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.864225321851852

00:19:05.803 --> 00:19:07.518 but a profound family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.868$ Yeah, so it's a complicated discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:19:10.870 --> 00:19:13.131 I think ideally women will come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:13.131 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.230$ early in the process before they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}19{:}15.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.310$ kind of ready to sign up for surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:19:18.310 --> 00:19:20.886 I first start by taking a good family

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:19:20.886 --> 00:19:23.047 history and getting a sense of the

00:19:23.050 --> 00:19:25.950 level of family member involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.268$ at what age family members are diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:29.268 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.860$ and how those family members have survived

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.730$ or or not survived their breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.767$ We do see families where there's many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:19:37.770 --> 00:19:39.270 many women. With breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.934$ but they're all diagnosed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:41.934 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.710$ postmenopausal setting with screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}19{:}43.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.618$ detected very favorable cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:19:45.618 --> 00:19:48.082 And then we see women who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}19{:}48.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.319$ myriad of young women and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:19:50.319 --> 00:19:52.179 family diagnosed with very highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:52.251 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.496$ aggressive breast cancers where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:19:54.496 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.741$ it's probably more time sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:19:56.750 --> 00:19:58.822 As certainly a woman with this strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:19:58.822 --> 00:20:00.250 family history having a mother,

00:20:00.250 --> 00:20:02.020 maternal aunt and older sister,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}20{:}02.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.890$ I would refer her for genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.358$ Ideally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:05.358 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.634$ we refer an effective family member first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:20:08.640 --> 00:20:10.910 Because if that person's negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:10.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.418$ less likely that the individual in

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:13.418 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.950$ front of us would be a mutation carrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.335$ Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}20{:}17.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.260$ screening should start about 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.338$ years younger than the earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:21.338 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.210$ family member was diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.171$ And my practice for these high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}20{:}26.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.585$ patients although it it is candidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

00:20:28.585 --> 00:20:31.070 controversial as to to both a 3D

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:31.149 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.060$ mammogram screening ultrasound

 $00:20:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.608$ alternating with annual MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}20{:}35.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.485$ So we're staggering imaging that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:37.485 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.860$ being looked at every six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.036$ We do see that some women get fatigued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.360$ So it's a shared decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.364$ We work with them together about

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:45.364 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.700$ what what feels good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.830$ I have patients that feel very

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}20{:}48.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.250$ reassured when they're imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:50.317 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.802$ is normal and I patients that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}20{:}52.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.517$ probably overestimate their risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:54.517 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.554$ breast cancer the more imaging we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00:20:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.522$ So it's important to be thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.921060155

 $00{:}20{:}58.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.909$ about how it affects their experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00:21:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.520$ If she was postmenopausal I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00:21:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.730$ typically the breast tissue becomes

 $00{:}21{:}07.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.437$ fatty or replace we all know

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00{:}21{:}10.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.951$ that 3D mammography and becomes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:12.951 --> 00:21:15.339 becomes easier to interpret and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:15.340 --> 00:21:16.885 I think especially if postmenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:16.885 --> 00:21:19.174 women are nearing end of life or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00:21:19.174 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.558$ they have multiple comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00:21:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.452$ discussions around reducing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:23.452 --> 00:21:27.067 frequency of imaging is valuable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00:21:27.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.303$ And we do talk to women about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00:21:29.303 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.070$ chemo prevention if their family

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:31.070 --> 00:21:32.546 history is very high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00:21:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.084$ certainly if women have both a known

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00{:}21{:}35.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.520$ BRC 1 mutation and strong family

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:37.520 --> 00:21:39.650 history or bracket 2 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:39.650 --> 00:21:40.105 Similarly,

00:21:40.105 --> 00:21:42.835 we we have good discussions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

 $00{:}21{:}42.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.019$ risk reducing surgery both from

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:45.019 --> 00:21:46.507 a mastectomy perspective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82225211

00:21:46.507 --> 00:21:48.900 also from a GYN perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:21:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.987$ Thank you. I I think Sarah had her hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}21{:}51.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.997$ raised and she wanted to comment as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:21:54.000 --> 00:21:55.670 Just wanted, yes, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:21:55.670 --> 00:21:57.438 Jill, I just wanted to add to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:21:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.037$ that sometimes in women who are at

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:00.037 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.857$ particularly high risk but don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}22{:}01.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.083$ wish to go the the surgical route,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.676$ chemo prevention is a possibility and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:22:06.676 --> 00:22:08.990 chemo prevention sounds much scarier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}22{:}08.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.950$ scarier than it actually is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:22:10.950 --> 00:22:12.886 But Chemoprevention just basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:12.886 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.306$ means tamoxifen or sometimes aromatase

00:22:15.306 --> 00:22:17.638 inhibitors which reduce the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:22:17.638 --> 00:22:19.423 developing a breast cancer somewhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.660$ a relative risk. 30 to 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:22:23.660 --> 00:22:25.160 Over whatever time period they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:22:25.160 --> 00:22:28.240 taking it in, even past that time frame,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.968$ the the issue there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.330$ The issue there, excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.436$ what is that that relative risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}22{:}36.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.534$ reduction may not translate into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}22{:}38.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.109$ large absolute risk reduction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:22:40.109 --> 00:22:42.609 that can get a little bit complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.270$ but it's certainly something that we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}22{:}45.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.240$ do on occasion for people who are interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765 00:22:49.240 --> 00:22:51.420 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:22:51.420 --> 00:22:53.940 So we'll take our patient who unfortunately

00:22:53.940 --> 00:22:56.728 has surgery and is found to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:56.728 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.373$ stage 2A invasive ductal carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:22:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.780$ The tumor is 2.5 centimeters in grade 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.556$ does not involve any lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.313$ and is ER PR positive and her two negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}23{:}07.320 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.528$ And so we are going to now engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:09.528 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.080$ in discussion about treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.800$ If she's pre menopausal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.380$ what is her appropriate adjuvant treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:15.452 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.507$ and what factors are considered?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}23{:}17.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.946$ How is this different if she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:23:19.946 --> 00:23:22.469 postmenopausal and how long should she

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:23:22.469 --> 00:23:24.941 be on adjuvant hormonal therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:24.941 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.748$ doctor shellhorn's going to take it away?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765 00:23:27.750 --> 00:23:28.152 Right. NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:23:28.152 --> 00:23:30.966 So breast cancer treatment in 10 minutes,

 $00{:}23{:}30.970 --> 00{:}23{:}31.934$ no problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765 00:23:31.934 --> 00:23:32.898 The the, NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:32.898 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.345$ the initial approach and Rachel did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:23:36.345 --> 00:23:39.147 really lovely job going through the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}23{:}39.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41.058$ the definitive local management

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:41.058 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.489$ of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.170$ When we think about breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.850$ they're really three different modalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:23:45.850 --> 00:23:48.349 each of which has a different concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:23:48.350 --> 00:23:50.200 And so very broadly speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.268$ and I know I'm jumping into

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:23:51.268 --> 00:23:52.470 the next slide a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:52.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.350$ but very broadly speaking, surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.490$ the purpose of surgery is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.751$ is,

 $00:23:55.751 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.100$ is to take out the cancer and the affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:23:58.100 --> 00:23:58.764 Lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:23:58.764 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.088$ the areas that we know contain cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.042$ The purpose of radiation is to mop up behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:24:04.042 --> 00:24:06.830 the surgeon to to get rid of any micro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.258$ micro microscopic disease that

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}24{:}08.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.725$ might reside in the breast or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:10.725 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.545$ OR the XL or other lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.944$ And then the purpose of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:24:14.944 --> 00:24:17.426 oncology or systemic therapy is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}24{:}17.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.862$ to reduce the risk of developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:24:19.862 --> 00:24:21.808 metastatic disease in the long run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.330$ So we all have very different concerns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.475$ The sequencing of treatments can

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:26.475 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.620$ be different depending on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}24{:}28.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.220 \ {\rm clinical \ circumstance}.$

00:24:30.220 --> 00:24:32.780 Sometimes surgery is done 1st,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00{:}24{:}32.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.105$ and this is particularly helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:34.105 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.053$ to figure out what it is exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:36.053 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.197$ that we're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.376$ What's the size of the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.576$ how many lymph nodes are involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:24:40.580 --> 00:24:42.440 You really get a full pathologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.680$ picture of the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.624$ and if that is going to be used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:45.624 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.273$ determine systemic therapy or the need

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:47.273 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.150$ for radiation later on down the road,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.642$ that can be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:50.642 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.880$ Sometimes we use a neoadjuvant approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

 $00:24:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.056$ meaning before surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847589669411765

00:24:54.056 --> 00:24:56.800 to give some sort of systemic therapy

 $00:24:56.869 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.316$ such as chemotherapy, and this is used

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00{:}24{:}59.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.686$ in generally and more aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00:25:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.850$ Cancers or very locally advanced cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00:25:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.001$ when we know that chemotherapy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

00:25:05.001 --> 00:25:07.585 going to be needed and we don't need

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00{:}25{:}07.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.882$ that additional pathology to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00:25:09.882 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.117$ what chemotherapy regimen to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00:25:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.289$ So just wanted to give a quick word on

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00{:}25{:}14.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.713$ adjuvant versus neoadjuvant and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

00:25:15.713 --> 00:25:17.763 we'll dive into all of that pathologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00:25:17.763 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.884$ gobbledygook that Jill told us about in

NOTE Confidence: 0.930338536923077

 $00:25:19.884 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.338$ terms of this patients biopsy results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.970$ Before we do that, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.418$ I've already mentioned over on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:30.418 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.950$ right what the roles of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.550$ radiation and medical therapy are.

 $00:25:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.918$ Patients often want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:37.918 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.628$ know what their stage is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.286$ In fact, almost 100% of the time and stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:43.286 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.210$ can be thought of in one of two ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.502$ There's the anatomic stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:47.502 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.112$ which relies on the size of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:50.112 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.247$ and the presence or absence of lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:52.247 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.377$ nodes and their number to determine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.236$ How locally advanced a cancer is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.120$ More recently we started incorporating

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:25:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.705$ some of those things that were mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:01.705 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.966$ in the biopsy report that Jill that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}26{:}03.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.964$ Jill read earlier including the grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:05.964 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.496$ which in this case was Grade 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:08.496 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.244$ the estrogen receptor and the progesterone

 $00:26:11.244 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.798$ receptor status and the her two status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}26{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.586$ And we can incorporate those features of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:16.586 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.529$ the cancer into the tumor size in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:19.529 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.407$ lymph node status to come up with what

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:22.407 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.046$ the final stage is and stage correlates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:26:25.050 --> 00:26:28.110 Roughly with prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:28.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.916$ So it gets us now the patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:29.916 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.210$ going to have surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.862$ the patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:31.862 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.470$ if the assuming the patient has a lumpectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.619$ she'll need radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:35.619 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.489$ How do we decide what kind of medical therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.045$ we're going to recommend for this patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:26:42.050 --> 00:26:44.339 So next slide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.038$ We first look at the grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.956$ Grade is a measure, broadly speaking,

 $00:26:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.475$ of how aggressive the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:50.475 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.990$ cell looks under the microscope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.230$ It's incorporating a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.160$ of different things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}26{:}54.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.008$ including the architecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:26:56.008 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.584$ nuclear grade and speed of replication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:26:58.584 --> 00:27:02.117 And it gives us a sense the higher the grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.856$ the more aggressive we may need to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.144$ IE the higher grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:05.144 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.500$ the more likely the chemo is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.636$ chemo is going to be recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.640$ Next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}27{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.500$ We get into the estrogen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:27:14.500 --> 00:27:15.120 progesterone receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.344$ So the vast majority,

 $00:27:16.344 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.848$ 75 ish percent of all breast cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}27{:}18.848 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}27{:}21.248$ fueled at least in part by the female

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:21.314 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.458$ hormones estrogen and progesterone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.534$ And so the presence of estrogen or

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:27.534 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.324$ progesterone near the cancer can

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:30.324 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.958$ lead to more uncontrolled growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}27{:}32.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.222$ So estrogen and progesterone

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:35.222 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.354$ positive cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}27{:}36.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.180$ estrogen and progesterone receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:27:38.180 --> 00:27:40.910 positive cancers are fueled by hormones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.702$ which leads us to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:27:42.702 --> 00:27:46.247 Talk about some sort of anti hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:27:46.247 --> 00:27:48.386 therapy and interfering with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:48.386 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.450$ interaction between the ligand and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:50.511 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.773$ receptor can lead to decreased gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}27{:}52.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.281$ expression and therefore decreased

 $00:27:54.335 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.219$ cell proliferation in the long run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.848$ So that's the the reason behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:27:58.848 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.108$ these hormone type therapies or

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:28:01.108 --> 00:28:03.263 rather anti hormone type therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:03.263 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.884$ that we recommend for patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:05.884 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.350$ have this type of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.710$ You've heard of these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.890$ You probably have hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.365$ of patients on these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.850$ Tamoxifen works as a competitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:28:14.850 --> 00:28:16.338 antagonist of estrogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.494$ and progesterone 6 sits in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:18.494 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.891$ pocket of the receptor and prevents

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

00:28:20.891 --> 00:28:23.116 breast cancers from from growing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.902$ or breast cells in general from

 $00:28:24.902 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.090$ being able to grow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00{:}28{:}26.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.056$ Aromatase inhibitors, on the other hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.776$ Prevent the peripheral aromatization

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:32.776 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.850$ of steroids into testosterone and into

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.680$ of rather testosterone into estrogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.792$ And prevent the body from being

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:42.792 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.200$ able to make estrogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.734$ and so you remove the leg in

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:46.734 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.260$ entirely so there's nothing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931217102

 $00:28:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.710$ bind to the receptor itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:28:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.532$ The final thing that we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:28:53.532 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.230$ at is the her two status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00{:}28{:}55.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.918$ Her two is a member of the EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00{:}28{:}57.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.150$ family of surface receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.388$ and it can be either normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.500$ also called negative,

 $00:29:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.497$ or it can be positive and it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:06.497 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.765$ be positive in one of two ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.310$ It can be overexpressed on

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.850$ the surface of the cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.629$ or it can be amplified in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:14.629 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.630$ nucleus with lots of additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

00:29:16.630 --> 00:29:19.400 copies of the her two encoding DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00{:}29{:}19.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.256$ her two positive cancers in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

00:29:22.260 --> 00:29:24.330 Are more aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.333$ They in general require chemotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:28.333 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.098$ oftentimes we use chemotherapy first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.403$ In this setting, you may have heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00{:}29{:}33.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.560$ of the name triple negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00{:}29{:}36.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38.720$ Triple negative just means estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.016$ receptor is negative,

 $00:29:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.448$ progesterone receptor is negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00{:}29{:}41.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.212$ Her two is -, 1, two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

00:29:44.212 --> 00:29:46.210 three, triple negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865970553333333

 $00:29:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.080$ Next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:29:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.350$ Jill in in our preparation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:29:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.696$ this meeting, Jill shared a risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:29:54.696 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.529$ shared a story of a patient who came

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:29:57.529 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.695$ in wanting to discuss her number

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:29:59.695 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.401$ with her primary care doctor and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00{:}30{:}02.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05.056$ number in this case often refers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:05.056 \dashrightarrow 00:30:06.896$ something called the Oncotype DX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.540$ which is a recurrence score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:08.540 \dashrightarrow 00:30:12.170$ It's a number on a scale of zero to 100

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:12.265 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.841$ and it is a number that is calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

00:30:15.841 --> 00:30:19.249 by looking at the gene expression of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.902$ 21 cancer specific genes.

 $00{:}30{:}20.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.879$ It goes into a patented algorithm by

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:23.879 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.399$ this company genomic health and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:26.399 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.069$ number the recurrence scores is spit out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.662$ So if that number could be on a scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

00:30:31.662 --> 00:30:34.525 of zero to 100, it's a complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:34.525 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.705$ nuanced conversation with patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.294$ But in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:38.294 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.829$ if that number is 25 or lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

00:30:41.829 --> 00:30:44.667 patients may not benefit from chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.886$ and so chemotherapy is likely not to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:47.886 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.998$ recommended if that number is higher than 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

00:30:51.000 --> 00:30:53.080 UH-5 or 26 and up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00{:}30{:}53.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.460$ there needs to be a more detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:55.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.759$ conversation about the use of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:30:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.888$ So this is a test that that we

 $00:30:59.888 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.386$ send to determine whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:31:02.386 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.278$ a patient needs chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:31:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.626$ It does correlate a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:31:06.626 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.799$ to to prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:31:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.634$ but the real purpose of this test

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:31:09.634 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.588$ is to determine whether or not we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

00:31:11.588 --> 00:31:13.256 need to use chemotherapy to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00{:}31{:}13.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.183$ the risk of micrometastatic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00{:}31{:}15.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.679$ and subsequent distant relapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

 $00:31:16.679 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.118$ and at some point in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83082791125

00:31:19.120 --> 00:31:19.640 Next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.452$ Umm, I don't expect you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:23.452 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.419$ actually be able to read the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:25.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.636$ but the there are a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:28.636 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.990$ regimens and your friendly neighborhood rest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.805$ oncologist, oncologist would be more

 $00:31:32.805 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.458$ than happy to discuss any of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00{:}31{:}35.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37.018$ chemotherapy regimens with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

00:31:37.020 --> 00:31:38.829 I I put this up just to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:38.829 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.474$ there are a lot of different regimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:40.474 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.639$ with a lot of different side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.632$ a lot of different schedules and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

00:31:45.632 --> 00:31:48.640 our job to to really talk through risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:31:48.721 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.356$ and benefits, potential side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

00:31:51.356 --> 00:31:52.460 Potential toxicities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

00:31:52.460 --> 00:31:55.076 mainstays of treatment for breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

00:31:55.080 --> 00:31:58.888 include taxanes, so Taxol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

00:31:58.888 --> 00:32:00.314 taxotere, ABRAXANE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00{:}32{:}00.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.158$ Those are some commonly used drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:32:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.500$ sometimes adriamycin or doxorubicin

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:32:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.170$ and anthracycline.

00:32:08.170 --> 00:32:11.530 Cyclophosphamide, cytoxan and carboplatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461 00:32:11.530 --> 00:32:13.210 And then, NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:32:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.554$ if the cancer is her too positive trust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461 00:32:15.560 --> 00:32:16.210 who's amab? NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

00:32:16.210 --> 00:32:17.510 Also known as Herceptin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:32:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.365$ as well as other anti her two

NOTE Confidence: 0.866463531538461

 $00:32:19.365 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.895$ targeting agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:32:22.000 --> 00:32:25.509 Next slide. So this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00{:}32{:}25.509 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}32{:}28.587$ patient would have had most likely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:32:28.590 --> 00:32:30.430 given that it was a high grade cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00{:}32{:}30.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32.265$ it was larger. She's premenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:32.265 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.089$ likely to have a high risk Oncotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:32:35.090 --> 00:32:37.190 So an Oncotype that's higher than 26,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.830$ she likely would have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.886$ recommended chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.495$ However, she also needs to

 $00:32:43.495 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.579$ go on endocrine therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.540$ Tamoxifen or an aromatase

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.204$ inhibitor would be indicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:50.204 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.744$ So just to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:51.744 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.497$ who we can use these in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:32:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.092$ Tamoxifen can be used in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:32:56.092 --> 00:32:58.332 anyone provided they don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:32:58.332 --> 00:33:01.724 a risk of or a history of venous

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:01.724 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.998$ thromboembolism or endometrial cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.940$ Aromatase inhibitors can only be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00{:}33{:}06.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.870$ in post menopausal women and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00{:}33{:}09.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.534$ is largely related to its mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:33:12.540 --> 00:33:14.825 It works by blocking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00{:}33{:}14.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.739$ peripheral aromatization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.638$ In peripheral tissues, not the ovaries.

 $00:33:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.040$ But what that leads to is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00{:}33{:}21.040 \longrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.420$ deprivation of estrogen in the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.726$ leading to negative feedback and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:33:26.726 --> 00:33:29.880 ovaries ramping up if if used in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.755$ the absence of ovarian suppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:33:31.760 --> 00:33:33.495 So aromatase inhibitors can only

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:33.495 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.921$ be used in post menopausal women or

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:33:35.921 --> 00:33:38.119 women who do not have ovarian function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.440$ either surgically, chemically or otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.966$ The side effects of the two drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:42.966 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.727$ or the two classes of drugs are

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:44.727 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.047$ are a little bit different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:33:46.050 --> 00:33:48.710 Tamoxifen could cause vasomotor symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.166$ such as hot flashes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:33:50.166 --> 00:33:51.986 It can cause mood changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.650$ There is a small risk of venous

00:33:54.650 --> 00:33:55.830 thromboembolism very small

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.430$ risk of uterine cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:33:57.430 --> 00:33:59.668 It can be beneficial in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:33:59.668 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.876$ with osteoporosis and can can lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:01.876 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.808$ to an increase in bone density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:34:03.810 --> 00:34:05.706 Aromatase inhibitors, on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.690$ lead to this low estrogen state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00{:}34{:}07.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.718$ So it's kind of menopause, Part 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:09.718 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.476$ It can cause vasomotor symptoms such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:12.476 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.002$ hot flashes, night sweats, vaginal dryness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:16.002 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.826$ Accelerated bone loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.270$ And so we monitor bone density

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00{:}34{:}19.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.700$ very closely in these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.464$ usually every other year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:22.464 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.749$ It can lead to increased cholesterol as well.

00:34:25.750 --> 00:34:27.730 In terms of monitor monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

00:34:27.730 --> 00:34:29.090 there's really no monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.89534825

 $00:34:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.450$ for tamoxifen other than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5568068

00:34:59.070 --> 00:35:03.118 To determining whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.5568068

00:35:03.118 --> 00:35:05.326 we should extend endocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.5568068

 $00:35:05.326 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.439$ therapy past five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5568068

 $00{:}35{:}07.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.948$ The slides have disappeared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5568068

 $00:35:08.948 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.210$ I'd be happy to take some

NOTE Confidence: 0.5568068

 $00:35:11.284 \dashrightarrow 00:35:13.659$ questions until the slides return.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5568068

00:35:13.660 --> 00:35:15.940 Or we could just go straight

NOTE Confidence: 0.5568068

 $00{:}35{:}15.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.968$ into the next phase of the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

00:35:21.320 --> 00:35:23.570 Thank you very much, Sarah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.748$ And we're going to move into

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00{:}35{:}25.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.200$ survivorship and new symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.336$ So our patient is now 54 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.059$ She's tolerating her

 $00:35:31.059 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.778$ adjuvant hormonal therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.607$ And we'd like to have a discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:34.607 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.293$ about what risk should we as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:36.293 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.421$ primary care physicians be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:37.421 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.159$ aware of those being endocrine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

00:35:39.160 --> 00:35:40.026 cardiac, pulmonary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:40.026 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.758$ psychological and what testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00:35:41.758 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.284$ should the primary care physician be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866694

 $00{:}35{:}44.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.480$ prepared to order for those patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00:35:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.272$ And in addition, after after that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00{:}35{:}52.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}53.875$ conversation, eight years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00:35:53.875 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.290$ our patient presents with new onset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00{:}35{:}56.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58.659$ back back pain of four weeks duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00{:}35{:}58.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.440$ which she originally attributed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00:36:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.220$ a strenuous session of gardening.

 $00:36:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.876$ But rather than improving as would

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00{:}36{:}03.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.638$ be expected, the pain is worsening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00:36:05.638 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.200$ So this would lead us into discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

00:36:08.200 --> 00:36:09.840 Considering her breast cancer history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00:36:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.426$ what are the appropriate next steps

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

00:36:12.426 --> 00:36:15.293 in diagnosis and management of her new

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

00:36:15.293 --> 00:36:17.435 onset of symptoms given her history?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

00:36:17.440 --> 00:36:19.180 And Doctor Zahir is kindly going

NOTE Confidence: 0.842457521428571

 $00:36:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.870$ to take this on. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:36:20.880 --> 00:36:22.422 Thank you for including me in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:22.422 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.966$ this conversation. So this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00{:}36{:}23.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}26.018$ I'll take your second question first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:36:26.020 --> 00:36:27.358 You know, this is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:36:27.360 --> 00:36:29.632 any kind of workup for a patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:29.632 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.636$ history of breast cancer should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:31.636 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.694$ based on what was their underlying

 $00:36:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.680$ risk and what are the symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.052$ and obviously this lady is having.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:38.052 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.236$ Persistent back pain issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.320$ So we need to have it worked up to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:36:42.373 --> 00:36:44.239 sure that there's nothing you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.459$ we that we work it up for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.430$ whether it's related to breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.936$ cancer or related to a treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00{:}36{:}50.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53.247$ or related to another etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:53.247 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.516$ So if she's having persistent back pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00{:}36{:}56.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}36{:}59.622$ she will have a workup that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:36:59.622 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.300$ could include X-rays or well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00{:}37{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.514$ if there is persistent pain in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:04.514 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.320$ a particular location and MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.516$ or if there are diffuse symptoms.

 $00:37:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.860$ 3D scan or a PET scan?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.458$ And if we find some abnormality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:37:13.458 --> 00:37:15.696 that is highly suspicious based

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:15.696 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.396$ on the radiology data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.532$ then we have to biopsy at the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:37:20.532 --> 00:37:22.939 anytime of anytime we feel that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:22.939 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.004$ is a possibility of a recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:25.004 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.110$ we need to biopsy that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:27.178 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.518$ a variety of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.501$ First reason is we want to confirm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00{:}37{:}30.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.662$ that this is indeed metastatic breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00{:}37{:}32.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.152$ cancer or is this another malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:35.160 \dashrightarrow 00:37:37.509$ And also we need to test for all those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:37:37.509 --> 00:37:39.867 markers that doctor Mcgillian has mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.210$ you know the estrogen receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.416$ Suggestion receptor,

 $00:37:42.416 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.034$ her two receptors and also additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:46.034 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.641$ molecular biomarkers that we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:48.641 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.836$ these days for metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.040$ Another issue with the metastasis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:37:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.380$ that bone metastases are usually seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:37:58.380 --> 00:38:01.020 in estrogen receptor positive patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:38:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.600$ whereas brain metastases are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:38:02.600 --> 00:38:04.970 more common in her two positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:38:05.036 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.880$ or triple negative patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00{:}38{:}06.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.262$ And any time a patient is diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00{:}38{:}09.262 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11.230$ with metastatic disease these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:38:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.728$ we have a lot of choices and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:38:12.728 --> 00:38:14.440 we have a lot of treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:38:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.260$ additional treatments that can be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:38:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.586$ helpful and they are still trying to convert.

 $00:38:20.586 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.840$ This into a chronic disease rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:38:22.904 \dashrightarrow 00:38:24.992$ a death sentence and then we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:38:24.992 --> 00:38:27.186 assess the patient for the for distress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:38:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.746$ which requires a lot of help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

00:38:29.746 --> 00:38:32.530 on part of medical providers as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960220325

 $00:38:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.530$ well as home providers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:38:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.260$ So we all know and that's why we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:38:40.260 --> 00:38:42.439 gathered today that best care for any

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}38{:}42.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.345$ patient is good collaboration between

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:38:44.345 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.955$ a primary care and an oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}38{:}46.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.856$ which we do this all the time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:38:48.856 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.777$ I've had the pleasure of doing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:38:50.777 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.729$ with Jill for a number of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:38:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.245$ So acute toxicity usually is

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:38:54.245 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.340$ taken care of by medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:38:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.800$ but chronic toxicities are shared

 $00:38:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.801$ between primary care and and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:01.801 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.993$ oncologist and any woman who has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:39:05.000 --> 00:39:06.492 Treated with endocrine therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:06.492 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.984$ especially the aromatase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.260$ We know about bone health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.366$ we discuss those issues and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:11.366 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.462$ of these patients are placed

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:13.462 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.958$ prophylactically also on bisphosphonates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}39{:}15.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19.224$ which is an agent that also that helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:19.224 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.639$ with bone health but also may decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:22.639 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.338$ the risk of disease recurrence in the bones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.260$ We all know about the side

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:39:28.260 --> 00:39:29.220 effects of adriamycin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:29.220 \dashrightarrow 00:39:31.537$ We do not usually reach that do sage

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:39:31.537 --> 00:39:33.480 that causes problems with the heart,

 $00:39:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.058$ but we usually still check it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:39:35.060 --> 00:39:37.224 In the adjuvant setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:37.224 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.470$ anti herto therapy has a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:39:40.565 --> 00:39:43.409 for cardiac complications also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.780$ but most of those issues are

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.996$ temporary and they resolved with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:39:47.996 --> 00:39:49.688 discontinuation of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:39:49.690 --> 00:39:51.706 We have a excellent cardio oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:51.706 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.364$ program that actually helps us

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:53.364 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.930$ out in care of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}39{:}54.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.570$ in some decision making process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:39:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.286$ whether to treat or not to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}39{:}59.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01.730$ Pneumonitis is another risk that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}40{:}01.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04.170$ can happen with chemotherapy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:40:04.248 --> 00:40:06.538 can happen with radiation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:40:06.538 --> 00:40:09.372 that is happening these days with

 $00:40:09.372 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.758$ immune therapy also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}40{:}10.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.044$ It's relatively uncommon but has but may

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:40:15.044 --> 00:40:18.318 require steroid therapy at some point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:40:18.320 --> 00:40:21.351 Neuropathy is one of the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:21.351 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.099$ chronic side effects that we hear about

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.996$ most commonly in breast cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.556$ Taxol is the is the culprit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}40{:}28.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.740$ although in other malignancies oxaliplatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.116$ is more notorious for that side effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}40{:}35.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36.970$ There are certain medications that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.820$ actually help with some symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:40:38.820 --> 00:40:41.424 We actually have a physical therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}40{:}41.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.160$ department that actually focuses

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:43.228 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.484$ on neuropathy and has been really

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:45.484 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.699$ successful in helping out with this.

 $00:40:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.000$ Chronic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.250$ Problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.825$ Psychological health is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:40:54.825 --> 00:40:59.149 in any breast cancer or any cancer survivor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:40:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.775$ And with time as as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:41:01.775 --> 00:41:04.160 have improved on chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.648$ we have improved on side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:41:05.650 --> 00:41:09.738 we have tried to cut back on surgeries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.228$ we have tried to cut back on chemo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.198$ certain type of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}41{:}13.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.658$ The financial toxicity continues to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:41:15.658 --> 00:41:17.848 increase because of the increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00{:}41{:}17.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20.284$ cost of treatment and increased cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:20.353 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.549$ of taking care of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:41:22.550 --> 00:41:24.524 So coming back to your first question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:24.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.868$ how often this person should be followed

00:41:26.870 --> 00:41:29.194 if they do not have metastatic disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.060$ Normally speaking the NCCN guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.544$ Say that we need to see the patients one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.310$ one to four times a year per year

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.695$ for five years and decreasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:40.695 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.370$ frequency again based on their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:41:43.370 --> 00:41:45.830 Their risk and again based

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.798$ on their symptoms also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.784$ We are actually working on a long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:50.784 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.096$ care plan at the at the Hill Spyro Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:54.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.372$ trying to see what is the best way

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:41:56.372 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.213$ to transition back to primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

00:41:58.213 --> 00:42:00.025 after five years and what type

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:42:00.088 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.628$ of patient should that be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77942646

 $00:42:01.630 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.810$ And based on their original

 $00:42:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.990$ pathology as well as need

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

00:42:06.074 --> 00:42:08.788 for continuing care, patients also

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:08.788 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.458$ need periodic screening for family

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

00:42:11.458 --> 00:42:13.680 history genetic testing because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.850$ genetic testing also can change in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.800$ number of years and new additional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.236$ Testing may be required.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:19.236 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.031$ We are all familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}42{:}21.031 \to 00{:}42{:}22.949$ the lymphedema management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.568$ which is which can be a problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}42{:}25.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.450$ but those problems are decreasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

00:42:27.450 --> 00:42:31.330 thankfully, to less invasive surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.195$ and we have good physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}42{:}33.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.060$ the rapists that are available for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

00:42:35.128 --> 00:42:37.088 those management of lymphedema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

00:42:37.090 --> 00:42:39.848 Again, the one of the required radiology

 $00:42:39.848 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.126$ is the yearly mammogram unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}42{:}42.126 \to 00{:}42{:}45.760$ patient has had bilateral mast ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

00:42:45.760 --> 00:42:47.645 There's actually no indication for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:47.645 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.975$ any other testing for routine testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}42{:}49.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.614$ in the absence of clinical signs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:52.614 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.380$ symptoms suggestive of a recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:42:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.138$ And again we have good long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}42{:}57.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.655$ care plans that we are working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}42{:}59.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.816$ and we have a lot of these support

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}43{:}02.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.168$ services that are available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}43{:}05.168 --> 00{:}43{:}06.916$ the SMILO Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

00:43:06.920 --> 00:43:08.859 I will not go into individual details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

00:43:08.860 --> 00:43:11.080 but all of them are providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:43:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.820$ additional help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:43:11.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.710$ We have the extended care clinic for

00:43:13.710 --> 00:43:15.908 off hours so that the patient cannot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00{:}43{:}15.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.654$ Should not go to the emergency room and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:43:18.654 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.958$ can go and can by pass the emergency room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:43:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.825$ We have the multidisciplinary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:43:23.825 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.064$ that we are trying to get patient an

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:43:27.064 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.252$ appointment together with the surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:43:29.252 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.442$ and medical oncologist and radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.863473149230769

 $00:43:31.442 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.208$ oncologist and other supportive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

 $00{:}43{:}35.800 \to 00{:}43{:}37.510$ Agencies, we are trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

 $00:43:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.220$ also get next day access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

 $00:43:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.436$ which we have been successful to some extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

 $00:43:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.832$ And then I want to mention that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

00:43:43.832 --> 00:43:45.644 oncology pharmacy has been one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

 $00:43:45.644 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.372$ the mainstays that are available in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

 $00:43:47.431 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.601$ almost all of our offices that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

 $00{:}43{:}49.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.584$ readily available to discuss interactions

 $00:43:51.584 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.716$ and discuss any changes as needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862283635

 $00:43:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.920$ And thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:43:58.070 --> 00:44:00.398 With terrific, I'm going to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:00.398 --> 00:44:02.726 leave a question and answer session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.705$ although we don't have anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:04.705 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.680$ that's offered any question and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:06.752 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.047$ answers through our zoom connection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.170$ So if you are thinking of asking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00{:}44{:}12.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.915$ question by all means put it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00{:}44{:}14.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.638$ Q&A and otherwise I I I have a a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00{:}44{:}18.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22.172$ of kind of logistic questions. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:22.172 --> 00:44:26.028 The first thing was in a cancer survivor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:26.030 --> 00:44:27.600 a breast cancer survivor who

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:27.600 --> 00:44:28.856 has some new symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.348$ whether it's back pain or maybe a lump,

 $00:44:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.726$ they feel subcutaneous lump.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:33.726 --> 00:44:35.182 Is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00{:}44{:}35.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38.170$ you said to assess their risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.270$ recurrence based on their initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.518$ cancer and and that is one thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:43.518 --> 00:44:46.530 can really stump us in primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:46.530 --> 00:44:47.325 So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:47.325 --> 00:44:50.299 what I find is whenever I see the name of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:50.299 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.399$ the oncologist who treated the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:52.400 --> 00:44:54.070 and I recognized the name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:44:54.070 --> 00:44:55.948 and I pick up the phone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.980$ they have this encyclopedic knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:44:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.679$ of exactly what means what as far

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:45:00.679 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.485$ as what they were treated with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:45:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.210$ and, you know, their markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:45:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.268$ And so I'm, I'm wondering is,

 $00:45:06.270 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.028$ is that something that's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:45:08.028 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.909$ be addressed in this care plan or

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:45:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.554$ is that kind of just the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:45:11.554 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.170$ thing to do is to pick up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:45:13.225 --> 00:45:14.730 phone and call an oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896 00:45:14.730 --> 00:45:15.246 how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

 $00:45:15.246 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.891$ how should we proceed when we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.842056896

00:45:18.891 --> 00:45:22.180 suspect at late recurrence or of cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.691614425

00:45:24.330 --> 00:45:26.088 I Karen, it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691614425

 $00:45:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.090$ Why did you go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691614425

 $00:45:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.000$ Sorry, go ahead. I basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00{:}45{:}29.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.930$ you know I would say that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00{:}45{:}30.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.883$ picking up the phone is always very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00{:}45{:}32.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.170$ helpful that's it's the best care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

00:45:35.170 --> 00:45:37.990 possible for the patient and again I've

 $00:45:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.358$ known Jill and her group for a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00{:}45{:}40.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.802$ time and I I get these calls all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:45:42.802 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.225$ time and I think that really improves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

00:45:45.225 --> 00:45:47.938 the care that tells that directs which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:45:47.938 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.234$ which test needs to be done and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:45:50.234 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.062$ there are and we are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:45:52.062 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.250$ in a better position in a sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:45:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.175$ To tell as to what tests should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:45:56.175 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.000$ be done first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

00:45:57.000 --> 00:45:58.650 That sometimes saves money and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:45:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.300$ as well as unnecessary tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:46:00.357 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.977$ also and unnecessary anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

00:46:01.980 --> 00:46:03.900 Also looking at certain person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00{:}46{:}03.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.710 \text{ certain patient},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:46:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.093$ we look at a certain abnormality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00:46:07.093 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.917$ we will say you know it's highly unlikely

 $00:46:09.917 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.950$ related to breast cancer and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8721978775

 $00{:}46{:}11.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.920$ may alleviate the anxiety right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:46:15.230 --> 00:46:17.428 Yeah and I would echo exactly that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.896$ that same sentiment it's we love

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:19.896 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.629$ to hear from primary care doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.891$ You know we recognize that we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:24.891 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.488$ up to date on the latest and greatest

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:28.488 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.508$ antihypertensives antihypertensives

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:29.508 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.642$ and I can't name anti diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:32.642 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.690$ medications except for metformin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.746$ So the Umm it really has to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:39.746 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.730$ a collaboration that we do come

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.880$ across any number of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.270$ Let's say I had cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.704$ My shoulder hurts.

 $00:46:48.704 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.952$ I need all the scans and and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:51.952 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.656$ it's a careful balance of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:46:53.656 --> 00:46:55.799 that patient's underlying risk is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.490$ which really is our job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:46:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.055$ and and what's the likelihood

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00{:}46{:}59.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00.620$ that this represents a metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:00.675 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.770$ or neoplastic process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.624$ And the the thing that I find to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00{:}47{:}03.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.648$ helpful when explaining to patients at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.394$ is cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:47:06.394 --> 00:47:09.370 Usually if cancer is going to come back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.847$ it's going to meet the three P's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.828$ it's going to be a symptom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:47:11.830 --> 00:47:12.542 That's perplexing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:47:12.542 --> 00:47:15.034 You don't know why you have it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:47:15.040 --> 00:47:17.152 You you didn't just shovel your

 $00:47:17.152 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.568$ driveway for three hours the day before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:47:19.570 --> 00:47:20.276 It's persistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:20.276 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.982$ It's there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:20.982 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.100$ it doesn't go away and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00{:}47{:}23.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.380$ progressive and it's getting worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.151$ And so those are the three things

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:27.151 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.043$ that kind of help us determine what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:29.043 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.050$ we need to be more worried about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.226$ We're not going to worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:32.226 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.200$ something if it's been there

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.118$ for an hour and a half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.505$ We're going to worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00{:}47{:}35.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.209$ something if it's been there for

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:37.209 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.811$ weeks and it really isn't behaving

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:47:38.811 --> 00:47:40.818 like it should if this were some

00:47:40.818 --> 00:47:42.370 other non neoplastic process and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00{:}47{:}42.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.710$ then deciding what test is best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.880$ To do really does kind of require

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.462$ a knowledge about the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:48.462 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.506$ of the cancer and where is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:50.506 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.959$ most likely to show up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

00:47:51.960 --> 00:47:53.646 Some subtypes are more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:53.646 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.593$ actually show up in the brain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00{:}47{:}55.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.371$ and we have to have that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:57.432 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.216$ kind of our job to to catch that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:47:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.145$ So we we love to hear from

NOTE Confidence: 0.80604016

 $00:48:01.145 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.970$ primary care doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891159129

 $00:48:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.816$ And what if I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.891159129

 $00:48:05.816 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.200$ who the oncologist is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891159129

00:48:07.200 --> 00:48:09.738 Or was the patients moved from out of state?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891159129

00:48:09.740 --> 00:48:13.418 Or perhaps the oncologist has retired?

00:48:13.420 --> 00:48:17.480 Is there a Kawaji Kawaji?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891159129

 $00:48:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.040$ Going out to all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.891159129

 $00:48:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.820$ New Haven clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891159129

 $00:48:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.908$ you've got it all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891159129

 $00:48:21.908 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.660$ That is excellent now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

 $00:48:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.350$ We're always happy to help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

00:48:26.350 --> 00:48:28.645 All on in basket we're all on my chart

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

 $00{:}48{:}28.645 \to 00{:}48{:}31.045$ and happy to to take a look and we may

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

 $00{:}48{:}31.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.587$ not be able to give you the right answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

 $00:48:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.823$ but we're we're especially if we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

00:48:35.823 --> 00:48:38.270 have all the information but but that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

 $00:48:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.747$ not a reason we have long-term people

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

 $00:48:40.747 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.168$ who can who who are happy to see and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931613134

 $00:48:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.730$ kind of assess their underlying risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

 $00:48:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.412$ Thank you and that is again it

 $00:48:47.412 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.830$ is so helpful to say to a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

 $00:48:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.780$ you know I'm not concerned that

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

 $00:48:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.730$ this cancer that this represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

 $00{:}48{:}53.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.064$ recurrent cancer and I also spoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

 $00:48:56.064 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.773$ To your oncologist and they share that

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

 $00:48:58.773 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.936$ it it actually is is incredibly helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

 $00:49:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.326$ So thank you for that collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

 $00:49:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.919$ Looks like we don't have other questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

00:49:07.920 --> 00:49:09.270 So Jill, maybe you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.800137811666667

00:49:09.270 --> 00:49:10.170 question I was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:49:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.595$ to ask just because in talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00{:}49{:}12.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.609$ survivorship or even in the process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:49:14.610 --> 00:49:17.571 it is very anxiety provoking and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:49:17.571 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.609$ are often called upon to prescribe

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:49:20.609 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.409$ anti anxiety meds or antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00{:}49{:}23.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.398$ And if you could just comment if

00:49:25.398 --> 00:49:28.014 you have your preferred, if you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:49:28.014 --> 00:49:30.286 if there's certain SSRI's that you prefer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:49:30.286 --> 00:49:32.090 certain ones you want us to avoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:49:32.090 --> 00:49:33.710 if you could maybe discuss that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:49:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.880$ that would be great. Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:49:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.216$ So it some of it depends on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:49:40.216 --> 00:49:42.127 the patient is actually taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:49:42.127 --> 00:49:43.799 from a cancer standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:49:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.790$ Tamoxifen has some theoretical interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00{:}49{:}46.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51.422$ with certain SSRI's such as paroxetine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:49:51.422 --> 00:49:53.684 sertraline, fluoxetine kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:49:53.684 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.486$ all of the gotos it they can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00{:}49{:}57.486 \to 00{:}50{:}01.338$ They are sip 2D6 inhibitors which

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:01.338 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.165$ can inhibit tamoxifen's forming its

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:04.165 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.090$ active metabolite which is called.

 $00:50:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.593$ Oxygen little CME.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00{:}50{:}07.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10.098$ Not that anyone actually cares

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:10.098 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.079$ but the so we try not to Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:14.079 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.856$ prescribe those however venlafaxine

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00{:}50{:}15.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.448$ so the SNR I and I use citalogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:50:19.450 --> 00:50:21.315 Mrs Citalopram if you're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:50:21.315 --> 00:50:23.555 looking looking for an Sr those

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:23.555 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.662$ are good go TOS that don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00{:}50{:}25.662 \to 00{:}50{:}27.780$ the same degree of interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.450$ There are no interactions for aromatase

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.720$ inhibitors that we worry about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462 00:50:32.720 --> 00:50:34.430 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:50:34.430 --> 00:50:35.084 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:35.084 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.796$ the the question of benzos is always one

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:38.796 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.981$ that we we try to minimize as much as we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.054$ We can use it as a bridge,

 $00:50:44.060 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.358$ especially around diagnosis when we're just

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00{:}50{:}46.358 \to 00{:}50{:}49.448$ kind of in this very high anxiety time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.390$ but I generally do not favor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.080$ Long term use of benzodiazepines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462 00:50:56.080 --> 00:50:56.440 Agreed. NOTE Confidence: 0.82593447153846200:50:56.440 --> 00:50:57.160 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.520$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

00:50:57.520 --> 00:50:57.880 No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.884$ and that's really what I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462

 $00:50:59.884 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.740$ to get to the choir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825934471538462 00:51:01.740 --> 00:51:02.340 For sure NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

 $00:51:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.895$ there is psycho oncologists that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

00:51:03.895 --> 00:51:05.928 are hard to get, but they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

 $00:51:05.928 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.644$ available and they are very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

00:51:07.650 --> 00:51:09.890 And I think it's, again,

 $00:51:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.178$ the best thing is to have the good

NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

 $00{:}51{:}12.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13.361$ interaction between the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

 $00:51:13.361 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.293$ care and the oncologist and that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

00:51:15.293 --> 00:51:16.892 very helpful when you are helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

00:51:16.892 --> 00:51:18.966 us take care of the anxiety parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853925537777778

00:51:18.966 --> 00:51:20.956 You know, that's very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:51:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.956$ One of my personal favorite opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:51:23.956 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.262$ is when a patient comes to me for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00{:}51{:}27.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.496$ second opinion on whether they should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:51:29.496 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.616$ continue an aromatase inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00{:}51{:}31.620 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.720$ They hurt all over while actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

00:51:33.720 --> 00:51:36.904 what I have found is one of my most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:51:36.904 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.619$ important tools is chart review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00{:}51{:}38.620 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}51{:}40.850$ So I simply go back to the note and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:51:40.908 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.344$ very often it's actually outlined like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

00:51:43.344 --> 00:51:45.539 risk of recurrence with this medicine,

 $00:51:45.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.339$ the risk of recurrence without this medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00{:}51{:}47.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.098$ It's part of the counseling that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

00:51:49.098 --> 00:51:51.418 you do is often documented and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

00:51:51.418 --> 00:51:53.578 and it's enormously helpful to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

00:51:53.580 --> 00:51:55.716 As I explore the patient's thinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00{:}51{:}55.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57.724$ obviously I'm I'm not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

00:51:57.724 --> 00:51:59.774 give a clear directive for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:51:59.774 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.998$ but I don't know if you have hints for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:52:02.998 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.870$ us in management of some of the symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:52:05.946 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.746$ so that people can continue to take it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:52:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.130$ Are are there anything that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8729774104

 $00:52:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.870$ would like us to know about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7333392

00:52:13.160 --> 00:52:13.880 Any question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

00:52:16.380 --> 00:52:18.996 So exercise is actually one of the things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.776$ so musculoskeletal complaints,

 $00:52:20.776 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.144$ arthralgias related to aromatase

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

00:52:23.144 --> 00:52:26.800 inhibitors is a very common side effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.948$ probably 30 to 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

00:52:28.948 --> 00:52:31.096 experience some some degree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.040$ not necessarily the severe amount,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.938$ but but some degree and exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.223$ weight bearing exercise has so many benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:40.223 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.902$ just from cardiovascular risk and from

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:42.902 \dashrightarrow 00:52:45.709$ bone density standpoints that in addition to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.812$ Being shown in clinical trials to produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:49.812 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.765$ aromatase inhibitor induced musculoskeletal

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:52.765 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.926$ complaints is is incredibly helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:55.926 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.636$ Other things acupuncture has

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:52:57.636 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.229$ been shown to be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:53:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.974$ And duloxetine has been shown to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:53:02.974 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.537$ helpful and that's in phase three

 $00:53:05.537 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.920$ clinical trials placebo-controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916813525

 $00:53:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.748$ Those are the most kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00{:}53{:}14.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.498$ Studied ways, but there are other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

00:53:17.498 --> 00:53:20.255 things that that Waji and I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00{:}53{:}20.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22.259$ do from moving from 1 aromatase

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:22.259 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.807$ to another for whatever reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

00:53:24.810 --> 00:53:26.115 Sometimes switching helps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:26.115 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.160$ sometimes taking a break to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

00:53:29.236 --> 00:53:31.644 is it really the AI that's doing it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.210$ Sometimes switching to tamoxifen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.770$ which has fewer musculoskeletal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.090$ complaints and all of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00{:}53{:}39.090 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}53{:}41.466$ that conversation really does need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

00:53:41.466 --> 00:53:43.410 include what's the underlying risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.554$ Is this somebody who's.

 $00:53:44.554 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.613$ Incredibly high risk that we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:46.613 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.359$ give the absolute fully loaded endocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:48.359 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.490$ therapy for as long as we possibly can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.370$ Or is this somebody with a very low

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.955$ risk cancer where the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:53.955 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.730$ between 2 endocrine therapy strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.590$ is probably minimal and a month off

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.740$ is not going to make a big deal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:53:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.713$ make a big difference? So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

00:54:01.713 --> 00:54:04.578 If you elicit that history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

00:54:04.580 --> 00:54:07.596 it's it's we love getting those kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:54:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.743$ hey heads up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:54:08.743 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.500$ So and so is really having a tough time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00{:}54{:}12.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.750$ And and we can certainly explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:54:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.250$ options and sometimes people

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

00:54:16.312 --> 00:54:18.000 just can't tolerate it.

 $00:54:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.608$ It happens and and you have to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00{:}54{:}20.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}22.589$ risks and benefits and and it's our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:54:22.589 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.617$ job to make sure that we understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:54:24.617 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.897$ all of the benefits and it's up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:54:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.280$ the patient to decide whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

 $00:54:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.681$ not it's something that they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83975556375

00:54:29.681 --> 00:54:31.420 tolerate and and many people can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798684912

 $00{:}54{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36.500$ Good. I like that permission not

NOTE Confidence: 0.798684912

 $00:54:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.160$ to tolerate understanding risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80499592

00:54:38.290 --> 00:54:41.024 It's exactly right. It's it's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80499592

00:54:41.024 --> 00:54:42.440 we just have to explore it and make

NOTE Confidence: 0.80499592

 $00{:}54{:}42.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}43.706$ sure it's an informed decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878750476

 $00{:}54{:}45.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48.670$ So we are drawing to the end of our hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878750476

00:54:48.670 --> 00:54:51.948 I'll ask one final question, which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878750476

 $00:54:51.948 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.402$ is there anything you just really

 $00:54:54.402 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.958$ wish the primary care clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.878750476

00:54:56.958 --> 00:54:59.750 knew in our relationship with you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878750476

 $00{:}54{:}59.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}01.590$ And then I'm going to ask Jill if

NOTE Confidence: 0.878750476

 $00:55:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.866$ there's anything she really wishes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878750476

 $00:55:03.866 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.614$ her oncology team knew for referrals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

00:55:12.260 --> 00:55:17.028 I think an open I'll I'll volunteer again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:17.030 \dashrightarrow 00:55:19.406$ Um, I I think we've already hit on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

00:55:19.410 --> 00:55:22.930 Probably my my. Favorite thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.108$ which is pick up the phone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.430$ send me a my chart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00{:}55{:}27.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.887$ I'll give you my cell phone number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.028$ The we want to be involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.502$ especially when it comes to more

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

00:55:35.502 --> 00:55:37.770 advanced stages when people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

00:55:37.770 --> 00:55:40.322 metastatic disease, goals of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00{:}55{:}40.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.290$ conversation, prognosis.

 $00:55:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.241$ We really do try very hard to to explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00{:}55{:}45.241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49.406$ those with our patients and and document it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.412$ but we want to be involved with all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:52.412 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.418$ Decisions and sometimes it may make

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:55.418 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.374$ sense not to be doing evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:55:58.374 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.082$ primary healthcare maintenance in

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:56:00.082 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.122$ patients who have advanced cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:56:02.122 \dashrightarrow 00:56:04.298$ and we're happy to to talk about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:56:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.340$ But then in other cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00{:}56{:}05.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.538$ it may make sense for somebody to

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:56:07.538 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.655$ have a colonoscopy even if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:56:09.655 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.139$ have metastatic breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00{:}56{:}11.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.500$ We love to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.643195563125

 $00:56:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.044$ those conversations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233914

 $00:56:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.522$ Absolutely. You know, yes,

 $00:56:16.522 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.090$ it's good to have a good connection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854819035

00:56:18.090 --> 00:56:19.377 That's very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854819035

 $00:56:19.377 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.522$ It's very helpful honestly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854819035

 $00:56:21.522 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.870$ it's very helpful also for non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854819035

 $00:56:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.994$ oncologic care to be good also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854819035

 $00:56:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.370$ So that's why we definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854819035

 $00:56:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.130$ need you and we need primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854819035

 $00:56:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.760$ care physicians to be deeply

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854819035

 $00{:}56{:}30.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32.809$ involved in the care of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834929533

00:56:34.850 --> 00:56:37.265 All right. And Jill, your

NOTE Confidence: 0.834929533

 $00{:}56{:}37.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.310$ perspective and then we will. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.880320435666667

 $00{:}56{:}40.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}42.118$ think I agree with everything that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.880320435666667

 $00:56:42.118 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.387$ been said and I think just knowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.880320435666667

 $00:56:44.387 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.451$ that our oncology colleagues are ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.880320435666667

 $00:56:46.451 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.636$ and willing to pick up the phone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.880320435666667

 $00:56:48.636 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.880$ us and we're willing to pick up the

 $00:56:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.181$ phone for them to allay a patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.880320435666667

00:56:53.181 --> 00:56:55.449 fears because there are times when,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880320435666667

 $00:56:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.475$ you know, we get asked what is the unco.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706641891428571

 $00:56:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.857$ My number, what is the number mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.706641891428571

 $00:57:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.302$ You know those kinds of conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.706641891428571

00:57:04.302 --> 00:57:07.588 that are sort of beyond our expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706641891428571

 $00:57:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.252$ but that we can be helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.706641891428571

 $00:57:09.252 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.270$ in other ways. So thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

 $00{:}57{:}11.280 \to 00{:}57{:}14.432$ you. All right. So thank you to all

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

 $00:57:14.432 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.130$ of you and to everybody who attended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

 $00:57:18.130 \dashrightarrow 00:57:21.630$ This has been a very helpful conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

 $00:57:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.948$ Please stay tuned for a few final

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

00:57:24.948 --> 00:57:27.094 seconds because there is one more

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

 $00:57:27.094 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.950$ slide that is a kind of very quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

 $00:57:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.606$ Evaluation and completing that

 $00:57:30.606 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.676$ is helpful for the series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

 $00{:}57{:}32.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.955$ And do you have any closing comments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835538238

 $00:57:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.288$ No, this is terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.880$ The contacts are there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.730$ And then once this closes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.370$ you'll get a survey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

00:57:42.370 --> 00:57:44.938 If you could, if you could fill that out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.960$ that'd be helpful for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00{:}57{:}45.960 \longrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49.584$ And and tell your friends we we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:49.584 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.574$ one for next week or next month and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:52.574 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.759$ actually we're scheduled throughout June.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

00:57:54.760 --> 00:57:55.876 So if you enjoyed this today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.628$ just let us know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

00:57:56.628 --> 00:57:57.376 That would be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.550$ Thanks so much everybody and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860805636666667

 $00:57:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.300$ Happy Breast Cancer Awareness Month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8608056366666667 00:58:01.860 --> 00:58:03.000 Thank you.