WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:52:10" NOTE recognizability:0.835

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.896$ On behalf of my Co leader,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.348$ Barbara Burtness and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:03.348 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.520$ I'm pleased to introduce Bill Jorgensen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.974$ one of our developmental therapeutic program

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:07.974 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.149$ members and also my long term collaborator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:00:11.150 --> 00:00:13.850 Bill is a graduate of Princeton and Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:00:13.850 --> 00:00:16.666 spent 15 years on the faculty at Purdue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.148$ and in 1990 he moved to Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.150$ where he's currently Sterling

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.650$ Professor in the Chemistry department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00{:}00{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.178$ Bill is internationally recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:25.178 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.979$ as one of the world leaders in

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:27.979 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.189$ computational chemistry and drug design.

 $00:00:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.300$ His research has been recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.566$ by many honors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.468$ and among among those include the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:00:36.468 --> 00:00:38.689 Chemical Society Cope Scholar Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.540$ the ACS Award for computers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:00:41.540 --> 00:00:44.060 Chemical and pharmaceutical research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:00:44.060 --> 00:00:46.580 the ACS Hildebrand Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:00:46.580 --> 00:00:50.759 the ISTQB P award in computational biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.560$ the Sato International Award from

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.360$ the Pharmaceutical Society of Japan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.155$ He's been elected to membership

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:00:58.155 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.591$ in the International Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:00:59.591 --> 00:01:01.500 of Quantum Molecular Science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:01:01.500 --> 00:01:03.918 American Academy of Arts and Sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:01:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.119$ and the US National Academy of Sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:01:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.832$ Another recent honor in

 $00:01:08.832 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.400$ 2020 includes one of the 16.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00{:}01{:}11.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.138$ Researchers selected for a Nobel Laureate

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:01:15.138 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.630$ Citation for individuals considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:01:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.025$ doing Nobel Nobel Class Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:01:20.025 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.309$ that has been cited over 2000 times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:01:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.208$ Today he's going to tell you a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

00:01:26.208 --> 00:01:28.869 about some of his work on SARS COVID 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

 $00:01:28.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.502$ So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235 00:01:30.502 --> 00:01:30.910 Bill, NOTE Confidence: 0.877895235

1101E Communication 0.011000200

 $00:01:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.738$ take it away. NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:01:33.030 --> 00:01:35.046 Yeah. Well, thank you very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:01:35.046 --> 00:01:37.078 Karen and the pleasure to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:01:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.856$ the other side of the campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:01:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.713$ So the our work I'll tell you about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:01:41.713 --> 00:01:44.512 is totally a collaboration between my

 $00:01:44.512 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.271$ research group and chemistry and Karen's

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:01:47.271 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.999$ group over here in the Med school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:01:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.168$ So I'll talk a little bit in general about

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:01:53.168 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.709$ computer aided drug discovery and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:01:55.709 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.557$ specifically about our work and finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:01:58.557 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.979$ very potent protease inhibitors for SARS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.792$ Move two. So a key element of drug design

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:02:07.792 --> 00:02:12.239 is the fact of trying to make inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.198$ that bind to an enzyme typically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:02:15.200 --> 00:02:18.908 So and we'll be talking about again a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}02{:}18.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.935$ molecule binding to SARS Cove 2 protease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.284$ And so this is governed by an equilibrium

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:02:24.284 --> 00:02:26.478 where you have the protein and water,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.492$ the inhibitor and water,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:28.492 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.007$ there's a free energy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:02:31.007 --> 00:02:33.457 binding and then the complex.

 $00:02:33.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.268$ So the free energy of binding the G because

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:02:36.268 --> 00:02:38.758 we're working in the constant pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.138$ constant temperature world is for Gibbs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:02:42.140 --> 00:02:45.792 So it's a Gibbs free energy and I put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:45.792 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.034$ stamp of our former colleague Jay Willard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.650$ Gibbs here is the father of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.198$ Thermodynamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:53.198 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.582$ So the free energy binding just to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:02:57.582 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.046$ the concept of a nanomolar inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.794$ So the free energy of binding is given

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}03{:}03.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.308$ by minus RTL and the dissociation

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:06.308 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.936$ constant if you have a dissociation

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:09.011 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.859$ constant of 10 to the minus nine molar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}03{:}11.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.538$ That would correspond to A1 nanomolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.140$ Inhibitor or an inhibitor that has that KD,

 $00:03:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.093$ one that has a KD of 10 to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}03{:}24.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.125$ minus six would be a micromolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:26.125 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.019$ inhibitor and our binder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:03:28.019 --> 00:03:31.610 And the reason I bring this up

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.696$ is that most drugs turn out to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:35.696 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.306$ typically one to let's say 20 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:03:39.306 --> 00:03:41.856 so nanomolar in a binding assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:41.856 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.837$ And this all ultimately has to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}03{:}44.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.081$ the farm human pharmacology and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:03:47.081 --> 00:03:50.058 how big a pill one is willing to take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}03{:}50.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.690$ So this obsession with nanomolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:03:52.690 --> 00:03:55.714 inhibitors just to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:55.714 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.880$ reflects this fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.327$ so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:03:58.327 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.456$ Ultimately here we're going to have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:01.456 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.936$ do simulations on computer simulations

 $00:04:03.936 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.450$ of proteins binding to ligands in water.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:04:07.450 --> 00:04:09.088 And so how did this arise?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:04:09.090 --> 00:04:11.729 When did it with such things happen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:11.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.406$ And the answer is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:13.406 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.501$ there really wasn't any significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:15.501 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.297$ work on doing computer simulations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:04:18.297 --> 00:04:21.052 molecular fluids before the late 1970s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:21.052 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.030$ And then of course it grew slowly after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}04{:}25.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.145$ The problem is you have a lot of particles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}04{:}27.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.182$ you're using classical force.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:04:29.182 --> 00:04:31.214 Those describe the interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.754$ but there's still a lot of particles

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}04{:}33.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.140$ and you have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.750$ Observe the system over a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231 00:04:37.750 --> 00:04:38.620 time period.

00:04:38.620 --> 00:04:40.798 So if you're doing molecular dynamics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}04{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.872$ we might want to run the molecular dynamics

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:43.872 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.532$ for picosecond hundreds of picoseconds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:46.532 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.940$ nanoseconds and this just we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.135$ have the computer resources to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:53.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.708$ And then making it more complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:04:55.708 --> 00:04:58.782 by putting a protein into it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:04:58.782 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.922$ describing the energetics of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:05:00.922 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.619$ protein and the water.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:05:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.040$ That really didn't happen until mid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00:05:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.140$ 1980s and my colleague here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

 $00{:}05{:}08.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.385$ Julian Torrado Rivas and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.885065780769231

00:05:10.385 --> 00:05:12.630 published one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

00:05:12.721 --> 00:05:15.673 calculations for a protein in water

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:15.673 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.659$ where we did molecular dynamics for

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:18.659 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.951$ 100 picoseconds and that was in 1988.

 $00:05:21.951 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.897$ So doing the type of calculations

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}05{:}24.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.720$ we're talking about today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.616$ relatively recent phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.750$ This is a picture we'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}05{:}31.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.170$ about HIV reverse transcriptase

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:33.238 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.780$ and just to get the sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.220$ I usually give this to less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}05{:}36.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.114$ Sophisticated audiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:37.114 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.349$ to point out the yellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.326$ little yellow pieces inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:41.326 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.290$ and that's enough to shut down

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.845$ this enzyme and this is an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}05{:}46.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.493$ of one of the compounds that

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}05{:}49.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.938$ developed through Karens and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:05:51.938 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.121$ our work that is a inhibitor of

00:05:55.121 --> 00:05:57.236 HIV RT that little molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}05{:}57.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.236$ So here's the way we do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.406$ We normally start with an X-ray

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:02.406 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.727$ structure and the first phase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:04.727 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.732$ this we're looking for micromolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:06.732 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.977$ hit compounds that then we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:08.977 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.811$ do a lot of hard work on to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:11.811 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.553$ them to the low nanomolar level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.828$ So we normally start with an X-ray

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:16.828 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.741$ structure and this can be from

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}06{:}18.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.499$ you know somebody else's work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:20.499 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.537$ we remove the ligand that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

00:06:22.537 --> 00:06:24.606 be in that X-ray structure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

00:06:24.606 --> 00:06:27.308 then we try to design our new

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:27.308 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.024$ our own inhibitors and that this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:30.024 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.940$ Started out we do a virtual

 $00:06:33.033 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.509$ screening which is docking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.902$ And I'll tell you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:36.902 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.830$ bit more about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.090$ where we literally fly molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.350$ into the protein structure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

00:06:42.427 --> 00:06:44.509 see which ones look the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:44.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.206$ Or are we do denovo design where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}06{:}47.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.147$ use a growing program that I wrote

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:50.147 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.197$ a while back that starts with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:53.197 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.948$ little seed core of a molecule of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}06{:}55.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.126$ say benzene you place in the binding site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:06:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.965$ And then the program will build libraries

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}01.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.220$ of compounds starting from that core,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}04.220 \to 00{:}07{:}06.208$ growing them out in the binding site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.110$ And then you have to.

 $00:07:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.494$ A score of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:09.494 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.570$ evaluate them in the same manner

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:11.642 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.032$ that this invariably gives us

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:14.032 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.944$ these micromolar hit compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.218$ But we've never been fortunate enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}18.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.257$ to do this initial part of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}21.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.441$ and end up with nanomolar inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.550$ We're close, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}25.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.125$ single digit micromolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.330$ So then the hard part is the lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}30.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.360$ optimization because we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.055$ have to refine the micromolar hits by

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:35.133 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.797$ making small changes that we decide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.751$ What to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:38.751 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.653$ By a lot of structure building

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}40.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.499$ and energy minimizations.

 $00:07:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.251$ So this bond program of mining can

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}45.251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.699$ rapidly build protein ligand complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}47.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.740$ We can energy minimize them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.960$ That's just a fast calculation

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

00:07:51.960 --> 00:07:54.180 compared to adding the water

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:54.264 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.300$ doing the molecular anamax.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:07:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.380$ And so we do a lot of the structure building,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}07{:}58.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.935$ energy minimization and then for

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:01.935 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.506$ select cases we will do, excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:06.506 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.538$ the free energy calculations

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:08.538 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.849$ that are sort of our hallmark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.730$ We call them FEP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}08{:}13.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.610$ free energy perturbation calculations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}08{:}15.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.230$ Virtually all pharmaceutical

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.850$ companies today are,

00:08:18.850 --> 00:08:19.694 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:19.694 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.960$ jumped on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

00:08:20.960 --> 00:08:23.544 Everybody's doing FP calculations

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:23.544 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.128$ for a drug design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.019$ So then you have to make a decision on

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:29.019 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.109$ what molecules to synthesize their assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:32.110 \dashrightarrow 00:08:35.368$ So you need somebody like Karen to help out

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00:08:35.368 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.729$ in the assaying and the crystallography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

 $00{:}08{:}38.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.439$ the crystallography isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715 00:08:41.440 --> 00:08:41.811 Critical, NOTE Confidence: 0.828101986785715

00:08:41.811 --> 00:08:45.150 but it sure is helpful if you know very

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:08:45.226 --> 00:08:47.042 much helps reinforce what

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:08:47.042 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.858$ the modeling is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}08{:}48.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.840$ And also sometimes you'll see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:08:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.252$ the there the there's a change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:08:53.252 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.304$ the protein structure from what you

 $00:08:55.304 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.127$ originally started with that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}08{:}57.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.897$ will see in the crystallography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:08:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.188$ You don't necessarily see

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:00.188 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.476$ it in the computation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:01.480 \dashrightarrow 00:09:05.968$ So the crystallography is really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.690$ When the HIV area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:09:07.690 --> 00:09:10.936 Karen and I got along for quite a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}09{:}10.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.050$ years without a crystal structures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.655$ but then once we certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:09:14.655 --> 00:09:16.260 current lab start getting them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:09:16.260 --> 00:09:20.108 it certainly made life a lot more confident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:09:20.110 --> 00:09:22.936 So you repeat the cycle until

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}09{:}22.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.790$ you get the potency you want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.078$ All the while we are mindful of properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.013$ so we want the compounds to be drug like.

 $00:09:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.684$ And that requires a having things

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:09:35.684 --> 00:09:37.016 like reasonable solubility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:09:37.020 --> 00:09:38.766 reasonable cell permeability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:38.766 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.094$ no reactive functional groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.781$ So we have software that checks that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:43.781 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.207$ and then we also do some measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:47.207 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.952$ of solubility and cell permeability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208 00:09:49.960 --> 00:09:50.382 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:50.382 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.336$ So the FP calculations are done for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:09:53.336 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.157$ where you do molecular dynamics or Monte

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}09{:}57.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.042$ Carlo simulations for protein ligand

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:00.137 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.497$ and a typically a ball of several 1000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.698$ Water molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:04.698 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.292$ And you do a calculation where

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:10:08.292 --> 00:10:11.178 you're comparing the green ligand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}10{:}11.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.120$ green inhibitor with the blue.

 $00:10:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.560$ So you do calculation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.190$ We have protein green legging to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:10:17.190 --> 00:10:19.997 complex protein blue ligand to give complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.316$ And what we do on the computer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.542$ it turns out to be easier is to mutate

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}10{:}24.542 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}10{:}27.487$ the green leg into the blue unbound

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:27.487 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.439$ in water and then bound protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.540$ And the difference in the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.745$ vertical numbers there then gives us

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}10{:}34.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.590$ the difference in predicted free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.920$ Energy binding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.112$ And so this type of calculation wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:42.112 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.900$ done at all before 1985 or just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.219$ simple green to blue in water FP calculation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.450$ That was something that I.

 $00:10:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.935$ It will take credit for doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:55.935 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.118$ first calculation of that type again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:10:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.184$ Then there's no software.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:10:59.184 --> 00:11:01.320 You had to write all the software,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.976$ you know the force fields we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:02.976 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.080$ had to develop etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:11:04.080 --> 00:11:07.904 So it was very different world in 1985, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:07.904 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.240$ So here are just a little bit on HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.216$ HIV is still a big problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:11:14.220 --> 00:11:16.008 Some of the statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:16.008 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.158$ are shown there for 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:11:18.158 --> 00:11:18.516 They're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:11:18.516 --> 00:11:19.232 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}11{:}19.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.043$ close to 40 million people in the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}11{:}22.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.814$ that are infected with HIV and about 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:25.814 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.280$ to 2,000,000 each year are becoming infected.

 $00:11:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.076$ And they're on the order of 650,000 deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:34.076 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.260$ So that's down quite a bit from what it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:11:38.260 --> 00:11:39.160 But still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:11:39.160 --> 00:11:40.060 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:11:40.060 --> 00:11:42.760 from a very serious problem and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:42.853 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.497$ a Long story short.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.021$ We have worked on with Karen on

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}11{:}48.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.240$ the reverse transcript ase and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.227$ so this is a an RNA virus and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}11{:}54.227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.104$ has a reverse transcript ase which

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:11:58.104 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.948$ converts the RNA to DNA which

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}12{:}00.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.331$ is incorporated into the host

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}12{:}03.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.935$ cells a genome by HIV integrase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00{:}12{:}05.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.100$ So HIV reverse transcriptase has

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:12:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.695$ been the principal target for anti

00:12:10.695 --> 00:12:13.194 HIV drugs and there are two classes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:12:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.282$ the nucleosides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:12:14.282 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.987$ And the non nuclear science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:12:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.690$ Karen has worked on both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

 $00:12:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.688$ So in our collaboration with Karen

NOTE Confidence: 0.88412208

00:12:20.688 --> 00:12:23.169 we've only worked on non nuclear sites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.662$ the NRT I and there are allosteric

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:12:25.662 --> 00:12:29.045 inhibitors. They bind in this little

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}12{:}29.045 \to 00{:}12{:}32.098$ pocket that is about 10 angstroms or

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:12:32.098 --> 00:12:34.750 so from the polymerase active site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.575$ It's one of the few examples of of allosteric

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:38.575 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.407$ inhibitor that's that have become drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:12:41.410 --> 00:12:44.212 It's very, very, very very I'm, I'm, I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:44.212 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.620$ Have to think of it to find others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.020$ This is the principal example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.562$ The crystal structure.

 $00{:}12{:}50.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.618$ Again a Yale connection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.050$ The original crystal structure of HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.308$ RT was done in the sites lab 1992.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:12:58.308 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.884$ This is a very big. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:01.884 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.803$ discovery at the time because the HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:13:04.803 --> 00:13:08.157 crisis was so severe and it's a big protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.996$ thousand of residues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:13:09.996 --> 00:13:12.444 So Long story short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.600$ we've tried to make better

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}13{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.890$ non nucleoside inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}13{:}15.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.550$ The original ones have limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.698$ They're susceptible to mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:20.698 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.309$ that arise quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.270$ They also had some undesirable pharmacology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:26.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.604$ So the way we proceed on

 $00:13:28.604 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.139$ HIV is the same with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}13{:}31.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37.372$ COVID and the trick in lead optimization is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:37.372 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.257$ making systematic changes small changes in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:13:42.260 --> 00:13:43.799 Substituents on rings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:13:43.799 --> 00:13:46.364 the rings themselves and groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:46.364 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.680$ that link rings together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.235$ and if you know the right changes to make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:13:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.400$ they can have profound effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}13{:}54.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.935$ So this is an early HIV compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}13{:}57.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.834$ of of ours that we came about from

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}14{:}01.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05.180$ a de Novo design and Karen's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:05.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.868$ The assay they're running is an infected T

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:08.868 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.489$ cell assay and this compound had an EC50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}14{:}12.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.550$ For inhibiting the reproduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.961$ of of the HIV in the infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:14:18.961 --> 00:14:21.385 cells of 10 micromolar,

 $00:14:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.668$ so 10,000 nanomolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}14{:}22.668 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}14{:}25.224$ So that's a reasonable starting place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.422$ a small molecule, but we've got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:28.422 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.370$ increase the potency by a thousandfold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:14:31.370 --> 00:14:33.656 So I I point out here that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:33.656 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.758$ happen to know to put a cyano group

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:14:35.758 --> 00:14:37.990 in the four position of this ring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.186$ you get a very big boost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.390$ 50 fold boost to 200 nanomolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725 00:14:44.390 --> 00:14:44.850 OK. NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.610$ Then if you happen to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.280$ the thiazole into a pyrimidine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.070$ you get another tenfold boost and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:14:53.070 --> 00:14:55.935 you're at 17 nanul. So quite amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:14:55.935 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.698$ And then if you happen to know to

 $00:14:58.698 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.092$ put a methoxy group and the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:15:01.092 --> 00:15:03.050 three position of the pyrimidine

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.646$ ring here 2 nanomolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.474$ So you have more potency than

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:06.474 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.110$ you need for a drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.254$ So this is all fine and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:10.254 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.718$ what we use the FEP calculations to

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:12.718 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.000$ help us with because these changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}15{:}15.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.464$ are in a sea of possible changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.780$ So we do however scans where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}15{:}19.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.428$ have we have a compound like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:15:22.430 --> 00:15:26.358 we'll scan in chlorine atoms at each open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00{:}15{:}26.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.056$ To see if we can add a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:15:29.056 --> 00:15:31.919 beef to it and that might have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.755$ if we did that it would show that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.418$ four position is good for chlorine,

 $00:15:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.818$ well if it's good for chlorine

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:38.818 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.701$ and may also be good or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:15:40.701 --> 00:15:42.196 better for cyano because they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:42.196 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.810$ both somewhat electronic drawing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.138$ So then we would try siana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.198$ But we do these initial scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.684$ we also do heterocycle scans of

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:50.684 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.227$ five and six membered rings because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:53.227 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.312$ they are obviously affect hydrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

00:15:55.312 --> 00:15:56.980 bonding patterns and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:57.046 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.864$ that would have picked up that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5872419725

 $00:15:58.864 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.076$ the pyrimidine was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00{:}16{:}00.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.735$ way to go. And then finally we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:02.735 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.760$ another substituent scan on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:04.838 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.538$ pyrimidine of methyls and chlorines,

 $00:16:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.158$ we would see that substitution and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00{:}16{:}10.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.552$ three position is a good thing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:12.552 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.230$ before long we would come to the methoxy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.658$ So that's the way it's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:16.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.257$ And that's attuned animal or very potent

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:19.257 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.656$ compound we did in collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:21.656 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.080$ Eddie Arnold got a crystal structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.744$ of that there's quite a guite a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:26.744 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.988$ later and that was the only crystal

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

00:16:28.988 --> 00:16:31.448 structure we had until Karen's group

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:31.448 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.318$ started getting some around 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.312$ OK, so here is just some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

00:16:37.312 --> 00:16:38.700 work with Karen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.440$ These are all publications on different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

00:16:43.440 --> 00:16:47.016 And an RTI's and you might say well

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00{:}16{:}47.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.765$ Gee and from 2006 you have these two

00:16:49.765 --> 00:16:51.380 national or compound aren't you done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00{:}16{:}51.380 \to 00{:}16{:}53.908$ why are you why are you keeping doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00{:}16{:}53.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.325$ this and the answer is that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:16:56.325 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.870$ number is against the wild type virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

00:16:58.870 --> 00:17:01.313 But the virus as you know have

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

00:17:01.313 --> 00:17:03.610 mutates just like COVID is mutating

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00:17:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.354$ and there's a whole panel of mutants

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00{:}17{:}06.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.338$ with the HIV and you need to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

00:17:09.338 --> 00:17:12.018 efficacy against all of the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

00:17:12.018 --> 00:17:13.966 mutants with one compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

00:17:13.970 --> 00:17:15.242 So it's tough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853624669130435

 $00{:}17{:}15.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.786$ So that initial compound like initial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.692$ Compounds in this class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:17:21.692 --> 00:17:22.997 such as nevirapine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:22.997 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.259$ was the first approved drug in

 $00:17:25.259 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.966$ this class, like nevirapine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00{:}17{:}26.966 --> 00{:}17{:}29.331$ It was good against wild

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:29.331 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.069$ type but not not much else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.985$ So these other compounds that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:33.985 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.270$ I'll just skip to this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.700$ one of our better compounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.376$ we've increased the potency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:39.376 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.890$ but we very much increased the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:17:41.890 --> 00:17:43.678 performance again mutant panels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:43.678 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.466$ so very difficult mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00{:}17{:}45.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.050$ is a double mutant K10 3N.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:17:50.050 --> 00:17:52.314 Y181C and this compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:17:52.314 --> 00:17:55.518 here is A10 animal or EC50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:55.518 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.094$ which is you know good and great

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:17:58.094 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.179$ against that whereas the original

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00{:}18{:}00.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.304$ compounds here would have had

 $00:18:02.304 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.630$ no efficacy against that mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.530$ And we've gone on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.483$ we even see something that looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:08.483 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.948$ a covalent inhibitor which it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:18:09.950 --> 00:18:13.270 We with cooperation with Karen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.126$ we have covalent inhibitors for HIV RT Wild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.120$ Type and also the Y181C mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00{:}18{:}23.120 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.328$ But I will go on now to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:26.328 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.509$ we did with COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.286$ So fortunately, because of our work on HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:18:33.290 --> 00:18:36.428 We're pretty well positioned just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.286$ try to do something when COVID rolled

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:18:39.286 --> 00:18:42.030 around at the beginning of 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:42.030 \dashrightarrow 00:18:47.043$ So this is the IT again an RNA genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:18:47.050 --> 00:18:49.647 And it some of the proteins that

 $00:18:49.647 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.030$ it encodes are indicated here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00{:}18{:}52.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.590$ and not as many as with the HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.830$ but you do have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:18:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.834$ The The There's a proteases here

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:19:00.834 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.393$ that are sort of papain like protease

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:19:03.393 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.067$ and then the main protease and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:19:06.142 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.669$ we've worked on is the main protease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667 00:19:08.670 --> 00:19:09.224 There's also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:19:09.224 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.609$ you've probably heard of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:19:10.609 --> 00:19:12.000 RNA dependent RNA polymerase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:19:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.622$ This is just to reproduce the RNA genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

00:19:15.622 --> 00:19:18.470 That's another possible target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:19:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.840$ and some of the structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:19:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.936$ proteins are over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00:19:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.520$ There's the spike and the famous

NOTE Confidence: 0.825470216666667

 $00{:}19{:}23.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.138$ spike that is mutating and causing

 $00:19:26.138 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.994$ a lot of problems for the vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}19{:}31.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35.561$ So the cycle, the life cycle involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:19:35.561 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.659$ the COVID virus binding to the ACE 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:19:40.659 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.040$ receptors on the cells endocytosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:19:45.040 \dashrightarrow 00:19:49.351$ The RNA genome is unprocessed by a host of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:19:49.351 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.158$ ribosomes to give you these two polyproteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:19:53.160 --> 00:19:56.980 You similar situation with HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}19{:}56.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58.339$ generating polyproteins that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:19:58.339 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.516$ have to be cleaved by HIV. Areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}20{:}01.516 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.164$ So here's where if we can stop this

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:05.164 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.386$ proteolysis step, the rest of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:08.386 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.206$ reproduction cycle stops and it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}20{:}11.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.765$ I could say there aren't as many

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:20:13.765 --> 00:20:15.930 targets here as with the HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:20:15.930 --> 00:20:17.598 There's no integrase,

00:20:17.598 --> 00:20:19.266 no reverse transcriptase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:22:310$ And So what we picked in the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.564$ of 2020 that we would work on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:25.564 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.999$ protease almost because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:26.999 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.057$ hardly anything else to work on

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}20{:}29.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.239$ and there was a crystal structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.364$ Reported so the first thing we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.690$ So this came about as as you recall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}20{:}39.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.282$ things got serious in late January

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:42.282 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.340$ 2020 and then in March 2020 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.540$ one thing shut down. So we were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.780$ Sent out of the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:20:50.780 --> 00:20:52.887 You know, we could work from home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}20{:}52.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.450$ If you had special permission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.830$ you could work in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.930$ But we didn't pursue that.

 $00:20:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.778$ But we decided for working at

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:20:59.778 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.310$ home that what we could do is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.158$ would do docking because we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:21:04.227 --> 00:21:05.670 the crystal structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}21{:}05.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07.908$ a crystal structure of the protease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.510$ So we would do docking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:21:09.510 --> 00:21:11.232 And the typical way docking works

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}21{:}11.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.116$ is you have the crystal structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:13.116 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.454$ and you have a library of compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:15.454 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.932$ and these are typically commercially

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:21:17.932 --> 00:21:18.970 available compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.788$ There's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}21{:}19.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.833$ Famous library called Zinc that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:21.833 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.803$ has up to 100 million compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:21:24.803 --> 00:21:27.708 and then the computers software

00:21:27.708 --> 00:21:30.519 combines them and it makes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}21{:}30.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.416$ complexes and then it has to score

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:33.416 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.678$ the complexes which is the weak spot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.570$ Often the scoring isn't very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:38.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.897$ accurate but you can then test

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:21:40.897 --> 00:21:42.423 the high scoring molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:21:42.423 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.230$ Well that's a lot of compounds to deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:21:46.309 --> 00:21:49.594 so I thought well we would do 1st instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}21{:}49.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.060$ Is to dock known drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:21:52.060 --> 00:21:53.252 approved FDA approved drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}21{:}53.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.873$ So I happen to keep a library of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}21{:}55.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58.547$ these in the computer and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}21{:}58.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.427$ three-dimensional structures of the drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:22:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.866$ which is this is all three-dimensional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}22{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.049$ And so I asked Muhammad and Julian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:22:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.784$ To dock the 2000 known drugs to see if

00:22:11.784 --> 00:22:16.363 we could see get some reasonable hits

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}22{:}16.363 \to 00{:}22{:}21.418$ from that and So what happened was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:22:21.420 --> 00:22:24.796 The docking was done in a consensus fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:22:24.800 --> 00:22:26.700 meaning they used four different

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:22:26.700 --> 00:22:27.460 docking protocols,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:22:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.848$ 3 different programs and four ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:22:29.848 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.333$ of doing the docking because any

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}22{:}32.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34.775$ one program we don't fully trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:22:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.420$ So we're hoping that there will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:22:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.776$ consensus where you score well in all four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}22{:}41.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.064$ Protocols. And so we got the list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}22{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.499$ Excuse me. I don't have code and I've tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661 00:22:52.500 --> 00:22:53.900 But.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:22:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.636$ We we got the list of the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:22:56.636 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.930$ compounds and then, very importantly,

00:22:58.930 --> 00:23:01.755 we visualize the predicted poses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:23:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.530$ the complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:23:04.530 --> 00:23:07.210 And based on that visualization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

00:23:07.210 --> 00:23:10.269 we picked compounds that we think look

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:23:10.269 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.080$ good in the way they're positioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00{:}23{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.230$ And I also was very concerned about

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:23:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.594$ the idea that we would possibly be

NOTE Confidence: 0.90919661

 $00:23:19.594 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.969$ making analogs of these compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}23{:}21.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.072$ because I didn't expect to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:25.072 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.756$ again come up with a 10 nanomolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:27.756 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.148$ compound we never have in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.366$ So we purchased 17 compounds and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:34.366 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.196$ Gave them to Karens lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:23:37.200 --> 00:23:39.935 and Karen had meanwhile obtained

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:39.935 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.892$ the protein, expressed it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:41.892 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.340$ and she also had implemented the A fret

 $00:23:45.423 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.267$ assay that was from the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.406$ So she was ready to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.890$ And the 17 compounds arrived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:23:53.890 --> 00:23:57.526 And to our surprise, in Karen's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:23:57.526 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.626$ 14 of them showed some inhibition of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:24:01.626 --> 00:24:05.182 protease activity of Massar Scope 2 Proteus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}24{:}05.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.210$ So that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857 00:24:06.210 --> 00:24:06.550 Shocking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.002$ And so we were did very well on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:10.002 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.222$ compound selection and the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:24:12.222 --> 00:24:14.370 potent compounds are listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}24{:}14.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.120$ They were single digit micromolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}24{:}19.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.160$ And but we had a bunch that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.220$ under about 50 micromolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.054$ So that this we published and this is a

 $00:24:28.054 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.289$ picture of one of the dock structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}24{:}31.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.097$ The binding site is you know is

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:24:34.097 --> 00:24:36.131 meant to accommodate a peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:24:36.131 --> 00:24:38.770 that's going to get cleaved and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.435$ have site sub sites we call S1S1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:41.435 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.901$ Prime S2 and then this channel S3S4S5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:24:44.901 --> 00:24:47.918 So here's just a picture of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:47.918 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.910$ compound in that binding site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.754$ So we published that but of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:52.754 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.280$ we were looking very much now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}24{:}55.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.404$ And one of these compounds we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:24:57.404 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.238$ going to take and try to optimize it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.180$ And the compound we picked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.068$ we were we didn't say what it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:25:04.068 --> 00:25:06.099 going to be in this paper and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:06.099 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.977$ not one of the most potent ones.

 $00:25:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.388$ In fact, it was this one param panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:25:11.390 --> 00:25:14.650 Which is only 100 to 250 micromolar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.679$ so a relatively weak hit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:17.679 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.940$ But the fact was I liked the way it looked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.188$ And this was the dock structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.822$ I'm orienting them all in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:30.822 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.354$ way as 1S Primus 2 and I felt that

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}25{:}34.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.384$ the dock structure looked reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.870$ Sometimes they they have features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.068$ they just say this doesn't feel right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}25{:}41.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.514$ But this looked reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:42.514 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.490$ But I could also see that it had

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}25{:}45.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.340$ features that were not optimal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.236$ So looking at it over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:25:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.620$ so the yellows are carbons,

 $00:25:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.878$ Reds are oxygens, Blues or nitrogens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}25{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.448$ I could see features that were not optimal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:25:57.450 --> 00:26:00.355 There's a histidine here and it could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.504$ it would be nice if it could form

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:02.504 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.318$ a hydrogen bond with this ring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.464$ So you probably want to put a nitrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:26:06.464 --> 00:26:08.480 in here, this nitrogen of the purity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.346$ and that's not doing any good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.639$ So we can get rid of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:26:12.640 --> 00:26:15.076 It's just spacing out into solvent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.588$ There's an NH over here that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:26:17.590 --> 00:26:19.498 I would like to be in a hydrogen bond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90226192714285700:26:19.500 --> 00:26:20.853 but it isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:20.853 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.304$ Meanwhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:21.304 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.559$ this carbonyl is just interacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:23.559 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.660$ with solvent,

 $00:26:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.383$ so maybe I could flip that from

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:27.383 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.359$ moving over left to there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.817$ Plus it looked like there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:26:31.817 --> 00:26:34.772 little space in the meta position

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:34.772 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.024$ of that right ring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.900$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.658$ What happened next was we did some

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:26:41.658 --> 00:26:43.598 FEP calculations to test those

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:43.598 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.992$ ideas and this is what those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

00:26:45.992 --> 00:26:48.384 raw data looks like in an Excel

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00{:}26{:}48.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.308$ sheet so that the the things I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.902261927142857

 $00:26:51.308 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.060$ trying here are for the left ring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}26{:}55.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.680$ I'm going to try different rings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}26{:}56.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00.616$ So ring scan where I did 234

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:00.616 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.296$ pyridinyl 4 pyrimidine 2 triazine,

 $00:27:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.170$ so a bunch of different rings there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}27{:}07.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.242$ They also did a calc and that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:10.242 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.678$ calculations said that the three pyridine

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:12.678 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.510$ the the negative number here is good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.597$ This is the change in free energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:18.597 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.920$ of binding relative to benzene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.105$ So this was saying go for the three pyrenee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:27:25.110 --> 00:27:27.902 Also I checked that ring flip of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}27{:}27.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.027$ carbonyl and that was very good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.422$ minus 4.7 and then over on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}27{:}33.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.270$ side checking to see if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:27:36.270 --> 00:27:39.030 put something in that meta position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.984$ indeed the meta position when we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:41.984 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.718$ a chlorine scan at each position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.846$ the meta here shed very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.066$ Looks like we should put a chlorine there.

 $00:27:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.985$ So combining those three ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:52.985 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.317$ led to then the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.904$ Three initial compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:56.904 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.488$ that were synthesized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:27:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.230$ So here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:27:59.230 --> 00:28:01.450 Now I'm aligning everything so you

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.450$ can see the changes from parent panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.875$ the three pyridyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:05.875 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.725$ The carbonyl's been flipped and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:08.725 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.718$ added the chlorine and we've left the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}28{:}11.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.419$ the cyano phenyl from parent panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.868$ I also from modeling with my bond program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}28{:}18.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.392$ Again, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}28{:}19.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.150$ the slow part in all of this is synthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.219$ So we have plenty of time to do computer

00:28:25.219 --> 00:28:28.149 work while people are doing synthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}28{:}28.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.594$ So it's a natural thing to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}28{:}31.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.346$ look very hard at these structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.915$ And I had looked hard at this and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:35.915 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.943$ recognized maybe I could do something

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:37.943 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.315$ over with this ring because there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:40.315 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.527$ edge that will show more clearly here

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:42.527 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.554$ of a loop that could use some hydrogen bonds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}28{:}46.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.765$ And I thought a uracil might work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.730$ so I'd modeled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.330$ Got with the program complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.619$ has looked very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}28{:}52.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.302$ So we synthesized a uracil and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:56.302 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.068$ just this 35 dot clock compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:28:59.068 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.469$ So this is a very happy day now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.620$ Because the potency of those original 3

 $00:29:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.610$ compounds was 10-6 and four micromolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}29{:}08.610 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.850$ So here we've gotten a huge boost as

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.448$ expected from the FEP calculations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.676$ And this was the wonderful and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:29:16.676 --> 00:29:19.100 tell you the timing more in a bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.855$ but this is now June of 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:29:22.855 --> 00:29:27.302 So we didn't get back into our lab until May.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:29:27.302 --> 00:29:31.446 And now in June we have these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:29:31.450 --> 00:29:33.634 this 4 micromolar. Compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:33.634 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.726$ We've only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.978$ and then it came a little later was

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}29{:}37.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.250$ actually October and Karen's group

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00{:}29{:}40.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.010$ got a crystal structure for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.709$ dichloro compound and it's basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:45.709 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.399$ identical to what we've predicted.

00:29:48.400 --> 00:29:50.605 There's the carbonyl and hydrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:50.605 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.928$ bond we wanted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.760$ There's a hydrogen bond between

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.590$ the pyridine and the histidine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:29:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.110$ We still have the nitrile hydrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:29:58.110 --> 00:30:01.301 bonded in what he called the oxyanion

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:30:01.301 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.633$ sort of hole and the dichloro compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:30:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.110$ Is again looking very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:30:07.110 --> 00:30:07.555 Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:30:07.555 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.670$ we have this channel running N from

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:30:10.670 --> 00:30:13.792 the upper chlorine there and so we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

00:30:13.792 --> 00:30:16.438 ready to think about putting some

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:30:16.438 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.940$ of the something in that Channel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:30:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.675$ So the next thing was to try to grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:30:22.675 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.922$ substituents into that Channel and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869961365

 $00:30:24.922 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.055$ just for grins and I mean really not

00:30:28.055 --> 00:30:29.515 interested in methyl particularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:30:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.243$ but just for grins, we did FP

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:30:32.243 --> 00:30:34.439 calculations for methyl ethyl propyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:30:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.756$ O methyl ethyl propyl albuterol and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:30:36.756 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.555$ then some ones with a hydroxyl that

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:30:39.555 --> 00:30:41.913 I figured probably wouldn't be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:30:41.913 --> 00:30:44.488 good problem with hydroxyl is it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}30{:}44.488 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}30{:}46.840$ very happy unbound said waters around

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:30:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.000$ and if you go bound it may be happy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:30:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.544$ Again, but you're not going to gain much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:30:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.870$ The way you gain is by having more

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:30:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.632$ hydrophobic pieces that are binding into

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}30{:}57.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00.404$ hydrophobic part of the binding site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.118$ So this told us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:31:04.120 --> 00:31:06.360 Tried the O propyl compound

 $00:31:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.152$ so we synthesize on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}31{:}08.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.180$ There are two synthetic chemists

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.016$ are working on this so Lizzie and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:14.016 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.710$ Chun way and so we they made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.638$ The proxy compound in both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}31{:}21.638 \longrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.120$ cyano phenyl and the urea series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.945$ and this turned out great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.356$ 140 nanomolar and 120 animal later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:31:33.356 --> 00:31:34.968 This wasn't in sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.586$ We had made the trifluoromethyl analogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}31{:}37.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.783$ to that. They're more hydrophobic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:31:39.783 --> 00:31:42.258 They're probably going to be better

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:31:42.258 --> 00:31:44.382 binders as they were showing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:44.382 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.597$ one even down at 25 an animal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:31:46.600 --> 00:31:48.526 but generally I don't like CF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.176$ Big groups and drug like molecules

 $00:31:51.176 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.495$ because they really hurt the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:53.495 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.407$ solubility of the compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.659$ So, but we're doing very well here 120.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:31:58.659 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.513$ An animal or and I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:00.513 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.050$ you the timing on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333 00:32:02.050 --> 00:32:02.900 but this, NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.500$ this I think is in August now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}32{:}06.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09.650$ Karen's group again got a crystal

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.670$ structure in October and it was exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}32{:}13.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16.540$ as expected including this bent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:16.540 \dashrightarrow 00:32:19.330$ Hard at the at the end of the Propoxur group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.800$ And so it's a Ghosh. We call it a gauche.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}32{:}21.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26.530$ You've all taken organic chemistry, I'm sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.090$ So that's the course you hated the most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.778$ but maybe, maybe not.

 $00:32:31.778 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.466$ But there it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.750$ There's this gosche OCC and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.019$ had figured that was the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.981$ The modeling told us that because at

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:32:40.981 --> 00:32:43.272 that terminal methyl fits right in

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:43.272 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.930$ the S4 site of the of that Channel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:32:47.930 --> 00:32:49.967 And so there's a lucine or problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.710$ so hydrophobic site and so put

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.580$ it right in there also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.300$ Again, like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}32{:}57.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.813$ there's lots of time to do computing

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:32:59.813 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.527$ and so we considered benzel oxy groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:33:03.527 --> 00:33:07.650 So you can imagine a benzene ring

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:07.772 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.307$ sitting here and potentially projecting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.350$ A substituent into that pocket.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.185$ So sure enough we did modeling on

00:33:18.185 --> 00:33:21.135 these benzyl oxy analogs and did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}33{:}21.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.103$ chlorine scan on the fennel which said

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:24.103 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.343$ in a methyl scan and both methyl and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:27.343 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.216$ chlorine were predicted to be very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.077$ And so those compounds were made and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:33:34.080 --> 00:33:37.280 the parent compounds 120 micromolar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.500$ but the ortho chloro compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.308$ 18 an animal compound and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:33:44.308 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.743$ we had in October of 2020.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:33:47.743 --> 00:33:51.481 And Karen's group again got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

00:33:51.481 --> 00:33:55.367 crystal structure for the bend the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}33{:}55.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}58.537$ parent Benz loxy components and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}33{:}58.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.669$ is positioned as one expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:34:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.414$ So this is just now a little video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:34:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.380$ To. Have those. Break this.

 $00:34:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.319$ This is a dimer, so they're two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00{:}34{:}12.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.835$ This is Karen's crystal structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:34:15.835 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.350$ of the propoxur compound and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:34:19.472 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.967$ just zeroing in on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784769928333333

 $00:34:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.610$ There.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9133051175

 $00:34:28.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.348$ OK, so you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76816073

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}738$ Run it again. So that little

NOTE Confidence: 0.76816073

 $00:34:38.738 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.656$ molecule is enough to shut down the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76816073

 $00:34:42.656 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.900$ enzymatic activity of that protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:34:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.240$ OK, so this we published and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}34{:}54.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56.536$ I was also saying a a second here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:34:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.034$ we're going to of course the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:34:58.034 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.687$ well I've shown you so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:34:59.687 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.895$ is just protease inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.220$ We've got to go into cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.020$ infected cells and so that

 $00:35:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.260$ we published 28 compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.815$ Of course by the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:08.815 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.370$ I've talked about so far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.554$ we have lots of compounds here

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:12.554 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.416$ under the 50 nanomolar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}35{:}14.416 \longrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.420$ you can see there are authors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.484$ lots of people involved and from

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:18.484 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.190$ the medical school, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:35:20.190 --> 00:35:22.200 fair and Isaacs and Brett Lindenbach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}35{:}22.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.900$ grouper and very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:35:23.900 --> 00:35:26.450 Along with Karen in doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:26.526 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.626$ cell assays that will describe in

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}35{:}28.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.384$ a Miller in a minute and Scott

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:35:31.384 --> 00:35:33.498 Miller in chemistry had donated

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:33.498 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.538$ his graduate student Lizzie Stone

 $00:35:35.538 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.249$ to help us with the synthesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.928$ along with my postdoc Chunwei Zang.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.370$ So that was good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.530$ We published that in ACS Central

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:45.602 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.362$ science in February 2022.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:47.362 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.714$ A little later we also replaced

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}35{:}49.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.329$ the benzyl Oxy with heterocycles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:35:52.330 --> 00:35:54.190 This is a standard, I'd say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:35:54.190 --> 00:35:55.250 medicinal chemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:35:55.250 --> 00:35:58.690 This isn't, you know, genius stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:35:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.933$ Heterocycles often have some desirable

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:01.933 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.948$ properties over a substituted benzene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.494$ So we published some more compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:07.494 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.158$ in the summer than of a 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82980948833333300:36:10.158 --> 00:36:11.294 We also. NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:36:11.294 --> 00:36:13.566 Tested cell permeability with

 $00:36:13.566 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.782$ a pampa assay in our lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:17.782 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.588$ measured aqueous solubility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.870$ So now we have uracil's with

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.169$ the hydrogen or with a methyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.738$ So the ones with the methyl are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:26.738 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.794$ to have better cell permeability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:28.794 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.927$ And so that is an issue because we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:32.927 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.761$ to show that we have efficacy and sell assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.550$ So this is where the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.475$ again the folks here in the Med

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}36{:}40.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.340$ school are so important to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.430$ The BSL three facility was used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}36{:}45.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.800$ There's krassimir getting suited up

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}36{:}48.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.390$ because COVID, of course, is airborne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.975$ He has to have a full breathing

 $00:36:53.975 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.628$ apparatus and the assays that were done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:56.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.634$ Karen certainly can describe these far

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:36:58.634 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.380$ better than I can, but there's one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.270$ It's a a plaque assay using infectious virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.645$ And so you have the live these are Vero

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:08.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.666$ cells infected with large live SARS Cove two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}37{:}13.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.836$ And there's also then the replicon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:37:15.840 --> 00:37:18.000 Assay and the Republican

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:37:18.000 --> 00:37:20.160 isn't using infectious virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.416$ but it's giving us a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:22.416 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.544$ virtually identical readout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}37{:}23.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}27.878$ So we're testing our compounds and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.996$ as a sa reference compound remdesivir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.500$ which is A1 micromolar EC50

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.280$ and the assays that were done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.338$ And long short, we have many

 $00:37:42.338 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.520$ compounds that are one micromolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.240$ We also have some compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:37:46.240 --> 00:37:49.318 This one's 38 nanomolar EC 50,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.628$ that's inhibition of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:51.628 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.936$ of the protease activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:37:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.078$ but it's not active in the replicant housing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:38:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.420$ And this simply because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:38:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.760$ doesn't get into the virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:38:02.760 --> 00:38:04.740 Cell permeability is too low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}38{:}04.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.638$ so the cell permeability is critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:38:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.735$ The quite remarkable compound is number 19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333 00:38:11.740 --> 00:38:14.200 So this. NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:38:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.302$ Benzyl oxy compound that has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}38{:}17.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.865$ methylated uracil and in the assay it

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:38:20.865 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.375$ was 80 nanomolar in the infectious

 $00:38:24.375 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.980$ virus assay and 175 and the replicon assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00:38:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.028$ So this became our our lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

 $00{:}38{:}32.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35.180$ compound for preclinical work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829809488333333

00:38:35.180 --> 00:38:37.434 Now unfortunately in our world we can't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

00:38:37.440 --> 00:38:38.600 you know we're not Pfizer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:38:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.168$ so we can't take 10 compounds and put

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:38:41.168 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.089$ them all into preclinical studies but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

00:38:44.089 --> 00:38:48.321 We did work on 19 and a pharmaceutical

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

00:38:48.321 --> 00:38:51.648 company was very interested in 19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:38:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.621$ They took 19 and did their own sell

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:38:54.621 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.218$ assay and they came back and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

00:38:57.218 --> 00:39:00.166 cell was 15 animals they can confirmed

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:00.166 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.070$ everything that we we had reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

00:39:03.070 --> 00:39:08.088 So that compound 19 is a very potent compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:08.088 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.518$ in infected cells and Karen's group has

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:11.518 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.678$ been working on the PK, it has very good.

00:39:15.678 --> 00:39:17.799 Basic PK bioavailability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.496$ And they have done with Pretty Kumar

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:21.496 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.625$ some initial mouse studies and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

00:39:24.625 --> 00:39:26.935 is with these humanized mouse mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.521$ KTH 2 mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

00:39:28.521 --> 00:39:31.156 And again Karen could describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:31.156 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.778$ the current status of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.014$ But basically we were delighted a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

00:39:37.014 --> 00:39:41.088 low dose of the compounds that were using

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00{:}39{:}41.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.622$ and if you don't untreated mouse after

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00{:}39{:}44.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.648$ six days as this is now fluorescent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:47.648 \dashrightarrow 00:39:50.270$ Imaging of where the virus is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:50.270 \dashrightarrow 00:39:53.406$ So initially the virus goes into the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.858$ but it makes its way into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:39:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.880$ And at day six,

 $00:39:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.450$ the mouse is again horribly

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:40:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.100$ infected and dies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:40:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.030$ So we have tested we meaning Karen

NOTE Confidence: 0.778631126

 $00:40:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.020$ and pretty by both Ivy and oral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.305$ And the results have been very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:40:14.310 --> 00:40:17.145 There's only one dose and you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:40:17.145 --> 00:40:19.462 protection for four days, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:40:19.462 --> 00:40:22.440 completely clean a mouse and even at 6 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.700$ So with the oral, it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.340$ you know, really very clean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.500$ So if this was being dosed every day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.570$ the feeling is infection that wouldn't go on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.406$ So we have very, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00{:}40{:}35.406 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}40{:}38.454$ concur raging data with this compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.052$ There has been some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00{:}40{:}40.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.042$ You know again external interest

 $00:40:42.042 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.730$ in this compound, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.710$ we think we if we had the resources we

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.808$ can come up with lots of other compounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.730$ but we need support for this and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:40:50.730 --> 00:40:53.809 you know high level because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:53.809 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.644$ preclinical studies are are expensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:40:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.248$ So just to compare what we've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:00.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.936$ Versus others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:00.936 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.116$ So first of all our compound is a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:04.116 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.664$ covalent inhibitor by most of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:06.664 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.969$ work in this area been covalent inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.318$ Up until recently covalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00{:}41{:}12.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.253$ inhibitors were considered to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.905$ Not desirable because you're always

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:41:17.905 --> 00:41:20.550 worried about off target activity.

 $00:41:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.084$ But here is how other people progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00{:}41{:}23.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.370$ So a lot of these things are peptidic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.874$ Generally we don't like peptidic inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:28.874 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.159$ because they can be proteolysis by many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.604$ Proteolytic enzymes that exist

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:35.604 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.326$ in humans so but this is some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:40.326 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.791$ the compounds and EC 50 of 720.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:43.791 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.577$ Remember we're 50 or 80 nanomolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.274$ This is the COVID moon shot that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:41:49.274 --> 00:41:50.998 got quite a bit of publicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.836$ This is just the icy 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:41:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.505$ They obtained an assay 2400

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:41:56.505 --> 00:42:00.748 compounds and the best IC50 they

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:00.748 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.018$ obtained is basically 100 nanomolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571 00:42:04.020 --> 00:42:04.760 At 30, NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.720$ we had made no more than 30 compounds

 $00:42:07.811 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.169$ and we were at 18 nanomolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:42:10.170 --> 00:42:12.754 Another peptide peptide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:12.754 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.630$ but this is a PAX lovin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:42:16.630 --> 00:42:21.005 So Pax Lovid is this neurometrix alvir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:42:21.010 --> 00:42:24.272 but you have to include a SIP

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:24.272 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.204$ inhibitor ritonavir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:42:25.210 --> 00:42:28.745 So ritonavir is an HIV protease inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00{:}42{:}28.750 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}30.000$ Not something you probably want

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.068$ to take for a long time and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:32.068 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.348$ their side effects of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:42:33.350 --> 00:42:34.610 Of course you're not going to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.928$ packs a little bit for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:42:35.930 --> 00:42:37.610 So I guess it's OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.970$ but on the other hand having to

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.920$ have the SIP inhibitor to keep the.

00:42:44.920 --> 00:42:48.178 Protease inhibitor from being chewed up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.694$ Metabolically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:42:48.694 --> 00:42:51.264 Is clearly not desirable because

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:42:51.264 --> 00:42:54.040 you don't want to be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.802$ can have drug, drug interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:55.802 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.126$ This is our compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.465$ Again, by comparison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:42:59.465 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.690$ other things that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.685$ I'm obviously a little bit prejudiced here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.194$ but this to me is a tough molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00{:}43{:}08.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.650$ All the stereo chemistry going

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.100$ to be tough to synthesize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.960$ You have high cost of goods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.216$ It's peptic. You worry about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:43:15.220 --> 00:43:16.760 It is a covalent inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.660$ covalently modifies the cyano,

 $00:43:18.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.560$ but it's probably reversible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.610$ Are covalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.235$ There have been a synthesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:24.235 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.740$ issues with the compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.064$ It's also intrinsically not

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:28.064 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.719$ as potent as our compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:43:29.720 --> 00:43:34.870 It's a EC 50 or 740 whereas we're at you

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00{:}43{:}34.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.040$ know 10 times more potent with there's no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00{:}43{:}38.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40.771$ we don't we know from our preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

00:43:40.771 --> 00:43:42.877 work on off target and SIP

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:42.877 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.500$ activity that we don't have any sip

NOTE Confidence: 0.881540801428571

 $00:43:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.380$ problems with the compound either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:43:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.620$ So the rest of the story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}43{:}50.620 \to 00{:}43{:}53.462$ So why isn't our compound in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:43:53.462 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.528$ trials and that's a probably takes me

00:43:56.528 --> 00:44:00.107 more than the last time I I have here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:00.110 --> 00:44:02.630 But the packs of lovin and thermal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:02.630 --> 00:44:04.928 Trevor got into clinical trials very

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:04.928 --> 00:44:07.536 quickly because it was sitting on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:07.536 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.895$ shelf from the SARS Cove One project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:09.900 --> 00:44:11.976 They made a minor modification to

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:11.976 --> 00:44:13.897 make it have better solubility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:13.897 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.760$ So it was ready to go and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068533133333333

00:44:16.846 --> 00:44:18.846 so it's off and running,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.298$ I doubt seriously it's the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:21.300 --> 00:44:22.512 Drug possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:22.512 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.600$ and there's no way, and well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:25.600 --> 00:44:27.910 time will tell the problem for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068533133333333

00:44:27.910 --> 00:44:29.288 pharmaceutical companies that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:29.288 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.598$ all in the business of making money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.126$ And so the before the end of last fall.

00:44:37.130 --> 00:44:39.468 People are getting kind of cocky about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:39.470 --> 00:44:41.880 you know, covid's under control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.248$ the vaccines are working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:44.248 --> 00:44:48.218 And then Omicron came along around December

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:48.218 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.829$ of last year and that's changed things a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:44:52.830 --> 00:44:57.074 But we'll see who has the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:44:57.074 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.018$ stamina to advance additional protease

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:00.018 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.038$ inhibitors into the clinic because

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:02.038 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.727$ of the cost of the clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.440$ This is a timeline just showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068533133333333

00:45:06.440 --> 00:45:08.569 the power I think of our approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.765$ So June 15th all we had was parent panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}45{:}11.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.955$ By August 3rd we had these six

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}45{:}14.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.330$ and four micromolar compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.781$ By.

00:45:17.781 --> 00:45:20.487 September 2nd we had the proxy

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}45{:}20.490 --> 00{:}45{:}22.284 \ 140 \ \mathrm{nanomolar \ compound}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:22.284 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.470$ September 10th we had the corresponding of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333 00:45:26.470 --> 00:45:27.394 Benzyl oxy, NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:27.394 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.166$ uracil and then we started getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:30.166 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.979$ some crystal structures October 3rd.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.215$ We had the first crystal structure October

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:45:37.215 --> 00:45:41.477 4th and also the Propoxur compound and

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}45{:}41.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.298$ but the speed here which we got to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.544$ These sort of loading animal compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}45{:}48.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.581$ again to get to 18 animal we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:45:51.581 --> 00:45:53.783 synthesized about 30 compounds and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:45:53.857 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.708$ few of them were things we probably 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068533133333333

 $00:45:56.708 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.780$ or 10 of them were real or wild shots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.796$ And this synthesis was done by a gun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}46{:}03.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.460$ Postdoc chunwei and graduate student Lizzie.

 $00:46:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.636$ So that's the story and I I hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}46{:}10.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.516$ I've told you a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00{:}46{:}13.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.359$ what Karen and I do and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:46:16.360 --> 00:46:21.099 Hour of combining the computation with the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333 00:46:21.100 --> 00:46:21.982 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:21.982 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.746$ reliable assaying and crystallography

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:23.746 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.179$ is such a different world than

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:26.179 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.279$ what we lived in 20 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.848$ So just thanking people in my lab notably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.398$ And Julian is a long-term associate other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068533133333333

 $00:46:35.398 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.420$ so he's a senior research scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.696$ Anna and Joe were both associate research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068533133333333

 $00{:}46{:}41.696 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}46{:}44.639$ scientist and other people listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

00:46:44.640 --> 00:46:47.292 Karen of course my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:47.292 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.281$ Wonderful collaborator and

 $00:46:49.281 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.452$ other Pi collaborators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:51.452 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.222$ pretty yosi on our Jack projects and Brett,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:46:57.222 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.806$ Brett and Faron on the COVID project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:47:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.406$ So pleasure to be here with

NOTE Confidence: 0.906853313333333

 $00:47:02.406 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.119$ you and thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739636152

 $00:47:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.460$ What a whirlwind journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739636152

00:47:13.460 --> 00:47:14.561 Yeah, amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739636152

 $00:47:14.561 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.866$ Are there any questions here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739636152

00:47:17.870 --> 00:47:19.214 Emily? Are you monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.739636152

 $00:47:19.214 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.290$ questions in the chat, Tommy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061161

 $00{:}47{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.438$ Yeah, these the COVID compounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8061161

 $00:47:37.438 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.630$ are all binding to the active

NOTE Confidence: 0.805156937857143

 $00:47:40.733 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.669$ site of the Proteus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805156937857143

 $00:47:42.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.190$ So the cysteine, that's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805156937857143

 $00:47:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.126$ there's a cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805156937857143

00:47:45.126 --> 00:47:46.374 it's a cysteine protease,

 $00:47:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.672$ there's a cysteine it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805156937857143

 $00:47:47.672 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.310$ We're sort of in the middle of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.805156937857143

00:47:50.310 --> 00:47:52.206 the structures I showed you and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805156937857143

 $00:47:52.206 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.268$ that's the active site cysteine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.469477465

 $00:48:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.330$ Even fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79802995

00:48:07.620 --> 00:48:11.650 Yes, the Pfizer compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.79802995

 $00:48:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.150$ binds in that same site, and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.79802995

 $00{:}48{:}15.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.050$ covalently modifies that cysteine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79802995

 $00:48:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.070$ And you? Does not covalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.23773223

 $00:48:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.450$ Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46480277

00:48:33.910 --> 00:48:34.290 Dog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52170563

 $00:48:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.925$ Quite understand, he's asking if

NOTE Confidence: 0.52170563

 $00:48:42.925 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.100$ in the crystal structure does

NOTE Confidence: 0.52170563

 $00:48:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.380$ it bind to the cleavage. Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:48:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.178$ and this is the.

 $00:48:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.340$ The cysteine there cysts 145

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:48:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.500$ and this histadine over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:48:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.436$ Are the catalytic residues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:48:59.436 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.388$ so our compound sitting right on top of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:49:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.732$ And the Pfizer compound covalently modifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:49:06.732 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.648$ that cysteine as do most of the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:49:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.296$ There's a very few coat non covalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

00:49:13.296 --> 00:49:15.666 inhibitors have been reported for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00{:}49{:}15.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.892$ But we from the getco we wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:49:17.892 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.164$ to pursue non covalent inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

00:49:20.164 --> 00:49:22.514 just to avoid the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.789376365

 $00:49:22.514 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.719$ issues of covalent inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850728121428571

 $00:49:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.310$ So you know, we're we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.850728121428571

00:49:31.310 --> 00:49:33.300 extremely familiar with the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.850728121428571

 $00:49:33.374 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.678$ immutability of this virus in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850728121428571

 $00{:}49{:}35.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.910$ spike protein to evade immunity.

00:49:37.910 --> 00:49:41.294 I wonder if you've done sort of low dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.850728121428571

 $00:49:41.294 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.900$ exposure and if there's a mutational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850728121428571

 $00:49:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.080$ Response to to a protease

NOTE Confidence: 0.850728121428571

 $00:49:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.388$ inhibitor like this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:49:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.432$ Yeah, I haven't maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

00:49:50.432 --> 00:49:53.170 I mean, we haven't to my knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:49:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.250$ unless Karen's been up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00{:}49{:}55.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57.330$ something I don't know about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:49:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.154$ the SARS Cove 1 protease and SARS Cove

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00{:}50{:}01.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.548$ 2 protease are extremely identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.022$ They're the only differences are quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:07.022 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.329$ far from the the protease active site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:10.330 \dashrightarrow 00:50:15.398$ So it's it's hoped that there won't be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

00:50:15.400 --> 00:50:17.640 A lot of mutations possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.385$ for the Proteus, however,

00:50:19.385 --> 00:50:21.360 it hasn't been under pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00{:}50{:}21.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24.472$ So I think with the Pax lovid treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

00:50:24.472 --> 00:50:27.686 we will probably begin to see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:27.686 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.530$ mutations closer to the binding site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.218$ And there there was a recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:33.218 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.562$ paper in science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.708$ I believe is it science indicating some

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

00:50:39.710 --> 00:50:43.406 mutations that might arise in this Proteus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00:50:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.066$ so it was under some pressure that they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

00:50:46.070 --> 00:50:46.802 Put it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74597426

 $00{:}50{:}46.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.364$ but we haven't looked into that yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864771771111111

 $00:51:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.472$ So this is a this is a related question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864771771111111

 $00{:}51{:}03.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.853$ but how different is the COVID 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.864771771111111

 $00:51:05.853 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.700$ protease active site from that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864771771111111

 $00:51:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.615$ of other common human proteases?

NOTE Confidence: 0.44774485

00:51:11.290 --> 00:51:16.818 Umm. Well, I would say it's it's the

00:51:16.818 --> 00:51:20.208 COVID active site is quite unique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44774485

 $00:51:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.500$ but it's virtually identical to

NOTE Confidence: 0.44774485

00:51:22.500 --> 00:51:25.290 the SARS Cove one active site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44774485

 $00:51:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.956$ but I don't think there's been a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814747040909091

 $00{:}51{:}29.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.373$ I don't think that these inhibitors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.814747040909091

 $00:51:33.373 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.660$ generally inhibiting other proteases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789001333333333

00:51:39.240 --> 00:51:42.156 So I I haven't heard that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789001333333333

 $00:51:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.272$ so I don't expect it, but if they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789001333333333

00:51:45.272 --> 00:51:46.802 it would certainly be, I'd imagine

NOTE Confidence: 0.789001333333333

 $00:51:46.802 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.698$ it'd be assisting a protease would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7890013333333333

 $00{:}51{:}48.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50.879$ be the ones you'd be looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015158333333

 $00:51:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.750$ Well, we're we're at the hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015158333333

 $00{:}51{:}54.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57.557$ I can't thank you enough for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015158333333

 $00{:}51{:}57.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59.866$ lucid explanation to a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015158333333

 $00:51:59.866 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.690$ non chemists was really beautiful.

 $00:52:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.590$ Also on behalf of healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015158333333

 $00:52:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.832$ workers who, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015158333333

 $00{:}52{:}04.832 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.519$ see people with COVID all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015158333333

 $00:52:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.426$ It's wonderful work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867015158333333

 $00{:}52{:}07.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.000$ Thank you very much. Thank you.